



HAL
open science

Lagrangian submanifolds in the homogeneous nearly Kähler $S^3 \times S^3$

Bart Dioos, Luc Vrancken, Xianfeng Wang

► **To cite this version:**

Bart Dioos, Luc Vrancken, Xianfeng Wang. Lagrangian submanifolds in the homogeneous nearly Kähler $S^3 \times S^3$. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 2018, 53 (1), pp.39-66. 10.1007/s10455-017-9567-z . hal-03148562

HAL Id: hal-03148562

<https://uphf.hal.science/hal-03148562v1>

Submitted on 13 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN THE NEARLY KÄHLER $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$

BART DIOOS, LUC VRANCKEN AND XIANFENG WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate Lagrangian submanifolds in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. We construct a new example which is a flat Lagrangian torus. We give a complete classification of all the Lagrangian immersions of spaces of constant sectional curvature in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. As a corollary, we obtain that the radius of a round Lagrangian sphere in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ can only be $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ or $\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of Lagrangian submanifolds originates from symplectic geometry and classical mechanics. An even-dimensional manifold is called symplectic if it admits a symplectic form, which is a closed and non-degenerate two-form. A submanifold of a symplectic manifold is called Lagrangian if the symplectic form restricted to the manifold vanishes and if the dimension of the submanifold is half the dimension of the symplectic manifold. The well-known theorem of Darboux states that locally all symplectic manifolds are indistinguishable. If one considers a Lagrangian submanifold immersed in a symplectic manifold, then by the theorem of Darboux this Lagrangian submanifold can also be locally immersed in any symplectic manifold of the same dimension. Therefore a local classification of Lagrangian submanifolds is trivial from the symplectic point of view.

Lagrangian submanifolds can more generally be considered in almost Hermitian manifolds. Note that an almost Hermitian manifold is not necessarily symplectic. We call that a submanifold of M in an almost Hermitian manifold N Lagrangian, if the almost complex structure J interchanges the tangent and the normal spaces and if the dimension of M is half the dimension of N . The most important class of almost Hermitian manifolds are the Kähler manifolds. Kähler manifolds admit a complex, Riemannian and symplectic structure which are all three compatible with each other. The study of Lagrangian submanifolds in Kähler manifolds is a classic topic and was initiated in the 1970's [7]. A classification of Lagrangian submanifolds from the Riemannian point of view is far from trivial. There is no complete classification and this is too much to hope for. For this reason it makes sense to study Lagrangian submanifolds with some additional Riemannian conditions. For instance, one can study Lagrangian submanifolds that are minimal, Hamiltonian minimal, Hamiltonian stable or unstable (see for instance [19],[20],[26]) or have constant sectional curvature [14]. For a review on Riemannian geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds we refer to [5] and the references therein.

Nearly Kähler manifolds are almost Hermitian manifolds with almost complex structure J satisfying that $\tilde{\nabla}J$ is skew-symmetric. The geometry of nearly Kähler

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 53C42; secondary 53D12.

Key words and phrases. nearly Kähler manifold, Lagrangian submanifolds, constant sectional curvature, Lagrangian sphere, Lagrangian torus.

X. Wang was supported in part by NSFC (Grant Nos. 11201243 and 11571185) and "Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education, Grant No. 20120031120026".

manifolds was initially studied by Gray [15, 16] in the 1970s from the point of view of weak holonomy. Nagy ([24, 25]) made further contribution to the classification of nearly Kähler manifolds using previous work in [8]. Butruille ([3, 4]) proved that the only homogeneous 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds are the nearly Kähler \mathbb{S}^6 , $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ and the flag manifold $SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$. In [22], Moroianu and Semmelmann studied the infinitesimal Einstein deformations of nearly Kähler metrics. Lagrangian submanifolds of the nearly Kähler \mathbb{S}^6 are well studied by now, see for instance [13], [9],[10],[11] and [18]. We also refer to Section 18 of [5] and Chapter 19 of [6] for an overview. Moroianu and Semmelmann [23] recently gave new examples of Lagrangian immersions of round spheres and Berger spheres in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. A broader study of Lagrangian submanifolds in nearly Kähler manifolds was investigated in [28] by Schäfer and Smoczyk. It was proven in [28] that Lagrangian submanifolds in a nearly Kähler manifold behave nicely with respect to the splitting of the nearly Kähler manifold. If a nearly Kähler manifold is a product of nearly Kähler manifolds, then its Lagrangian submanifolds split into products of Lagrangian submanifolds. Loosely speaking, this means that Lagrangian submanifolds in six-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds are building blocks of Lagrangian submanifolds in general nearly Kähler manifolds. This motivates the study of Lagrangian submanifolds in six-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds. The existence for Lagrangian submanifolds in nearly Kähler manifolds is not unobstructed. Schäfer and Smoczyk [28] proved that Lagrangian submanifolds in a strict nearly Kähler manifold of dimension six or a twistor space over a positive quaternionic Kähler manifold are minimal and orientable. This is different with Lagrangian submanifolds in Kähler manifolds. The reason is that there is no Darboux theorem for nearly Kähler manifolds since these manifolds are not symplectic. This is an extra reason to study these Lagrangian submanifolds from a Riemannian point of view.

In this paper, we study Lagrangian submanifolds in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. In Section 2, we recall the basic properties of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, and present some properties of Lagrangian submanifolds in nearly Kähler manifolds. In Section 3, we show that on a Lagrangian submanifold in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ there exist a local frame and three angle functions that describe the geometry and shape of the submanifold very well. These are related to the almost product structure P introduced in [2]. We show that most of the geometry of the submanifold M can be described in terms of the three angle functions. For example, the derivatives of these angle functions give information about most of the components of the second fundamental form. In Section 4, we present eight examples (or families of examples) of Lagrangian submanifolds in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The examples are Lagrangian immersions of respectively round spheres, Berger spheres or a flat torus. The flat torus (see Example 4.8) is a new example. Examples 4.1- 4.3 are the factors and the diagonal which were given by Schäfer and Smoczyk in [28]. Examples 4.4-4.7 were constructed by Moroianu and Semmelmann in [23]. In section 5, we classify the Lagrangian submanifolds of constant sectional curvature in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The main result that we prove is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of constant sectional curvature in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Then up to an isometry of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, M is locally congruent with one of the following immersions:*

- (1) $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u, 1)$, which is Example 4.1,
- (2) $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (1, u)$, which is Example 4.2,
- (3) $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u, u)$, which is Example 4.3,
- (4) $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (uiu^{-1}, uju^{-1})$, which is Example 4.7,

- (5) $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : (u, v, w) \mapsto (p(u, w), q(u, v))$, where p and q are constant mean curvature tori in \mathbb{S}^3 given in Example 4.8.

Remark 1.2. In view of Proposition 4.4 in [23], Moroianu and Semmelmann showed that the radius of a round Lagrangian sphere in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is necessarily of the form $\frac{k}{\sqrt{3}}$ (note that the scaling in [23] is slightly different from ours, so the radius here has been modified to be adapted to our conventions) for some integer $k \geq 2$. As a corollary of our Theorem 1.1, we obtain that the values of the integer k can only be 2 or 4.

We remark that in [31], the authors obtain the following complete classification of all the totally geodesic Lagrangian immersion in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

Theorem 1.3 ([31]). *Let M be a totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifold in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Then up to an isometry of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, M is locally congruent with one of the following immersions:*

- (1) $f : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u, 1)$, which is Example 4.1,
- (2) $f : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (1, u)$, which is Example 4.2,
- (3) $f : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u, u)$, which is Example 4.3,
- (4) $f : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u, u\mathbf{i})$, which is Example 4.4,
- (5) $f : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u^{-1}, u\mathbf{i}u^{-1})$, which is Example 4.5,
- (6) $f : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u\mathbf{i}u^{-1}, u^{-1})$, which is Example 4.6.

Hence, combing this result together with our main theorem in this paper, one obtain characterizations of all the eight examples (see Section 4 for details of the examples) of Lagrangian submanifolds in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

2. THE NEARLY KÄHLER $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ AND ITS LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS

In this section we recall the definition of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ from [2] and [12] and give some basic properties of Lagrangian submanifolds which will be useful for the rest of the paper.

Using the natural identification $T_{(p,q)}(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3) \cong T_p\mathbb{S}^3 \oplus T_q\mathbb{S}^3$, we write a tangent vector at (p, q) as $Z(p, q) = (U(p, q), V(p, q))$ or simply $Z = (U, V)$.

The 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 can be regarded as the set of all the unit quaternions in \mathbb{H} , as usual we use the notations $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ to denote the imaginary units of \mathbb{H} . Define the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(p, q) &= (p\mathbf{i}, 0), & F_1(p, q) &= (0, q\mathbf{i}), \\ E_2(p, q) &= (p\mathbf{j}, 0), & F_2(p, q) &= (0, q\mathbf{j}), \\ E_3(p, q) &= -(p\mathbf{k}, 0), & F_3(p, q) &= -(0, q\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned}$$

These vector fields are mutually orthogonal with respect to the usual Euclidean product metric on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The Lie brackets are $[E_i, E_j] = -2\varepsilon_{ijk}E_k$, $[F_i, F_j] = -2\varepsilon_{ijk}F_k$ and $[E_i, F_j] = 0$, where

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{ijk\} \text{ is an even permutation of } \{123\}, \\ -1, & \text{if } \{ijk\} \text{ is an odd permutation of } \{123\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The almost complex structure J on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad J(U, V)_{(p,q)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (2pq^{-1}V - U, -2qp^{-1}U + V)$$

for $(U, V) \in T_{(p,q)}(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3)$ (see [4]). Note that the definition uses the Lie group structure of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The map

$$T_{(1,1)}\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow T_{(1,1)}\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : (U, V) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2V - U, -2V + U)$$

defines an almost complex structure on the Lie algebra, the tangent space at $(1, 1)$. By using left translations on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ this map can be extended to an almost complex structure on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The left translations on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ are given by left multiplications with a unit quaternion. The almost complex structure can be described as follows. The first step is to left translate a vector (U, V) at $(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ to $(p^{-1}U, q^{-1}V)$ at the unit element $(1, 1)$. Then this vector is mapped onto $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2q^{-1}V - p^{-1}U, -2p^{-1}U + q^{-1}V)$ at the point $(1, 1)$. When this vector is translated back to $T_{(p,q)}\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, it gives the expression (2.1).

The nearly Kähler metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is the Hermitian metric associated to the usual Euclidean product metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(Z, Z') &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle Z, Z' \rangle + \langle JZ, JZ' \rangle) \\ &= \frac{4}{3} (\langle U, U' \rangle + \langle V, V' \rangle) - \frac{2}{3} (\langle p^{-1}U, q^{-1}V' \rangle + \langle p^{-1}U', q^{-1}V \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

where $Z = (U, V)$ and $Z' = (U', V')$. In the first line $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the usual Euclidean product metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ and in the second line $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the usual Euclidean metric on \mathbb{S}^3 . By definition the almost complex structure is compatible with the metric g . An easy calculation gives

$$g(E_i, E_j) = 4/3 \delta_{ij}, \quad g(E_i, F_j) = -2/3 \delta_{ij}, \quad g(F_i, F_j) = 4/3 \delta_{ij}.$$

Note that this metric differs up to a constant factor from the one introduced in [4]. Here we set everything up so that it equals the Hermitian metric associated with the usual Euclidean product metric. In [4], the factor was chosen in such a way that the standard basis $E_1, E_2, E_3, F_1, F_2, F_3$ has volume 1.

Lemma 2.1 ([2]). *The Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with respect to the metric g is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} E_j &= -\varepsilon_{ijk} E_k, & \tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} F_j &= \frac{\varepsilon_{ijk}}{3} (E_k - F_k), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{F_i} E_j &= \frac{\varepsilon_{ijk}}{3} (F_k - E_k), & \tilde{\nabla}_{F_i} F_j &= -\varepsilon_{ijk} F_k. \end{aligned}$$

One easily verifies that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} J)E_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}(E_k + 2F_k), & (\tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} J)F_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}(E_k - F_k), \\ (\tilde{\nabla}_{F_i} J)E_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}(E_k - F_k), & (\tilde{\nabla}_{F_i} J)F_j &= \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}(2E_k + F_k). \end{aligned}$$

The tensor field $G = \tilde{\nabla}J$ is skew-symmetric, i.e., $G(X, Y) + G(Y, X) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y + (\tilde{\nabla}_Y J)X = 0$, $\forall X, Y \in TM$, hence $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, g, J)$ is nearly Kähler. Moreover, G satisfies the following properties (cf. [1], [15]):

$$(2.3) \quad G(X, JY) + JG(X, Y) = 0, \quad g(G(X, Y), Z) + g(G(X, Z), Y) = 0.$$

For unitary quaternions a, b and c , the map $F: \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ given by $(p, q) \mapsto (apc^{-1}, bqc^{-1})$ is an isometry of $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, g)$ (cf. the remark after Lemma 2.2

in [27]). Indeed, F preserves the almost complex structure J , since

$$\begin{aligned} JdF_{(p,q)}(v, w) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2(apc^{-1})(cq^{-1}b^{-1})bwc^{-1} - avc^{-1}, \\ &\quad -2(bqc^{-1})(cp^{-1}a^{-1})avc^{-1} + bwc^{-1}) \\ &= dF_{(p,q)}(J(v, w)) \end{aligned}$$

(see also [21, Proposition 3.1]) and F preserves the usual metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as well.

Next, we introduce an almost product structure on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The $(1, 1)$ -tensor field P is defined by

$$(2.4) \quad PZ = (pq^{-1}V, qp^{-1}U),$$

where $Z = (U, V)$ is a tangent vector at (p, q) . The definition of P also makes use of the Lie group structure of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. At the Lie algebra level the map

$$T_{(1,1)}\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow T_{(1,1)}\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : (U, V) \mapsto (V, U)$$

defines an almost product structure. By left translation this structure can be extended to the manifold $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, similarly as was done for the almost complex structure J . We summarize the elementary properties of the almost product in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 ([2]). *The almost product structure P satisfies the following properties:*

$$(2.5a) \quad P^2 = \text{Id}, \text{ i.e. } P \text{ is involutive,}$$

$$(2.5b) \quad PJ = -JP, \text{ i.e. } P \text{ and } J \text{ anti-commute,}$$

$$(2.5c) \quad g(PZ, PZ') = g(Z, Z'), \text{ i.e. } P \text{ is compatible with } g,$$

$$(2.5d) \quad g(PZ, Z') = g(Z, PZ'), \text{ i.e. } P \text{ is symmetric.}$$

Proof. The first three equations can be verified with a direct calculation. The last equation follows from the first and third equation. \square

It is elementary to show that the isometries of $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, g, J)$ also preserve the almost product structure P . Note that $PE_i = F_i$ and $PF_i = E_i$. From these equations and Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} P)E_j &= \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{ijk}(E_k + 2F_k), \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} P)F_j = -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{ijk}(2E_k + F_k), \\ (\tilde{\nabla}_{F_i} P)F_j &= -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{ijk}(E_k + 2F_k), \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_{F_i} P)E_j = \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_{ijk}(2E_k + F_k). \end{aligned}$$

The tensor field $\tilde{\nabla}P$ does not vanish identically, so the endomorphism P is not a product structure. However, the almost product structure P and its covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}P$ admit the following properties.

Lemma 2.3 ([2]). *For tangent vector fields X, Y on $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, g, J)$ the following equations hold:*

$$(2.7) \quad PG(X, Y) + G(PX, PY) = 0,$$

$$(2.8) \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_X P)JY = J(\tilde{\nabla}_X P)Y,$$

$$(2.9) \quad G(X, PY) + PG(X, Y) = -2J(\tilde{\nabla}_X P)Y,$$

$$(2.10) \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_X P)PY + P(\tilde{\nabla}_X P)Y = 0,$$

$$(2.11) \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_X P)Y + (\tilde{\nabla}_{PX} P)Y = 0,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \bar{\nabla}P = 0.$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor \tilde{R} on $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, g)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(U, V)W &= \frac{5}{12}(g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12}(g(JV, W)JU - g(JU, W)JV - 2g(JU, V)JW) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}(g(PV, W)PU - g(PU, W)PV \\ &\quad \quad + g(JPV, W)JPU - g(JPU, W)JPV). \end{aligned}$$

One can now show that the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is of constant type $\frac{1}{3}$ and therefore we have

$$(2.13) \quad g(G(X, Y), G(Z, W)) = \frac{1}{3}(g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z) \\ \quad \quad \quad + g(JX, Z)g(JW, Y) - g(JX, W)g(JZ, Y)),$$

$$(2.14) \quad G(X, G(Y, Z)) = \frac{1}{3}(g(X, Z)Y - g(X, Y)Z \\ \quad \quad \quad + g(JX, Z)JY - g(JX, Y)JZ),$$

$$(2.15) \quad (\tilde{\nabla}G)(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{3}(g(X, Z)JY - g(X, Y)JZ - g(JY, Z)X).$$

For later use, we also need the relation between the geometry of the nearly Kähler manifold $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, g)$ and the product manifold $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, which is $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ endowed with the usual Euclidean product metric. The equations in this paragraph shall be used every time we want to obtain an explicit parametrization of a submanifold in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

The almost product structure P can be expressed in terms of the usual product structure $QZ = Q(U, V) = (-U, V)$ and vice versa:

$$(2.16) \quad QZ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2PJZ - JZ),$$

$$(2.17) \quad PZ = \frac{1}{2}(Z - \sqrt{3}QJZ).$$

Using these equations the Euclidean product metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be expressed in terms of g and P :

$$(2.18) \quad \langle Z, Z' \rangle = \frac{3}{8}(g(Z, Z') + g(QZ, QZ')) = g(Z, Z') + \frac{1}{2}g(Z, PZ'),$$

and consequently

$$(2.19) \quad \langle Z, QZ' \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g(Z, PJZ').$$

We can now show the relation between the Levi-Civita connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ of g and ∇^E of the usual Euclidean product metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

Lemma 2.4 ([12]). *The relation between the nearly Kähler connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the Euclidean connection ∇^E is*

$$(2.20) \quad \nabla_X^E Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \frac{1}{2}(JG(X, PY) + JG(Y, PX)).$$

Remark 2.5. Using the above lemma and the expression for Q one can show that $(\nabla_X^E Q)Y = 0$ implies equation (2.8) and vice versa. In this sense P really is the “nearly Kähler analogue” of the Euclidean product structure Q .

In [28], Schäfer and Smoczyk gave a broader study of Lagrangian submanifolds in a nearly Kähler manifold, they also showed that the classical result of Ejiri [13], that a Lagrangian submanifold of the nearly Kähler \mathbb{S}^6 is always minimal and orientable, holds actually for arbitrary 6-dimensional strict nearly Kähler manifolds (see also [17]). From now on we will assume that M is a Lagrangian submanifold in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Hence M is 3-dimensional and the almost complex structure J maps tangent vectors to normal vectors. Like Lagrangian submanifolds of the nearly Kähler \mathbb{S}^6 , from [17] or [28] it follows:

Lemma 2.6 (cf. [17], [28]). *Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Then M is minimal and orientable. Moreover, for X, Y tangent to M , $G(X, Y)$ is a normal vector field on M .*

If we denote the immersion by f , the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are respectively given by

$$(2.21) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X f_* Y = f_*(\nabla_X Y) + h(X, Y),$$

$$(2.22) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \eta = -f_*(S_\eta X) + \nabla_X^\perp \eta,$$

for tangent vector fields X and Y and a normal vector field η . The second fundamental form h is related to S_η by $g(h(X, Y), \eta) = g(S_\eta X, Y)$. From (2.21) and (2.22), we find that

$$(2.23) \quad \nabla_X^\perp Jf_*(Y) = Jf_*(\nabla_X Y) + G(f_* X, f_* Y),$$

$$(2.24) \quad f_*(S_{JY} X) = -Jh(X, Y).$$

The above formulas immediately imply that

$$(2.25) \quad g(h(X, Y), Jf_* Z) = g(h(X, Z), Jf_* Y),$$

i.e. $g(h(X, Y), Jf_* Z)$ is totally symmetric. Of course as usual whenever there is no confusion, we will drop the immersion f from the notations.

3. LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS OF THE NEARLY KÄHLER $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$

Note that in the previous section most of the results remain valid for Lagrangian submanifolds of arbitrary 6-dimensional strict nearly Kähler manifolds. Here however we will restrict ourselves to the case that the ambient space is the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. We will show how the properties of the almost product structure P , related to the product structure on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ incorporates most of the geometry of the Lagrangian submanifold. The key idea is to “decompose” the almost product structure P into a tangent part A and a normal part B .

Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Since M is Lagrangian, the pull-back of $T(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3)$ to M splits into $TM \oplus JTM$. Therefore there are two endomorphisms $A, B: TM \rightarrow TM$ such that the restriction $P|_{TM}$ of P to the submanifold M equals $A + JB$, that is $PX = AX + JBX$ for all $X \in TM$. Note that the above formula, together with the fact that P and J anticommute, also determine P on the normal space by $PJX = -JPX = BX - JAX$. The following lemma gives the basic properties of A and B .

Lemma 3.1. *The endomorphisms A and B are symmetric commuting endomorphisms that satisfy $A^2 + B^2 = \text{Id}$.*

Proof. The lemma follows easily from the basic properties of P and J (P is symmetric, J is compatible with g). For $X, Y \in TM$ we have $g(AX, Y) = g(PX, Y) = g(X, PY) = g(X, AY)$. Similarly one finds $g(BX, Y) = g(PJX, Y) = g(PY, JX) = g(JBY, JX) = g(BY, X)$. Since P is involutive, we also have

$$X = P^2 X = (A^2 + B^2)X + J(BA - AB)X.$$

Comparing the tangent and normals parts gives $A^2 + B^2 = \text{Id}$ and $[A, B] = 0$. \square

As A and B are symmetric operators whose Lie bracket vanishes, we know that they can be diagonalized simultaneously at a point of M . Therefore, at each point p there is an orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in T_p M$ such that

$$Pe_i = \cos 2\theta_i e_i + \sin 2\theta_i J e_i, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The factor 2 in the arguments of the sines and cosines is there for convenience as it will simplify many of the following expressions.

Now we extend the orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, e_3 at a point p to a frame on a neighborhood of p in the Lagrangian submanifold. By Lemma 1.1-1.2 in [29] the orthonormal basis at a point can be extended to a differentiable frame E_1, E_2, E_3 on an open dense neighborhood where the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of A and B are constant. Taking also into account the properties of G we know that there exists a local orthonormal frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ on an open dense subset of M such that

$$(3.1) \quad AE_i = \cos(2\theta_i)E_i, \quad BE_i = \sin(2\theta_i)E_i, \quad JG(E_i, E_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}E_k.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The sum of the angles $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3$ is zero modulo π .*

Proof. Using equation (2.7) and (2.4b), we get

$$PE_1 = \sqrt{3}PJG(E_2, E_3) = \sqrt{3}JG(PE_2, PE_3)$$

and thus $\cos 2\theta_1 E_1 + \sin 2\theta_1 J E_1 (= PE_1)$ is equal to

$$\sqrt{3} \left(\cos(2(\theta_2 + \theta_3))JG(E_2, E_3) + \sin(2(\theta_2 + \theta_3))G(E_2, E_3) \right).$$

Comparing tangent and normal parts gives

$$\cos 2\theta_1 = \cos(2(\theta_2 + \theta_3)), \quad \sin 2\theta_1 = -\sin(2(\theta_2 + \theta_3)).$$

Therefore

$$\cos(2(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)) = \cos 2\theta_1 \cos(2(\theta_2 + \theta_3)) - \sin 2\theta_1 \sin(2(\theta_2 + \theta_3)) = 1,$$

so $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 0 \pmod{\pi}$. \square

Using the decomposition of P and the expression of the curvature tensor of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ we can now write down the expressions for the equations of Gauss and Codazzi. We have the equation of Gauss as follows.

(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \frac{5}{12}(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}(g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY + g(BY, Z)BX - g(BX, Z)BY) \\ &\quad + S_{h(Y, Z)}X - S_{h(X, Z)}Y. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in view of the symmetry of the second fundamental form the above Gauss equation can be rewritten as

(3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \frac{5}{12}(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}(g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY + g(BY, Z)BX - g(BX, Z)BY) \\ &\quad + [S_{JX}, S_{JY}]Z. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the normal part of the curvature tensor, we have that the Codazzi equation is given by

$$(3.4) \quad (\nabla h)(X, Y, Z) - (\nabla h)(Y, X, Z) = \frac{1}{3}(g(AY, Z)JBX - g(AX, Z)JBY - g(BY, Z)JAX + g(BX, Z)JAY).$$

Analogously, like Lagrangian immersions of the nearly Kähler \mathbb{S}^6 , we find that the Ricci equation is equivalent with the Gauss equation. Indeed from (2.23), (2.15) and the fact that $G(X, Y)$ is a normal vector field we get that

$$(3.5) \quad R^\perp(X, Y)JZ = JR(X, Y)Z + \frac{1}{3}(g(X, Z)JY - g(Y, Z)JX).$$

Therefore by applying the Gauss equation (3.2), we recover that

$$(3.6) \quad R^\perp(X, Y)JZ = \frac{1}{12}(g(Y, Z)JX - g(X, Z)JY) + \frac{1}{3}(g(AY, Z)JAX - g(AX, Z)JAY + g(BY, Z)JBX - g(BX, Z)JBY) + J[S_{JX}, S_{JY}]Z.$$

Hence by taking the inner product with JW we get the Ricci equation

$$\begin{aligned} g(R^\perp(X, Y)JZ, JW) &= g(\tilde{R}(X, Y)JZ, JW) + g([S_{JX}, S_{JY}]Z, W) \\ &= g(\tilde{R}(X, Y)JZ, JW) + g(S_{JZ}, S_{JW}]X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

We now calculate the covariant derivatives of A and B .

Lemma 3.3. *The covariant derivatives of the endomorphisms A and B are*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X A)Y &= BS_{JX}Y - Jh(X, BY) + \frac{1}{2}(JG(X, AY) - AJG(X, Y)), \\ (\nabla_X B)Y &= Jh(X, AY) - AS_{JX}Y + \frac{1}{2}(JG(X, BY) - BJG(X, Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We express equation (2.9) in terms of A and B . By the Gauss and Weingarten formula and Lemma 2.6 we get on one hand

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X P)Y &= \tilde{\nabla}_X AY + \tilde{\nabla}_X JBY - P\nabla_X Y - Ph(X, Y) \\ &= \nabla_X AY + h(X, AY) + J\tilde{\nabla}_X BY + G(X, BY) \\ &\quad - A\nabla_X Y - JB\nabla_X Y - PJS_{JX}Y \\ &= (\nabla_X A)Y + J(\nabla_X B)Y + Jh(X, BY) - BS_{JX}Y \\ &\quad + h(X, AY) + JAS_{JX}Y + G(X, BY). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}(JG(X, PY) + JPG(X, Y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(JG(X, AY) + G(X, BY) - AJG(X, Y) - JBJG(X, Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.6 we can compare the tangent and normal parts in equation (2.9). This gives us the covariant derivatives of A and B . \square

It would be interesting to ask whether it is possible to prove an existence and uniqueness theorem like for submanifolds of real space forms or lagrangian submanifolds of complex space forms. Although such a theorem would simplify some of the later proofs, it is outside the scope of the present paper.

For the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on M we introduce the functions ω_{ij}^k satisfying $\nabla_{E_i} E_j = \omega_{ij}^k E_k$ and $\omega_{ij}^k = -\omega_{ik}^j$, where we have used Einstein summation. We write $h_{ij}^k = g(h(E_i, E_j), JE_k)$. The tensor h_{ij}^k is a totally symmetric tensor on the Lagrangian submanifold. The covariant derivative on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ will be denoted by $\tilde{\nabla}$ as usual. In the following, we will use equation (2.9) to obtain extra information on the angles θ_i and second fundamental form h_{ij}^k .

Lemma 3.4. *The derivatives of the angles θ_i give the components of the second fundamental form*

$$E_i(\theta_j) = -h_{jj}^i$$

except h_{12}^3 . The second fundamental form and covariant derivative are related by

$$h_{ij}^k \cos(\theta_j - \theta_k) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \varepsilon_{ij}^k - \omega_{ij}^k \right) \sin(\theta_j - \theta_k), \quad \forall j \neq k, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon_{ij}^k := \varepsilon_{ijk}.$$

Proof. We will not do all the calculations explicitly, instead we give one calculation as an example. Choose $X = Y = E_1$ in (2.9). Then the equation $2(\tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} P)E_1 = JG(E_1, PE_1)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} -2(h_{11}^1 + E_1(\theta_1)) \sin(2\theta_1) &= 0, \\ 2(h_{11}^1 + E_1(\theta_1)) \cos(2\theta_1) &= 0, \\ -2(h_{11}^2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + \omega_{11}^2 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= 0, \\ 2(h_{11}^2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + \omega_{11}^2 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) &= 0, \\ -2(h_{11}^3 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_3) + \omega_{11}^3 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_3)) \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_3) &= 0, \\ 2(h_{11}^3 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_3) + \omega_{11}^3 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_3)) \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_3) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sines and cosines cannot be zero at the same time, we find that $E_1(\theta_1) = -h_{11}^1$ and two expressions relating ω_{ij}^k and h_{ij}^k . Doing the same calculations for $X = E_i$ and $Y = E_j$ with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, we get the lemma. \square

Note that from Lemma 3.4 we have that

$$E_i(\theta_j) = -h_{jj}^i.$$

Therefore we also have the compatibility conditions that

$$\begin{aligned} -E_k(h_{jj}^i) + E_i(h_{jj}^k) &= [E_k, E_i](\theta_j) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^3 (\omega_{ki}^\ell - \omega_{ik}^\ell) E_\ell(\theta_j) \\ (3.7) \quad &= \sum_{\ell=1}^3 (-\omega_{ki}^\ell + \omega_{ik}^\ell) h_{jj}^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

So we have six additional independent equations. One can show, using Lemma 3.4, that the above equations are equivalent with six of the Codazzi equations. One does not obtain all the equations of Gauss and Codazzi this way, but the compatibility conditions for the θ_i are easier to calculate.

Remark 3.5. We note that from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.2, we obtain that $h_{11}^i + h_{22}^i + h_{33}^i = -E_i(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) = 0$, $\forall i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, we obtain a new proof of the fact that M is minimal (see Lemma 2.6).

Another consequence of Lemma 3.4 is

Corollary 3.6. Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. If M is totally geodesic, then the angles θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 are constant. Conversely, if the angles are constant and $h_{12}^3 = 0$, then M is totally geodesic.

Remark 3.7. We must assume that $h_{12}^3 = 0$ in the converse statement of Corollary 3.6. Examples 4.7-4.8 in the next section show that this assumption is necessary.

In the following, we give two other corollaries of Lemma 3.4. Lemma 3.8 gives a sufficient condition for a Lagrangian submanifold in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ to be totally geodesic. Lemma 3.9 gives a necessary condition and shows us that the converse statement of Lemma 3.8 also holds.

Lemma 3.8. *If two of the angles are equal modulo π , then the Lagrangian submanifold is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\theta_1 = \theta_2 \pmod{\pi}$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $h_{11}^i = h_{22}^i$ and $h_{12}^i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Combining the equations, together with the symmetry of h , gives $h_{11}^1 = h_{22}^2 = h_{11}^2 = h_{22}^1 = h_{12}^3 = 0$ and by minimality also h_{33}^1 and h_{33}^2 vanish. The three remaining components are related by $h_{22}^3 = h_{11}^3$ and $h_{33}^3 = -2h_{11}^3$. The compatibility condition for θ_1 with respect to E_1 and E_2 (take $k = j = 1$, $i = 2$ in (3.7)) gives

$$(3.8) \quad (\omega_{12}^3 - \omega_{21}^3)h_{11}^3 = 0.$$

Now we use the Codazzi equation (3.4) applied to $X = E_1$, $Y = E_2$, $Z = E_2$. As θ_1 and θ_2 are equal modulo π , the term on the right hand side of (3.4) vanishes and so we obtain by taking the component in the direction of JE_2 that

$$(3.9) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \omega_{21}^3 - 3\omega_{12}^3\right)h_{11}^3 = 0.$$

We claim that $h_{11}^3 = 0$. If $h_{11}^3 \neq 0$, then from (3.8) and (3.9) we get $\omega_{21}^3 = \omega_{12}^3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$. It follows from the second equation of Lemma 3.4 that $0 = (-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} - \omega_{21}^3) \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_3)$, taking into account that $\omega_{21}^3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$ we obtain that $\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_3) = 0$, hence $\theta_1 = \theta_3 + a\pi$, where a is a constant integer. Then using the first equation of Lemma 3.4, we derive that $h_{33}^2 = -E_3(\theta_3) = -E_3(\theta_1) = h_{11}^3$, but we have that $h_{33}^3 = -2h_{11}^3$, so we get that $h_{11}^3 = h_{33}^3 = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $h_{11}^3 = 0$ and the submanifold is totally geodesic. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Consider a totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifold in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. After a possible permutation of the angles and the choice of the angles $2\theta_i$ at an initial point belonging to the interval $[0, 2\pi)$, we must have one of the following possibilities:*

- (1) $(2\theta_1, 2\theta_2, 2\theta_3) = (\frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3})$,
- (2) $(2\theta_1, 2\theta_2, 2\theta_3) = (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3})$,
- (3) $(2\theta_1, 2\theta_2, 2\theta_3) = (0, 0, 0)$,
- (4) $(2\theta_1, 2\theta_2, 2\theta_3) = (0, \pi, \pi)$,
- (5) $(2\theta_1, 2\theta_2, 2\theta_3) = (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3})$,
- (6) $(2\theta_1, 2\theta_2, 2\theta_3) = (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{3})$.

Proof. The Codazzi equation (3.4) gives

$$g(AY, Z)BX - g(AX, Z)BY = g(BY, Z)AX - g(BX, Z)AY.$$

Taking $X = E_i$ and $Y = Z = E_j$, this yields $\sin(2(\theta_i - \theta_j)) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. So the angles $2\theta_i$ are equal up to an integer multiple of π . Together with Lemma 3.2 we deduce that the angles need to be constant, and therefore after a choice at an initial point one obtains the possibilities in the statement. \square

4. EXAMPLES OF LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN THE NEARLY KÄHLER $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$

In this section we present eight examples (or families of examples) of Lagrangian submanifolds in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Example 4.8 (a flat Lagrangian torus) is a new example. Examples 4.1- 4.3 are the factors and the diagonal which were given by Schäfer and Smoczyk in [28]. Examples 4.4-4.7 were constructed by Moroianu and Semmelmann in [23], where they studied generalized Killing spinors on the

standard sphere \mathbb{S}^3 , which turn out to be related to Lagrangian embeddings in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The first seven examples are immersions of round 3-spheres or Berger spheres. On these 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 as the set of all the unit quaternions in \mathbb{H} , we consider the left invariant tangent vector fields X_1, X_2, X_3 on \mathbb{S}^3 , which are given by

$$(4.1) \quad X_1(u) = u\mathbf{i}, \quad X_2(u) = u\mathbf{j}, \quad X_3(u) = -u\mathbf{k},$$

where $u = x_1 + x_2\mathbf{i} + x_3\mathbf{j} + x_4\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{S}^3$ is viewed as a unit quaternion, and $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ are the imaginary units of \mathbb{H} . Obviously, X_1, X_2, X_3 form a basis of the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{S}^3$. We refer to [31] for more details of Examples 4.1-4.6.

Example 4.1. Consider the immersion: $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (u, 1)$. f is a totally geodesic Lagrangian immersion, $f(\mathbb{S}^3)$ is isometric to a round sphere. The angles correspond to case (1) of Lemma 3.9.

Example 4.2. Consider the immersion: $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (1, u)$. f is a totally geodesic Lagrangian immersion, $f(\mathbb{S}^3)$ is isometric to a round sphere. The angles correspond to case (2) of Lemma 3.9.

Example 4.3. Consider the immersion: $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (u, u)$. f is a totally geodesic Lagrangian immersion, $f(\mathbb{S}^3)$ is isometric to a round sphere. The angles correspond to case (3) of Lemma 3.9.

Example 4.4. Consider the immersion $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (u, ub)$ with $b \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$, $\|b\| = 1$. First we note that after an isometry $(p, q) \mapsto (pa^{-1}, qa^{-1})$ and a reparametrization $u \mapsto ua$ of the 3-sphere with $a \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$, the immersion becomes $u \mapsto (u, uaba^{-1})$. We now choose a such that $aba^{-1} = \mathbf{i}$. This is always possible, because conjugation with a unit quaternion gives a rotation of $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ and the group of rotations acts transitively on $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$. Therefore we may always consider the immersion: $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (u, u\mathbf{i})$. f is a totally geodesic Lagrangian immersion, $f(\mathbb{S}^3)$ is isometric to a Berger sphere. The angles correspond to case (4) of Lemma 3.9.

Note that by changing the parametrization of \mathbb{S}^3 , we can also reduce the potential immersion $f(u) = (u\mathbf{i}, u)$ to the preceding example.

Example 4.5. Consider the immersion $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (u, u^{-1}bu)$ with $b \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$, $\|b\| = 1$. After an isometry of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ and a reparametrization of u as in the previous example, we can always consider the immersion:

$$f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (u^{-1}, u\mathbf{i}u^{-1}).$$

f is a totally geodesic Lagrangian immersion, $f(\mathbb{S}^3)$ is isometric to a Berger sphere. The angles correspond to case (5) of Lemma 3.9.

Example 4.6. Consider the immersion: $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (u\mathbf{i}u^{-1}, u^{-1})$. f is a totally geodesic Lagrangian immersion, $f(\mathbb{S}^3)$ is isometric to a Berger sphere. The angles correspond to case (6) of Lemma 3.9.

Example 4.7. Consider the immersion $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (uau^{-1}, ubu^{-1})$ with unit quaternions $a, b \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ and $\langle a, b \rangle = 0$. After an isometry of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ and a reparametrization we can always consider the immersion

$$f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (u\mathbf{i}u^{-1}, u\mathbf{j}u^{-1}).$$

For the tangent map we have $df(X_1) = (0, 2uku^{-1})$, $df(X_2) = (-2uku^{-1}, 0)$, $df(X_3) = 2(-u\mathbf{j}u^{-1}, u\mathbf{i}u^{-1})$. The inner products are given by $g(df(X_i), df(X_j)) = \frac{16}{3}\delta_{ij}$, so f is an immersion of a round sphere. We have that $Jdf(X_1) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(2, uku^{-1})$,

$Jdf(X_2) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(uku^{-1}, -2)$ and $Jdf(X_3) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(uju^{-1}, uiu^{-1})$. One can now easily verify that f is a Lagrangian immersion. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} Pdf(X_1) &= (2, 0) = -\frac{1}{2}(df(X_1) - \sqrt{3}Jdf(X_1)), \\ Pdf(X_2) &= (0, 2) = -\frac{1}{2}(df(X_2) + \sqrt{3}Jdf(X_2)), \\ Pdf(X_3) &= -2(uju^{-1}, -uiu^{-1}) = df(X_3). \end{aligned}$$

The angles $2\theta_i$ are thus equal to 0, $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{4\pi}{3}$. Therefore by Lemma 3.9 this immersion is not totally geodesic. Since the angles are constant, h_{12}^3 is the only non-zero component of the second fundamental form. This example shows that we cannot omit the condition $h_{12}^3 = 0$ in Corollary 3.6.

Example 4.8. Consider the immersion $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : (u, v, w) \mapsto (p(u, w), q(u, v))$ where p and q are constant mean curvature tori in \mathbb{S}^3 given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(u, w) &= \left(\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}w}{2}\right), \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}w}{2}\right), \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}w}{2}\right), \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}w}{2}\right) \right), \\ q(u, v) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}v}{2}\right) \left(\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) \right), \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}v}{2}\right) \left(\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}v}{2}\right) \left(\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) \right), \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}v}{2}\right) \left(\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}u}{2}\right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_u &= \left(\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}) \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \cos(\tilde{v}) (\cos(\tilde{u}) - \sin(\tilde{u})), \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\tilde{v}) (\cos(\tilde{u}) - \sin(\tilde{u})), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})), \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})) \right) \Big), \\ f_v &= \left((0, 0, 0, 0), \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})), \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) - \cos(\tilde{u})), \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) - \cos(\tilde{u})) \right) \right), \\ f_w &= \left(\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}) \right), (0, 0, 0, 0) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in order to simplify expressions we have written $\tilde{u} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u$, $\tilde{v} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}v$ and $\tilde{w} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}w$. A straightforward computations gives that

$$\begin{aligned} Jf_u &= \left(\frac{1}{2} (-\sin(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), -\sin(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), \cos(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), \cos(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w})), \right. \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (\cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) - \cos(\tilde{u})), \sin(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) - \cos(\tilde{u})), \\ &\quad \left. -\cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})), -\sin(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})) \right), \\ Jf_v &= \left((-\sin(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), \sin(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), \cos(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), -\cos(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w})), \right. \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (-\sin(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})), \cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})), \\ &\quad \left. \sin(\tilde{v}) (\cos(\tilde{u}) - \sin(\tilde{u})), \cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) - \cos(\tilde{u})) \right), \\ Jf_w &= \left(\frac{1}{2} (\cos(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), -\cos(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), \sin(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), -\sin(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w})), \right. \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin(\tilde{v}) (\cos(\tilde{u}) - \sin(\tilde{u})), \cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) - \cos(\tilde{u})), \\ &\quad \left. \sin(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})), -\cos(\tilde{v}) (\sin(\tilde{u}) + \cos(\tilde{u})) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this we get that f is a Lagrangian immersion and that $\{f_u, f_v, f_w\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space. Hence it is a flat Lagrangian torus. By a lengthy but straightforward computation we also get that

$$Pf_u = f_u, Pf_v = -\frac{1}{2}f_v + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}Jf_v, Pf_w = -\frac{1}{2}f_w - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}Jf_w.$$

The angles $2\theta_i$ are therefore again equal to 0, $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{4\pi}{3}$. Therefore by Lemma 3.9 this immersion is also not totally geodesic. Since the angles are constant, h_{12}^3 is again the only non-zero component of the second fundamental form. This example is another example that shows that we cannot omit the condition $h_{12}^3 = 0$ in Corollary 3.6.

5. LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS OF CONSTANT SECTIONAL CURVATURE

In this section we classify all Lagrangian submanifolds of constant sectional curvature in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. We will prove that those Lagrangian submanifolds of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ are congruent with one of the examples of constant sectional curvature listed in the previous section. As a corollary, we obtain that the radius of a round Lagrangian sphere in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ can only be $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ or $\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}$. This improves Proposition 4.4 of [23].

In order to prove the classification, the first step is to find all the components h_{ij}^k of the second fundamental form. As we have already obtained the complete classification of the totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifolds in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ in [31] (see Theorem 1.3), we can assume now that the immersion is not totally geodesic. Then from Lemma 3.8 we may assume that all the angle functions are different (modulo π). Therefore, we have that there exists a local orthonormal frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ on an open dense subset of M such that (3.1) holds.

We note that it is not possible to follow the approach introduced by Ejiri for studying Lagrangian submanifolds of constant sectional curvature in the complex space forms ([14]) or in the nearly Kähler 6-sphere ([13]). Indeed the Gauss equations give quadratic equations for the h_{ij}^k and it turns out that these are not easy to solve directly without additional information. We therefore use another approach. The next lemma gives us linear equations for the components h_{ij}^k . The key idea is to calculate the expression x given by

$$(5.1) \quad x = 3 \mathfrak{S}_{WXY} ((\nabla^2 h)(W, X, Y, Z) - (\nabla^2 h)(W, Y, X, Z)),$$

where \mathfrak{S} stands for the cyclic sum, in two different ways. On one hand we can calculate this using the covariant derivative of the Codazzi equation (3.4), which tells us that x equals the expression (5.3). On the other hand we can rewrite x as

$$(5.2) \quad x = 3 \mathfrak{S}_{WXY} ((\nabla^2 h)(W, X, Y, Z) - (\nabla^2 h)(X, W, Y, Z)),$$

and then by applying the Ricci identity we obtain that this expression x vanishes.

More precisely, we have the following key lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of constant sectional curvature in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Then for all tangent vector fields $W, X, Y, Z \in TM$*

the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad & \mathfrak{S}_{WXY} \left\{ \left\{ g(JG(Y, W), AZ) + \frac{1}{2}g(JG(Y, Z), AW) - \frac{1}{2}g(JG(W, Z), AY) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + g(h(W, Z), JBY) - g(h(Y, Z), JBW) \right\} JBX \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\{ g(JG(W, Y), BZ) + \frac{1}{2}g(JG(W, Z), BY) - \frac{1}{2}g(JG(Y, Z), BW) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + g(h(W, Z), JAY) - g(h(Y, Z), JAW) \right\} JAX \right. \\
& \quad \left. + g(AX, Z) \left\{ JB JG(W, Y) + \frac{1}{2}G(Y, BW) - \frac{1}{2}G(W, BY) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. \left. + h(W, AY) - h(Y, AW) \right\} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + g(BX, Z) \left\{ -JAJG(W, Y) + \frac{1}{2}G(W, AY) - \frac{1}{2}G(Y, AW) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. \left. + h(W, BY) - h(Y, BW) \right\} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

is zero.

Proof. As we have mentioned before, we calculate expression (5.1) in two different ways.

First, we calculate x using the covariant derivative of the Codazzi equation (3.4), which gives us the long expression (5.3). We denote the normal part $(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp$ as $T_1(X, Y, Z)$. This is the righthandside of the Codazzi equation (3.4). So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad & 3((\nabla h)(X, Y, Z)) - (\nabla h)(Y, X, Z) = 3T_1(X, Y, Z) \\
& = g(AY, Z)JBX - g(AX, Z)JBY + g(BX, Z)JAY - g(BY, Z)JAX.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.6 and (2.23), the covariant derivative ∇T_1 , where ∇ is the covariant derivative on M , can be written as

$$(5.5) \quad 3(\nabla T_1)(W, X, Y, Z) = T_2(W, X, Y, Z) - T_2(W, Y, X, Z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad T_2(W, X, Y, Z) &= g((\nabla_W A)Y, Z)JBX + g((\nabla_W B)X, Z)JAY \\
&+ g(AY, Z)G(W, BX) + g(BX, Z)G(W, AY) \\
&+ g(AY, Z)J(\nabla_W B)X + g(BX, Z)J(\nabla_W A)Y.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 3.3 the tensor T_2 can be expressed completely in terms of A and B in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.7) \quad T_2(W, X, Y, Z) &= g(BS_{JW}Y, Z)JBX + g(h(W, BY), JZ)JBX \\
&- \frac{1}{2}g(G(W, AY), JZ)JBX + \frac{1}{2}g(G(W, Y), JAZ)JBX \\
&- g(AY, Z)h(W, AX) - g(AY, Z)JAS_{JW}X \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}g(AY, Z)G(W, BX) - \frac{1}{2}g(AY, Z)JB JG(W, X) \\
&- g(h(W, AX), JZ)JAY - g(S_{JW}X, AZ)JAY \\
&- \frac{1}{2}g(G(W, BX), JZ)JAY + \frac{1}{2}g(G(W, X), JBZ)JAY \\
&+ g(BX, Z)JBS_{JW}Y + g(BX, Z)h(W, BY) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}g(BX, Z)G(W, AY) - \frac{1}{2}g(BX, Z)JAJG(W, Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute x . From (5.1), (5.4) and (5.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= T_2(W, X, Y, Z) + T_2(X, Y, W, Z) + T_2(Y, W, X, Z) \\
&- T_2(W, Y, X, Z) - T_2(X, W, Y, Z) - T_2(Y, X, W, Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore when we compute x we can omit in (5.7) all terms which are symmetric in two of the variables W, X, Y . So we get x by omitting these terms in (5.7) and by taking the cyclic sum of the difference of remainder of (5.7) with itself with two variables interchanged. Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} x &= T_3(W, X, Y, Z) + T_3(X, Y, W, Z) + T_3(Y, W, X, Z) \\ &\quad - T_3(W, Y, X, Z) - T_3(X, W, Y, Z) - T_3(Y, X, W, Z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_3(W, X, Y, Z) &= g(h(W, BY), JZ)JBX - \frac{1}{2}g(G(W, AY), JZ)JBX \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g(G(W, Y), JAZ)JBX - g(AY, Z)h(W, AX) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g(AY, Z)G(W, BX) - \frac{1}{2}g(AY, Z)JB JG(W, X) \\ &\quad - g(h(W, AX), JZ)JAY - \frac{1}{2}g(G(W, BX), JZ)JAY \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g(G(W, X), JBZ)JAY + g(BX, Z)h(W, BY) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g(BX, Z)G(W, AY) - \frac{1}{2}g(BX, Z)JA JG(W, Y). \end{aligned}$$

From this we immediately get that x equals the expression (5.3).

Next, we can rewrite x as

$$(5.8) \quad x = 3 \mathfrak{S}_{WXY} ((\nabla^2 h)(W, X, Y, Z) - (\nabla^2 h)(X, W, Y, Z)).$$

By the Ricci identity, we have that

$$(5.9) \quad x = 3 \mathfrak{S}_{WXY} (R^\perp(W, X)h(Y, Z) - h(R(W, X)Y, Z) - h(Y, R(W, X)Z)).$$

Equations (2.24) and (3.5) give

$$\begin{aligned} R^\perp(W, X)h(Y, Z) &= R^\perp(W, X)JS_{JY}Z \\ &= JR(W, X)S_{JY}Z + \frac{1}{3}(g(h(W, Y), JZ)JX - g(h(X, Y), JZ)JW). \end{aligned}$$

Since M has constant curvature the curvature tensor (we denote the constant by c), we have $R(X, Y)Z = c(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y)$. An easy calculation shows that x vanishes. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now in a position to prove the classification result. We consider again the endomorphisms A and B that satisfy $P|_{TM} = A + JB$ and take the orthonormal basis E_1, E_2, E_3 such that $AE_i = \lambda_i E_i$ and $BE_i = \mu_i E_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. In the notation of the previous sections $\lambda_i = \cos 2\theta_i$ and $\mu_i = \sin 2\theta_i$. As sometimes the expressions in terms of λ_i and μ_i are shorter, so we will not always express equations in terms of the angles θ_i . Taking into account of the properties of G , we may also assume that $JG(E_1, E_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}E_3$ by replacing E_3 by $-E_3$ if necessary. Thus we obtain that $JG(E_i, E_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}E_k$. By taking $X = E_1, Y = E_2$ and $Z = W = E_3$ in formula (5.3) in Lemma 5.1, we obtain six equations, namely

$$(5.10) \quad (\lambda_i(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) + \mu_i(\mu_j - \mu_k))h_{kk}^j + (\lambda_k(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) + \mu_k(\mu_i - \mu_j))h_{ii}^j = 0,$$

$$(5.11) \quad (\lambda_i(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) + \mu_i(\mu_j - \mu_k))h_{12}^3 = 0,$$

for every positive permutation (ijk) of (123) . Only four of the above equations are linearly independent.

We now distinguish two cases: **Case 1:** $h_{12}^3 \neq 0$ and **Case 2:** $h_{12}^3 = 0$.

Case 1: $h_{12}^3 \neq 0$. First we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) + \mu_1(\mu_2 - \mu_3) &= \cos(2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) - \cos(2(\theta_1 - \theta_3)) \\ &= -2 \sin(2\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \theta_3) \sin(\theta_3 - \theta_2). \end{aligned}$$

So from equation (5.11) we find that $\sin(2\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \theta_3) \sin(\theta_3 - \theta_2)$, $\sin(2\theta_2 - \theta_3 - \theta_1) \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_3)$ and $\sin(2\theta_3 - \theta_2 - \theta_1) \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$ have to vanish. As the immersion is not totally geodesic, from Lemma 3.8 we have that the angle functions are mutually different which in turn implies that for i different from j , we have that $\sin(\theta_j - \theta_i)$ is different from 0. Hence

$$\sin(2\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \theta_3) = \sin(2\theta_2 - \theta_3 - \theta_1) = \sin(2\theta_3 - \theta_2 - \theta_1) = 0.$$

So $(2\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \theta_3)$ is a multiple of π . By Lemma 3.2, $(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)$ is also a multiple of π . Hence θ_1 is a multiple of $\frac{\pi}{3}$. A same argument can be applied for the other angles θ_2 and θ_3 . As the immersion is not totally geodesic, from Lemma 3.8 we have that no two angle functions are the same and therefore the angles must be different modulo π . So the only possibility for the angles are 0 , $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{2\pi}{3}$.

Since all the angles θ_i are constant all the h_{jj}^i are zero except h_{12}^3 by Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 3.4 it now follows that all the connection coefficients ω_{ij}^k are zero except the cases that i, j, k are all different. These non-zero coefficients ω_{ij}^k can be written in terms of h_{12}^3 in the following way

$$(5.12) \quad \omega_{12}^3 = \omega_{23}^1 = \omega_{31}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} h_{12}^3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}.$$

Note also that from the Gauss equation (3.2) it follows that the constant curvature c is related to the second fundamental form by

$$\begin{aligned} cE_2 &= R(E_2, E_1)E_1 \\ (5.13) \quad &= \left(\frac{5}{12} - \frac{1}{6}\right)E_2 + [S_{JE_2}, S_{JE_1}]E_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}E_2 - S_{JE_1}(h_{12}^3 E_3) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}E_2 - (h_{12}^3)^2 E_2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that h_{12}^3 and therefore also ω_{12}^3 , ω_{23}^1 and ω_{31}^2 are all constants. So computing the curvature by the definition we have that

$$\begin{aligned} cE_2 &= R(E_2, E_1)E_1 \\ (5.14) \quad &= \nabla_{E_2} \nabla_{E_1} E_1 - \nabla_{E_1} \nabla_{E_2} E_1 - \nabla_{[E_2, E_1]} E_1 \\ &= -\omega_{21}^3 \omega_{13}^2 E_2 - (\omega_{21}^3 - \omega_{12}^3) \omega_{31}^2 E_2 \\ &= (\omega_{12}^3)^2 E_2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} h_{12}^3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\right)^2 E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing both expressions (5.13) and (5.14), we get that $8(h_{12}^3)^2 + 2h_{12}^3 = 1$, which implies that $h_{12}^3 = \frac{1}{4}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$. In the following, we will discuss two subcases of case 1 respectively: **Case 1a:** $h_{12}^3 = \frac{1}{4}$ and **Case 1b:** $h_{12}^3 = -\frac{1}{2}$.

Case 1a: $h_{12}^3 = \frac{1}{4}$. In this case, we have that $\omega_{12}^3 = \omega_{23}^1 = \omega_{31}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$ and the sectional curvature is equal to $\frac{3}{16}$.

In the next theorem we will prove that in this case (**Case 1a**) the submanifold M is locally congruent with the immersion in Example 4.7. In order to prove this, we first recall that the Berger sphere can be constructed by looking at \mathbb{S}^3 as a hypersurface of the quaternions. As before we take the frame $X_1(u) = u\mathbf{i}$, $X_2(u) = u\mathbf{j}$, $X_3(u) = -u\mathbf{k}$ of left invariant vector fields. It follows by a straightforward calculation that

$$[X_1, X_2] = -2X_3, \quad [X_2, X_3] = -2X_1, \quad [X_3, X_1] = -2X_2.$$

We now define a new metric g_b , depending on two constants τ and κ on \mathbb{S}^3 by

$$g_b(X, Y) = \frac{4}{\kappa} \left(\langle X, Y \rangle + \left(\frac{4\tau^2}{\kappa} - 1 \right) \langle X, X_1 \rangle \langle Y, X_1 \rangle \right).$$

This implies that the vector fields $E_1 = \frac{\kappa}{4\tau} X_1$, $E_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} X_2$ and $E_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} X_3$ form an orthonormal basis of the tangent space with respect to g_b . It follows immediately from the Koszul formula that $\nabla_{E_i} E_i = 0$ and that

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= -\tau E_1, & \nabla_{E_2} E_1 &= \tau E_3, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_2 &= \tau E_1, & \nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= -\tau E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= \left(\tau - \frac{\kappa}{2\tau} \right) E_3, & \nabla_{E_1} E_3 &= \left(-\tau + \frac{\kappa}{2\tau} \right) E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the following theorem of [10] which can be proved similarly to the local version of the Cartan-Ambrose-Hicks theorem (cf. the proof of Theorem 1.7.18 of [30]), then shows that a manifold admitting such vector fields is locally isometric with a Berger sphere.

Proposition 5.2. *Let M^n and \tilde{M}^n be Riemannian manifolds with Levi-Civita connections ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$. Suppose that there exists constant c_{ij}^k , $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for all $p \in M$ and $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$ there exist orthonormal frame fields $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ around p and $\{\tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_n\}$ around \tilde{p} such that $\nabla_{E_i} E_j = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k E_k$ and $\nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} \tilde{E}_j = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k \tilde{E}_k$. Then for every point $p \in M$ and $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$ there exists a local isometry which maps a neighborhood of p onto a neighborhood of \tilde{p} and E_i on \tilde{E}_i .*

The previous proposition can of course be also applied in case that $\kappa = 4\tau^2$. In that case we simply have a regular sphere of constant sectional curvature.

Theorem 5.3. *Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Assume that there exists a local orthonormal frame as in **Case 1a**. Then M is locally congruent with the immersion $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3: u \mapsto (uiu^{-1}, uju^{-1})$, which is Example 4.7.*

Proof. We have that

$$\omega_{ij}^k = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \varepsilon_{ij}^k$$

and the only non vanishing component of the second fundamental form is

$$g(h(E_1, E_2), JE_3) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

This implies immediately that M is congruent with a space of constant sectional curvature $\frac{3}{16}$. Moreover, from the beginning of the discussion about **Case 1**, we know that the angle functions are given by $(2\theta_1, 2\theta_2, 2\theta_3) = (0, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3})$. So we can find a local basis such that $\sqrt{3}JG(E_1, E_2) = E_3$ and

$$PE_1 = E_1, \quad PE_2 = -\frac{1}{2}E_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}JE_2, \quad PE_3 = -\frac{1}{2}E_3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}JE_3.$$

From this and (2.16) it follows that

$$QE_1 = -\sqrt{3}JE_1, \quad QE_2 = E_2, \quad QE_3 = -E_3.$$

Applying Proposition 5.2 and comparing with (5.15) (take $\kappa = \frac{3}{4}$, $\tau = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$), we have that we can identify M with \mathbb{S}^3 , with a proportional metric and that we may assume that

$$E_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}X_3, \quad E_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}X_1, \quad E_3 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}X_2.$$

We now write the immersion $f = (p, q)$ and $df(E_i) = D_{E_i}f = (p\alpha_i, q\beta_i)$ where α_i, β_i are imaginary quaternions. In view of the above properties of Q , it immediately follows that $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\beta_3 = 0$.

Moreover using the expression for P and the fact that $JG(E_1, E_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}E_3$ we have that $\nabla_{E_1}^E E_1 = \nabla_{E_2}^E E_2 = \nabla_{E_3}^E E_3 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{E_1}^E E_2 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{E_1}^E E_3 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_2}^E E_1 &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}E_3 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(p\alpha_3, 0), \\ \nabla_{E_2}^E E_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(E_1 - QE_1) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(p\alpha_1, 0), \\ \nabla_{E_3}^E E_2 &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(E_1 + QE_1) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(0, q\alpha_1), \\ \nabla_{E_3}^E E_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}E_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(0, q\beta_2).\end{aligned}$$

From the relation between the nearly Kähler metric and the usual Euclidean product metric (see (2.18)), we have that E_1, E_2, E_3 are orthogonal with respect to the induced Euclidean product metric and that their lengths are given by

$$\langle E_1, E_1 \rangle = \frac{3}{2}, \quad \langle E_2, E_2 \rangle = \langle E_3, E_3 \rangle = \frac{3}{4}.$$

This in turn implies that $\alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_3$ are mutually orthogonal imaginary quaternions and

$$|\alpha_1|^2 = \frac{3}{4}, \quad |\beta_2|^2 = |\alpha_3|^2 = \frac{3}{4}.$$

On the other hand, from

$$D_{E_j} D_{E_i} f = (p\alpha_j \alpha_i + pE_j(\alpha_i), q\beta_j \beta_i + qE_j(\beta_i)),$$

it follows that

$$\nabla_{E_j}^E E_i = (p(\alpha_j \times \alpha_i + E_j(\alpha_i)), q(\beta_j \times \beta_i + E_j(\beta_i))).$$

Hence substituting $\alpha_2 = 0$, $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$ and $\beta_3 = 0$ it follows that

$$\beta_2 \times \alpha_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\alpha_3,$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}E_2(\alpha_1) &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\alpha_3, & E_3(\alpha_1) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\beta_2, & E_1(\alpha_1) &= 0, \\ E_2(\beta_2) &= 0, & E_3(\beta_2) &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\alpha_1, & E_1(\beta_2) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\alpha_3, \\ E_2(\alpha_3) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\alpha_1, & E_3(\alpha_3) &= 0, & E_1(\alpha_3) &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\beta_2.\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the standard vector fields X_1, X_2, X_3 this gives

$$\begin{aligned}X_1(\alpha_1) &= 2\alpha_3, & X_2(\alpha_1) &= -2\beta_2, & X_3(\alpha_1) &= 0, \\ X_1(\beta_2) &= 0, & X_2(\beta_2) &= 2\alpha_1, & X_3(\beta_2) &= -2\alpha_3, \\ X_1(\alpha_3) &= -2\alpha_1, & X_2(\alpha_3) &= 0, & X_3(\alpha_3) &= 2\beta_2.\end{aligned}$$

We can choose a rotation (unitary quaternion h) such that

$$\beta_2(1) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}h\mathbf{i}h^{-1}, \quad \alpha_3(1) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}h\mathbf{j}h^{-1}, \quad \alpha_1(1) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}h\mathbf{k}h^{-1},$$

and we can pick the initial conditions such that $f(1) = (h\mathbf{i}h^{-1}, h\mathbf{j}h^{-1})$. As the differential equations for α_i, β_i, p and q are linear systems of differential equations with fixed initial conditions we can apply a standard uniqueness theorem. It is therefore sufficient to give a solution which satisfies the above system with the given initial conditions.

We have that

$$\beta_2(u) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}h\mathbf{u}\mathbf{i}u^{-1}h^{-1}, \quad \alpha_3(u) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}h\mathbf{u}\mathbf{j}u^{-1}h^{-1}, \quad \alpha_1(u) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}h\mathbf{u}\mathbf{k}u^{-1}h^{-1},$$

satisfy $X_1(\beta_2) = X_2(\alpha_3) = X_3(\alpha_1) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(\alpha_1) &= -2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huiku^{-1}h^{-1} = 2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huju^{-1}h^{-1} = 2\alpha_3, \\ X_2(\alpha_1) &= -2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}hujku^{-1}h^{-1} = -2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huiu^{-1}h^{-1} = -2\beta_2, \\ X_3(\alpha_3) &= 2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}hu(-\mathbf{k})ju^{-1}h^{-1} = 2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huiu^{-1}h^{-1} = 2\beta_2, \\ X_3(\beta_2) &= 2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}hu(-\mathbf{k})iu^{-1}h^{-1} = -2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huju^{-1}h^{-1} = -2\alpha_3, \\ X_1(\alpha_3) &= 2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huiju^{-1}h^{-1} = 2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huku^{-1}h^{-1} = -2\alpha_1, \\ X_2(\beta_2) &= 2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}hujju^{-1}h^{-1} = -2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huku^{-1}h^{-1} = 2\alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, if we take $p = huiu^{-1}h^{-1}$ and $q = huju^{-1}h^{-1}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{E_1}p &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}D_{X_3}p = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huju^{-1}h^{-1} = huiu^{-1}h^{-1}(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huku^{-1}h^{-1}) = p\alpha_1, \\ D_{E_2}p &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}D_{X_1}p = 0 = p\alpha_2, \\ D_{E_3}p &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}D_{X_2}p = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huku^{-1}h^{-1} = huiu^{-1}h^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huju^{-1}h^{-1}) = p\alpha_3, \\ D_{E_1}q &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}D_{X_3}q = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}hu(-\mathbf{i})u^{-1}h^{-1} = huju^{-1}h^{-1}(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huku^{-1}h^{-1}) = q\beta_1, \\ D_{E_2}q &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}D_{X_1}q = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huku^{-1}h^{-1} = huju^{-1}h^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}huiu^{-1}h^{-1}) = q\beta_2, \\ D_{E_3}q &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}D_{X_2}q = 0 = q\beta_3. \end{aligned}$$

After applying an isometry of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, we complete the proof of the theorem. \square

Case 1b: $\mathbf{h}_{12}^3 = -\frac{1}{2}$. In this case, all connection coefficients are zero and the submanifold M is flat. In that case we have

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Assume that there exists a local orthonormal frame as in **Case 1b**. Then M is locally congruent with the immersion $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : (u, v, w) \mapsto (p(u, w), q(u, v))$, where p and q are constant mean curvature tori in \mathbb{S}^3 given in Example 4.8.*

Proof. We know that all connection coefficients vanish and that the only non vanishing component of the second fundamental form is $g(h(E_1, E_2), JE_3) = -\frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, from the beginning of the discussion about **Case 1**, we know that the angle functions are given by $(2\theta_1, 2\theta_2, 2\theta_3) = (0, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3})$. So we can find a local basis such that $\sqrt{3}JG(E_1, E_2) = E_3$ and

$$PE_1 = E_1, PE_2 = -\frac{1}{2}E_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}JE_2, PE_3 = -\frac{1}{2}E_3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}JE_3.$$

From this it follows that

$$QE_1 = -\sqrt{3}JE_1, QE_2 = E_2, QE_3 = -E_3.$$

As the connection coefficients vanish we may identify E_1, E_2, E_3 with coordinate vector fields. As before we write the $f = (p, q)$ and we denote the coordinates by u, v, w . Therefore, we have $E_1 = f_u, E_2 = f_v, E_3 = f_w$. It immediately follows from the above expression of Q that p does not depend on v , q does not depend on w (i.e., $p_v = q_w = 0$) and that $p^{-1}p_u = q^{-1}q_u$.

Moreover using the above expression for P and the fact that $JG(f_u, f_v) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}f_w$, we have that $\nabla_{f_u}^E f_u = \nabla_{f_v}^E f_v = \nabla_{f_w}^E f_w = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{f_u}^E f_v &= \nabla_{f_v}^E f_u = -\frac{1}{2}Jf_w - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}f_w - \frac{1}{4}Jf_w = -\frac{3}{4}Jf_w - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}f_w, \\ \nabla_{f_u}^E f_w &= \nabla_{f_w}^E f_u = -\frac{1}{2}Jf_v + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}f_v - \frac{1}{4}Jf_v = -\frac{3}{4}Jf_v + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}f_v, \\ \nabla_{f_v}^E f_w &= \nabla_{f_w}^E f_v = -\frac{1}{2}Jf_u + \frac{1}{2}Jf_u = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the relation between the nearly Kähler metric and the usual Euclidean product metric (see (2.18)), we have that f_u, f_v, f_w are also orthogonal with respect to the induced Euclidean product metric and that their lengths are given by

$$\langle f_u, f_u \rangle = \frac{3}{2}, \quad \langle f_v, f_v \rangle = \langle f_w, f_w \rangle = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Moreover from (2.16) and (2.18), we have $\langle X, QY \rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g(X, JPY)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_u, Qf_v \rangle &= \langle f_v, Qf_w \rangle = \langle f_u, Qf_w \rangle = 0, \\ \langle f_u, Qf_u \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle f_v, Qf_v \rangle = \frac{3}{4}, \quad \langle f_w, Qf_w \rangle = -\frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$D_X Y = \nabla_X^E Y - \frac{1}{2}\langle X, Y \rangle f - \frac{1}{2}\langle X, QY \rangle Qf,$$

where D denotes the usual covariant derivative on $\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{R}^8$, we deduce by combining the above equations that the immersion f is determined by the following system of partial differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{uu} &= -\frac{3}{4}f, \quad f_{vv} = -\frac{3}{8}f - \frac{3}{8}Qf, \quad f_{ww} = -\frac{3}{8}f + \frac{3}{8}Qf, \\ f_{vw} &= 0, \quad f_{uv} = -\frac{3}{4}Jf_w - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}f_w, \quad f_{uw} = -\frac{3}{4}Jf_v + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}f_v. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the components p and q this reduces to

$$(5.16) \quad p_{uu} = -\frac{3}{4}p, \quad p_{ww} = -\frac{3}{4}p, \quad p_{uw} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}pq^{-1}q_v.$$

and

$$(5.17) \quad q_{uu} = -\frac{3}{4}q, \quad q_{vv} = -\frac{3}{4}q, \quad q_{uv} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}qp^{-1}p_w.$$

In order to simplify expressions, in the following we will write $\tilde{u} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u$, $\tilde{v} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}v$ and $\tilde{w} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}w$.

We first look at the system of differential equations for p (see (5.16)). Solving the first two equations in (5.16), it follows that we can write

$$p = A_1 \cos(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}) + A_2 \cos(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}) + A_3 \sin(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}) + A_4 \sin(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}),$$

where in order to simplify expressions we have written $\tilde{u} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u$, $\tilde{v} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}v$ and $\tilde{w} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}w$. Using now the fact that $\langle p, p \rangle = 1$ together with $\langle p_u, p_w \rangle = 0$ (as f_u and f_w are mutually orthogonal with respect to the induced Euclidean product metric) it follows that by applying an isometry of $SO(4)$ we may write $A_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $A_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $A_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ and $A_4 = (0, 0, 0, \varepsilon_1)$, where $\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1$.

A similar argument is of course valid for the second map q . Also it is well known that $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 = SU(2) \times SU(2)$ is the double cover of $SO(4)$, so any rotation $R \in SO(4)$ can be written as $R(x) = \alpha x \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{S}^3$. Therefore, applying an isometry of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} p &= (\cos(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), \cos(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w}), \sin(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{w}), \varepsilon_1 \sin(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{w})), \\ q &= (\cos(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{v}), \cos(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{v}), \sin(\tilde{u}) \cos(\tilde{v}), \varepsilon_2 \sin(\tilde{u}) \sin(\tilde{v}))d, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $d = (d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)$ is a unitary quaternion. Note that taking d or $-d$ gives up to an isometry the same example. Looking now at $p^{-1}p_{uw} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}q^{-1}q_v = 0$ (see (5.16)) it immediately follows that $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 1$.

Moreover we get from $p_{uw} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}pq^{-1}q_v$, $q_{uv} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}qp^{-1}p_w$ (see (5.16) and (5.17)) that the unit quaternion d has to satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2 + d_4^2 &= 1, \\ d_1 d_2 + d_3 d_4 &= d_1 d_4 - d_2 d_3 = 0, \\ d_1^2 + d_2^2 - d_3^2 - d_4^2 &= d_1^2 - d_2^2 - d_3^2 + d_4^2 = 0, \\ -1 - 2d_1 d_3 + 2d_2 d_4 &= 1 + 2d_1 d_3 + 2d_2 d_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This reduces to

$$d_3^2 = d_1^2, \quad d_4^2 = d_2^2, \quad d_1^2 + d_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad d_1 d_3 = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad d_4 d_2 = 0.$$

This system has solutions $d = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$ and $d = (-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Case 2: $\mathbf{h}_{12}^3 = \mathbf{0}$. In this case, recall that $(\lambda_i(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) + \mu_i(\mu_j - \mu_k)) = 2 \sin(\theta_j - \theta_k) \sin(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k)$. Therefore, the general solution of (5.10) is

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{ii}^j &= -2\alpha_j \sin(\theta_j - \theta_k) \sin(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k), \\ h_{kk}^j &= 2\alpha_j \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j) \sin(2\theta_k - \theta_i - \theta_j), \end{aligned}$$

where here and throughout the remainder of case, (ijk) **denotes a positive permutation of** (123) and α_1, α_2 and α_3 are some real functions. The components h_{ii}^i can be calculated using the minimality of M .

As before we may assume that M is not totally geodesic. Then from Lemma 3.8 we may assume that all the angle functions are different (modulo π). Hence, $\sin(\theta_i - \theta_j) \neq 0, \forall i \neq j$. We will show by contradiction that **Case 2** cannot occur.

By the second equation in Lemma 3.4 and (5.18), one then can express the ω_{ij}^k in terms of the h_{ij}^k (since $\sin(\theta_j - \theta_k) \neq 0, \forall j \neq k$). This gives us for all positive permutations (ijk) of (123) that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{ii}^j &= 2\alpha_j \cot(\theta_j - \theta_i) \sin(\theta_k - \theta_j) \sin(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k), \\ \omega_{kk}^j &= 2\alpha_j \cot(\theta_j - \theta_k) \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j) \sin(2\theta_k - \theta_i - \theta_j), \\ \omega_{ij}^k &= -\omega_{ik}^j = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.4 and (5.18), the differential equations for the angles become

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} E_j(\theta_i) &= 2\alpha_j \sin(\theta_j - \theta_k) \sin(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k), \\ E_j(\theta_k) &= -2\alpha_j \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j) \sin(2\theta_k - \theta_i - \theta_j), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1(\theta_1) &= -\alpha_1(\cos(2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) + \cos(2(\theta_1 - \theta_3)) - 2\cos(2(\theta_2 - \theta_3))), \\ E_2(\theta_2) &= -\alpha_2(\cos(2(\theta_2 - \theta_3)) + \cos(2(\theta_2 - \theta_1)) - 2\cos(2(\theta_3 - \theta_1))), \\ E_3(\theta_3) &= -\alpha_3(\cos(2(\theta_3 - \theta_1)) + \cos(2(\theta_3 - \theta_2)) - 2\cos(2(\theta_1 - \theta_2))). \end{aligned}$$

First, we deal with the case that $\cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) \neq 0 \neq \sin(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k), \forall i, j, k$ distinct. In that case, we find using the above expressions for ω_{ij}^k together with the Gauss equation (3.2) for $R(E_i, E_j)E_k$ that

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(\alpha_j) &= -\frac{1}{6} \csc(\theta_i - \theta_j) \sin(\theta_i - \theta_k) \csc(\theta_i + \theta_j - 2\theta_k) \times \\ &\quad \left[6\alpha_i \alpha_j \sin(\theta_i + \theta_j - 2\theta_k) (-7 \sin(\theta_j - \theta_k) + 2 \sin(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k) + \sin(2\theta_i - 3\theta_j + \theta_k)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\sqrt{3}\alpha_k \sin(\theta_i - 2\theta_j + \theta_k) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_j(\alpha_i) &= \frac{1}{6} \csc(\theta_i - \theta_j) \sin(\theta_j - \theta_k) \csc(\theta_i + \theta_j - 2\theta_k) \times \\ &\quad \left[6\alpha_i \alpha_j \sin(\theta_i + \theta_j - 2\theta_k) (-7 \sin(\theta_i - \theta_k) + 2 \sin(2\theta_j - \theta_i - \theta_k) + \sin(2\theta_j - 3\theta_i + \theta_k)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \sin(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these derivatives into the compatibility conditions,

$$E_i(E_j(\theta_i)) - E_j(E_i(\theta_i)) = (\nabla_{E_i} E_j - \nabla_{E_j} E_i)(\theta_i),$$

gives the following three equations relating the functions α_1 , α_2 and α_3 :

(5.21)

$$\alpha_i(\sin(2\theta_k - \theta_i - \theta_j) \sin(\theta_k + \theta_i - 2\theta_j)) = 8\sqrt{3}\alpha_j\alpha_k \sin^3(\theta_k - \theta_j) \sin^2(\theta_k - 2\theta_i + \theta_j),$$

for every positive permutation (ijk) of (123) . So we have equations of the form $x_i\alpha_i = \alpha_j\alpha_k$, where

$$x_i = \frac{\sin(2\theta_k - \theta_i - \theta_j) \sin(\theta_k + \theta_i - 2\theta_j)}{8\sqrt{3} \sin^3(\theta_k - \theta_j) \sin^2(\theta_k - 2\theta_i + \theta_j)} \neq 0.$$

As the lagrangian submanifold M is not totally geodesic, from (5.18) we know that not all the α_i can vanish at the same time. Therefore it follows from the above system of equations (5.21) that

$$\alpha_i^2 = x_j x_k = -\frac{\sin^2(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k)}{192 \sin^3(\theta_i - \theta_j) \sin^3(\theta_i - \theta_k) \sin(\theta_i + \theta_j - 2\theta_k) \sin(\theta_i - 2\theta_j + \theta_k)}.$$

Using the Gauss equation (3.2) to calculate the sectional curvature K of the plane spanned by E_i and E_j , we have that $K = \frac{5}{12} + \frac{1}{3} \cos(2(\theta_i - \theta_j))$ for all $i \neq j$. As the sectional curvature is constant, this implies that

$$\cos(2(\theta_i - \theta_j)) = \cos(2(\theta_k - \theta_j)),$$

which means that all the angles are constant, hence by Lemma 3.4 and the assumption of **Case 2** that $h_{12}^3 = 0$, the submanifold M is totally geodesic. So we get a contradiction with the assumption that M is not totally geodesic.

Next, we deal with the case that there exist some i, j, k which are distinct such that $\sin(2\theta_i - \theta_j - \theta_k) = 0$. As the sum of the angles is a multiple of π and all the angles are determined up to a multiple of π , in this case it is sufficient to consider the case that $\theta_1 = \frac{b\pi}{3}$ and $\theta_3 = a\pi - \theta_1 - \theta_2 = a\pi - \frac{b\pi}{3} - \theta_2$, where a and b are some constant integers and θ_2 is not constant. As $\theta_2 + \theta_3 = a\pi - \frac{b\pi}{3} = \text{constant}$, θ_2 is not constant, using (5.19), we get from

$$0 = E_1(\theta_2 + \theta_3) = 2\alpha_1[1 - 2\cos^2(a\pi - \frac{b\pi}{3} - 2\theta_2) + (-1)^{a-b} \cos(a\pi - \frac{b\pi}{3} - 2\theta_2)]$$

that α_1 has to vanish. Then from the Gauss equation (3.2) we obtain that the sectional curvature K of the plane spanned by E_1 and E_2 is given by

$$K = \frac{5}{12} + \frac{1}{3} \cos(2\theta_2 - \frac{2b\pi}{3}).$$

As M has constant sectional curvature this implies that θ_2 , and therefore all angle functions, are constant. Hence by Lemma 3.4 and the assumption of **Case 2** that $h_{12}^3 = 0$, the submanifold M is totally geodesic. So we get a contradiction with the assumption that M is not totally geodesic.

Finally, we deal with the case that there exist some i, j such that $\cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) = 0$. As the sum of the angles is a multiple of π and all the angles are only determined up to a multiple of π , in this case it is sufficient to consider the case that

$$\theta_2 = \theta_1 - \frac{b\pi}{2}, \quad \theta_3 = a\pi - \theta_1 - \theta_2 = a\pi + \frac{b\pi}{2} - 2\theta_1,$$

where a is a constant integer, b is an odd constant integer and θ_1 is not constant. As $\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \frac{b\pi}{2} = \text{constant}$, θ_1 is not constant, using (5.19)-(5.20), we get from

$$0 = E_1(\theta_1 - \theta_2) = -\alpha_1(2\cos(b\pi) - 3\cos(6\theta_1) + \cos(b\pi - 6\theta_1)),$$

$$0 = E_2(\theta_1 - \theta_2) = \alpha_2(2\cos(b\pi) + \cos(6\theta_1) - 3\cos(b\pi - 6\theta_1)),$$

$$0 = E_3(\theta_1 - \theta_2) = 2\alpha_3 \sin\left(\frac{b\pi}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{3b\pi}{2} - 6\theta_1\right),$$

that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$. Hence by Lemma 3.4 and the assumption of **Case 2** that $h_{12}^3 = 0$, the submanifold M is totally geodesic. So we get a contradiction with the assumption that M is not totally geodesic.

Therefore, we have proved that **Case 2** cannot occur.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 : Assume that M is a Lagrangian submanifold of constant sectional curvature in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. First, we consider the case that M is totally geodesic, then applying Theorem 1.3 obtained by Zhang-Hu-Dioos-Vrancken-Wang, we get that M is locally congruent with one of the following immersions:

- (1) $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u, 1)$, which is Example 4.1,
- (2) $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (1, u)$, which is Example 4.2,
- (3) $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (u, u)$, which is Example 4.3.

Second, we consider the case that M is not totally geodesic. They applying our discussions for Case 1a (see Theorem 5.3), Case 1b (see Theorem 5.4) and Case 2 (we have proved that this case cannot occur), we obtain that M is locally congruent with one of the following immersions:

- (4) $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : u \mapsto (uiu^{-1}, uju^{-1})$, which is Example 4.7,
- (5) $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 : (u, v, w) \mapsto (p(u, w), q(u, v))$, where p and q are constant mean curvature tori in \mathbb{S}^3 given in Example 4.8.

This complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Belgun and A. Moroianu, *Nearly Kähler 6-manifolds with reduced holonomy*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **19** (2001), no. 4, 307–319.
- [2] J. Bolton, F. Dillen, B. Dioos, and L. Vrancken, *Almost complex surfaces in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$* , Tôhoku Math. J. **67** (2015), no. 1, 1–17.
- [3] J.-B. Butruille, *Classification des variétés approximativement kähleriennes homogènes*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **27** (2005), no. 3, 201–225.
- [4] J.-B. Butruille, *Homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds*, in: Handbook of Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry, 399–423, RMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., 16, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010.
- [5] B.-Y. Chen, *Riemannian geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds*, Taiwanese J. Math. **5** (2001), no. 4, 681–723.
- [6] ———, *Pseudo-Riemannian Submanifolds, δ -invariants and Applications*, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2011.
- [7] B.-Y. Chen and K. Ogiue, *On totally real submanifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **193** (1974), 257–266.
- [8] R. Cleyton and A. Swann, *Einstein metrics via intrinsic or parallel torsion*, Math. Z. **247** (2004), no. 3, 513–528.
- [9] F. Dillen, B. Opozda, L. Verstraelen, and L. Vrancken, *On totally real 3-dimensional submanifolds of the nearly Kaehler 6-sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), no. 4, 741–749.
- [10] F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen, and L. Vrancken, *Classification of totally real 3-dimensional submanifolds of $S^6(1)$ with $K \geq 1/16$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **42** (1990), no. 4, 565–584.
- [11] F. Dillen and L. Vrancken, *Totally real submanifolds in $S^6(1)$ satisfying Chen's equality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), no. 4, 1633–1646.
- [12] B. Dioos, H. Li, H. Ma, and L. Vrancken, *Flat almost complex surfaces in $S^3 \times S^3$* , Preprint, 2015.
- [13] N. Ejiri, *Totally real submanifolds in a 6-sphere*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), no. 4, 759–763.
- [14] ———, *Totally real minimal immersions of n -dimensional real space*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **84** (1982), no. 2, 243–246.
- [15] A. Gray, *Nearly Kähler manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **4** (1970), 283–309.
- [16] ———, *The structure of nearly Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), no. 3, 233–248.
- [17] J. Gutowski, S. Ivanov and G. Papadopoulos, *Deformations of generalized calibrations and compact non-Kähler manifolds with vanishing first Chern class*, Asian J. Math. **7** (2003), 39–79.
- [18] J. D. Lotay, *Ruled Lagrangian submanifolds of the 6-sphere*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 5, 2305–2339.
- [19] H. Ma and Y. Ohnita, *On Lagrangian submanifolds in complex hyperquadrics and isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres*, Math. Z. **261** (2009), no. 4, 749–785.

- [20] H. Ma and Y. Ohnita, *Hamiltonian stability of the Gauss images of homogeneous isoparametric hypersurfaces. I*, J. Differential Geom. **97** (2014), no. 2, 275–348.
- [21] A. Moroianu, P. A. Nagy, and U. Semmelmann, *Unit Killing vector fields on nearly Kähler manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), 281–301.
- [22] A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, *Infinitesimal Einstein deformations of nearly Kähler metrics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 6, 3057–3069.
- [23] A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, *Generalized Killing spinors and Lagrangian graphs*, Differ. Geom. Appl. **37** (2014), 141–151.
- [24] P.-A. Nagy, *Nearly Kähler geometry and Riemannian foliations*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), no. 3, 481–504.
- [25] ———, *On nearly-Kähler geometry*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **22** (2002), no. 2, 167–178.
- [26] Y.-G. Oh, *Volume minimization of Lagrangian submanifolds under Hamiltonian deformations*, Math. Z. **212** (1993), no. 2, 175–192.
- [27] F. Podestà and A. Spiro, *6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds of cohomogeneity one*, J. Geom. Phys. **60** (2010), no. 6, 156–164.
- [28] L. Schäfer and K. Smoczyk, *Decomposition and minimality of Lagrangian submanifolds in nearly Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **37** (2009), no. 3, 221–240.
- [29] Z. I. Szabo, *Structure theorems on riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$. I. the local version*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), no. 4, 531–582.
- [30] J. A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-London-Sydney, 1967.
- [31] Y. Zhang, Z. Hu, B. Diao, L. Vrancken and X. Wang, *Lagrangian submanifolds in the 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds with parallel second fundamental form*, Preprint, 2016.

KU LEUVEN, DEPARTEMENT WISKUNDE, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, 3001 LEUVEN, BELGIUM
E-mail address: bart.diao@wis.kuleuven.be

LAMAV, UNIVERSITÉ DE VALENCIENNES, CAMPUS DU MONT HOUY, 59313 VALENCIENNES
CEDEX 9, FRANCE; KU LEUVEN, DEPARTEMENT WISKUNDE CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, 3001 LEUVEN, BELGIUM

E-mail address: Luc.Vrancken@univ-valenciennes.fr

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: wangxianfeng@nankai.edu.cn