



HAL
open science

Human Machine Interface: A review for future applications in train driving

Simon Enjalbert, Livia Maria Gandini, Alexandre Pereda-Banos, Stefano Ricci, Frédéric Vanderhaegen

► **To cite this version:**

Simon Enjalbert, Livia Maria Gandini, Alexandre Pereda-Banos, Stefano Ricci, Frédéric Vanderhaegen. Human Machine Interface: A review for future applications in train driving. Resource Efficient Vehicles Conference, Jun 2021, Stockholm, Sweden. hal-03407974

HAL Id: hal-03407974

<https://uphf.hal.science/hal-03407974v1>

Submitted on 15 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Human Machine Interface: a review for future applications in trains' driving

Simon Enjalbert¹, Livia Maria Gandini², Alexander Pereda Baños³, Stefano Ricci^{2*}, Frederic Vanderhaegen¹

¹*Université Polytechnique Hauts-de-France, Department of Automation and Control, 59313 Valenciennes, France*

²*Sapienza Università di Roma, DICEA, Via Eudossiana 18, 00184 Roma, Italy*

³*Eurecat, Centro Tecnológico de Cataluña, 08290 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain*

**Corresponding author. Email: stefano.ricci@uniroma1.it*

The paper deals with the description of preliminary research work based on extended investigation focused on categorized Human Machines Interface (HMI), describing their technical approaches and emerging operational issues. The study aims at reviewing and analysing HMI, their design and control systems currently on the market or in experimental operation. The analysis acts as a review manual on HMI technologies to design customized HMI and analyse the technologies used or tested in the present technological scenario. The paper starts by addressing the systems developed by manufacturers of surface vehicles outside railway field: trucks, cars and ships. It follows with the performances of simulators developed for various transport systems: rail, cars, aviation and integrated solutions. Finally, the focus is on the comparative analysis of the performances of supporting tools for train driver's assistance, a synthetic analysis of results and the organization of the survey among drivers to check expectations and acceptance of potential solutions.

1. Introduction

The field of automation is gaining increasing interest from research in various application fields, along a path began in the 1920s, with the first radio-controlled vehicle. Today, there is an increasing interest of companies to invest towards large-scale adoption of self-driving vehicles. Studies on the user experience aboard autonomous or semi-autonomous vehicles are in close connection with the question of trust in the Human Machine Interface (HMI). In particular, in a semi-automatic vehicle, the design of HMI must give awareness of driving situation, to regain effectively the control, whenever called upon to intervene. Within the CARBODIN research project, co-funded under the Shift2Rail Programme, the aim is meeting the expectations of train drivers and staffs with HMI automatic functions to arrive to progress towards their introduction. The focus is on the identification of required inputs and available outputs of various systems and operator preferences, further investigated by dedicated surveys on potential HMI configurations to design new drivers' cabins and build a virtual mock-up with immersive technology using specific banks of sounds and gestures.

2. Path towards the future train drivers cabin

The railway transport systems in general are historically less sensible than others are to the pilot application of disruptive technologies. This is firstly due to the combination of the rigorous intrinsic safety requirements qualifying it and the general longer technical life of its components and the system itself. Therefore, in view of the progressive introduction of the innovations supporting the train driving functions, the CARBODIN project [1] aims at joining the identification of the most promising technological driving supports with the expectations of train drivers or other railway operator staffs about HMI in future cabins. The basis of this are 360° surveys about potentially applicable mature technologies on one side as well as preferences and efficiencies of possible new innovative configurations on the other side, by taking into account the key role of human factors, particularly in the design of input and output sensors, gestures and supporting acoustic and visual signals.

3. State-of-the-art in driving automation

Within the framework of what discussed above, the progressive introduction of the innovations supporting the train driving functions, started from the analysis of systems developed by manufacturers of surface vehicles, namely trucks, cars and ships. The reasoning behind this choice is that for the application in railway operational environment, almost mono-dimensional due to the guidance of rails, the applications in other surface transport systems have a higher applicability potential than those tested or applied in typical three-dimensional operational environments, such as aviation and the same is for the speed ranges. Nevertheless, aeronautical applications remains in the scope of the study as potential sources of solutions for specific interfaces, such as sensors, sounds and gestures used in real driving systems and simulators.

A systematic review of potentially promising systems is in [2]. Table 1 provides with an overview of the automation functions performed by some systems on the market, in view of a potential use in the trains driving functions.









Table 1. Overview of investigated systems implemented in various typologies of vehicles

Name	Developer	Operating features	Vehicle
<i>MAN PLATOONING</i>		Driver assistance and control with hand always on the wheel	Trucks
<i>HIGHWAY PILOT</i>		Full autopilot with manual selection	Trucks
<i>AUTOPILOT 2.5</i>		Autopilot's assistance and control with hand always on the wheel	Cars
<i>iNEXT-COPILOT</i>		Co-pilot switchable to autonomous driving manually or by voice	Cars
<i>DRIVE PILOT</i>		Fall-back-ready user resuming manual driving by steering, braking, accelerating or switching off manually	Cars
<i>THE FALCO</i>		Full automation with ergonomic HMI, control levers and touch screens for call up and control	Ships

4. State-of-the-art in driving simulation

Another important source of technological solutions applicable to rail cabins comes from the professional simulators. Also in this field, surveys and analysis focuses on the surface transport systems and covers purely rail vehicles applications, road vehicles and integrated systems [3] [4] [5], as summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Overview of investigated simulators

Name	Developer	Operating features	Vehicle
<i>PSCHITT-RAIL</i>		Movements capture by eyes trackers and physiological measurement sensors	Trains
<i>SPICA RAIL</i>		Increasing disturbances to evaluate human behaviours and supervision platform	Trains
<i>OKTAL SYDAC</i>		Replicas of the cab to ensure realistic driving experiences	Trains
<i>IFFSTAR-RAIL</i>		Reproduction of driving and rail traffic supervision	Trains
<i>IFFSTAR TS2</i>		Impact of internal and external factors on driver behaviours and fixed-base cab HMI	Cars
<i>NDIVIA DRIVE</i>		Interface among environment, vehicles and traffic scenario by open platform sensors	Cars
<i>VRX-2019</i>		Autonomous vehicle experiencing feelings of cockpit's HMI by advanced sensors	Cars
<i>MISSRAIL</i>		Automated driving assistance combining accident scenarios including pedestrians, trains and cars with human factor control	Integrated

Simulators represent a key starting point for the design of innovative driving functions, as well as for the safety of traffic supervision within railway systems [6] [7] [8] and interactions with other transport networks [9] [10]. Their benefits are the possibility to test, in advance, applicability and capability to generate improvements of operational performances, to obtain information about the future design and reduce the deployment costs.

5. Emerging gesture technologies

From the analysis on driving automation and simulation systems, as well as from a dedicated literature review, emerged a large set of technologies available on the market to control devices by gestures. A not comprehensive list of them is in Table 3.

Starting from analyses developed in a similar study [11], Table 4 summarize the results of a comparative Strength, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats (SWOT) analysis focused on the most relevant features differentiating three of the most promising gesture control devices: *KINECT* (by *Microsoft*), *LEAP MOTION* (by *Ultrahaptics*) and *MYO BRACELET* (by *Thalmic Labs*). Due to the recurrence for all systems, the table does not include general threats, such as the lack of definition of a process for the recovery of gesture control intrusion. Moreover, all the investigated systems investigated have reached, in their respective fields, Technology Readiness Levels (TRL) variable among TRL7 (System prototype demonstration in operational environment), TRL8 (System complete and qualified) and TRL 9 (Actual system proven in operational environment).

Table 3: Overview of control devices by gesture











Name	Developer	Operating features
<i>DEPTHENSE CARLIB</i>	SONY	Controlling movements of infotainments by hand
<i>EYEDRIVE GESTURE CONTROL</i>		Recognizing hand gestures while driving to control devices by infrared sensors
<i>HAPTIX</i>		Recognizing classical hand movements and building a virtual mouse to control screen interface by webcams
<i>KINECT</i>		Capturing body or hand movements to control devices by webcams
<i>LEAP MOTION</i>		Recognizing hands or objects movements for virtual reality by infrared light, 3D micro-cameras
<i>MYO BRACELET</i>		Detecting hands or fingers movements to control interfaces with electrical activation of muscles
<i>SOLI</i>		Identification of movements of fingers or body by mini-radar
<i>SWIPE</i>		Reading hand motions in front of a small contactless tablet for home automation purposes
<i>XPERIA TOUCH DEVICE</i>	SONY	Tracking proximate hand gesture via the smartphone camera

Table 4: Differential items in SWOT analysis of selected control devices by gesture

Name	Developer	Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
<i>KINECT</i>		1) Identification of body motions	1) Operational difficulties in restricted space 2) Interference movements-sensor	1) Combination of gesture control with facial/voice recognition	-
<i>LEAP MOTION</i>		1) Identification of hands/fingers movements 2) Low price 3) Light weight	1) Deep training need 2) Interference movements-sensor	1) Combination of infrared light and cameras	-
<i>MYO BRACELET</i>		1) Gesture detection of bracelet wearer 2) Light weight	1) Deep training need 2) Few identified movements	1) Combination of physiological detection with gesture control	-

6. Survey on train drivers acceptance

The eminent role of the human behaviour in the field requires integrating the ongoing activity on the promising technologies and solutions with a survey directly involving drivers about their expectations and acceptance of potential solutions.

The objective of this first survey is to select the key targets of the train cab of the future and the interactions should be possible in this driver's cab by involving the drivers themselves from

the earliest stages of the creation of these new systems. The envisaged automation is willing to assist the driver in providing the best possible user experience, adapted to the constraints of the job and integrating the latest technologies for more comfort of use and efficiency.

The investigated technologies are applicable to various means of Action (A) and Information (I) that would enable the driver to keep informed of the state of the train, such as:

- **AUDIO:** Voice control of the system (A) and audible notification (I);
- **TOUCH SCREEN:** Use of touch screens (smartphone, tablet, etc.) for entering commands (A) and reading information (I);
- **GESTURE:** Gestures recognition to act on the system without contact (A);
- **VISUAL:** Projection of information into the driver's field of vision and accessible through a Head-Up Display (HUD), without leaving the lane of sight (I).
- **HAPTICAL:** Use of manipulator to add force feedback functions, assist the driver, accompanying or resisting the driver's movements for actions control (A) and information on speed (I).

Table 5 summarizes the potentially covered drivers' tasks included into the survey.

Table 5: Drivers' tasks potentially affected by the use of the selected innovative technologies

Key elements		Auxiliary systems		Additional devices	
Groups	Tasks	Group	Task	Group	Task
Situation awareness	Dead Man's Switch	Station stop	movement authorization	Lighting	Instrument brightness
	Signalling		Stopping		Cabin lighting
Speed regulation	Train Protection & Warning System		Accessories	Reverser	Comfort systems
	Cruise control	Sand spraying		Audio Announcement	
	Engine Throttle	Windshield cleaner		Passenger's energy	
	Brake	Wiper		Climate control	
Flow Management	Door / Bridging plate	Management power	Headlight	Configuration	Lighting
			Defrosting		Sound system
Alarms systems	Whistle		Management power		Change traction mode
	Emergency brake	Change voltage		Train settings	
	Emergency stop button	Pantograph			
	Alarm systems				
	Pantograph				
	Passenger alarm signal				

The survey, carried out in the first months of 2021, involved 1689 drivers from 15 different European countries.

Statistical analyses will follow, developed by means of the following techniques:

- *Boxplot* graphically depicting groups of numerical data through their quartiles, based on Action (A) / Information (I) and group of tasks depending on technologies);
- *Multiple Correspondence Analysis* (MCA) to detect and represent underlying structures in a data set (Age, Profession, Gender, Nationality, Country Network, Train Type).
- *Hierarchical Clustering Analysis* (HCA) to build a hierarchy of clusters.

7. Conclusions

All the investigated systems have reached in the respective application fields TRL7, TRL8 or TRL9. Therefore, they appear highly reliable in view of potential applications in the design of future trains' drivers' cabin. The experience matured in the analysis and selection process and in the survey with drivers demonstrated that many of them are well in line with the expectation setup within the CARBODIN and the related PIVOT2 Shift2Rail funded projects. They aim at reaching Technology Readiness Levels variable among TRL5 (Technology validated in relevant environment), TRL6 (Technology demonstrated in relevant environment) and TRL7 (System prototype demonstration in operational environment) [1].

The next research developments, based on the results from the first round of surveys, are progressive refinements that will allow at: 1) eliminating systems and technologies not adapted for innovative driving cabin and selection, based on the needs from operators, 2) implementing promising HMI in virtual mock-up demonstrator for further testing by professional drivers on a simulator.

Acknowledgments

The CARBODIN project has received funding from the Shift2Rail Joint Undertaking under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 881814.

References

1. CARBODIN - Car Body Shells, Doors and Interiors. H2020 - Shift2Rail Joint Undertaking. Grant Agreement n. 881814, 2019.
 2. Enjalbert S., Gandini L.M., Pereda Baños A., Ricci S., Vanderhaegen F. – Human-Machine Interface in Transport Systems: An Industrial Overview for More Extended Rail Applications - *Machines*, 9, 36, 2021.
 3. Vanderhaegen F., Richard P. - MissRail: a platform dedicated to training and research in railway systems - Proceedings of the international conference HCII - Heraklion, Crete, 2014.
 4. Vanderhaegen F. - MissRail® and Innorail - <http://www.missrail.org>, 2015.
 5. Vanderhaegen F. - Pedagogical learning supports based on human-systems inclusion applied to rail flow control. *Cognition - Technology & Work*, 2019.
 6. Malavasi G., Ricci S. - Simulation of stochastic elements in railway systems using self-learning processes - *European Journal of Operational Research*, 131, 2001.
 7. Ricci S., Tieri A. - Check and forecasting of railway traffic regularity by a Petri Nets based simulation model - *Ingegneria Ferroviaria*, 9, 2009.
 8. Ricci S., Capodilupo L., Tombesi E. - Discrete Events Simulation of Intermodal Terminals Operation: Modelling Techniques and Achievable Results - *Civil Comp Proceeding*, 2016
 9. Fang J., Yan D., Qiao J., Xue J. - DADA: A Large-scale Benchmark and Model for Driver Attention Prediction in Accidental Scenarios. *J. – LATEX*, 2019
 10. Lin S., Wang K., Yang K., Cheng R. - KrNet: A kinetic real-time convolutional neural network for
-

navigational assistance - Lecture Notes on Computer Science, 2018

11. Zhao L. - Gesture Control Technology: An investigation on the potential use in Higher Education - University of Birmingham, IT Innovation Centre, 2016