

Numerical Study of the Toughness of Complex Metal Matrix Composite Topologies

Julie Lemesle, Cédric Hubert, Maxence Bigerelle

▶ To cite this version:

Julie Lemesle, Cédric Hubert, Maxence Bigerelle. Numerical Study of the Toughness of Complex Metal Matrix Composite Topologies. Applied Sciences, 2020, 10 (18), pp.6250. 10.3390/app10186250 . hal-03440874

HAL Id: hal-03440874 https://uphf.hal.science/hal-03440874v1

Submitted on 14 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Article



Numerical Study of the Toughness of Complex Metal Matrix Composite Topologies

Julie Lemesle ^(D), Cedric Hubert and Maxence Bigerelle *

CNRS UMR 8201—LAMIH—Laboratoire d'Automatique, de Mécanique et d'Informatique Industrielles et Humaines, Univ. Polytechnique Hauts-de-France, F-59313 Valenciennes, France; Julie.Lemesle@uphf.fr (J.L.); Cedric.Hubert@uphf.fr (C.H.)

* Correspondence: Maxence.Bigerelle@uphf.fr

Received: 17 July 2020; Accepted: 7 September 2020; Published: 9 September 2020



Abstract: Fracture toughness tests (compact tension) of a dual material composed of a structured Metal Matrix Composite (MMC) (martensitic steel and titanium carbides, named MS-TiC) surrounded by martensitic steel (MS) are simulated with a Discrete Elements Model (DEM) developed with the GranOO Workbench. The MMC structures are micro-lattices such as gyroid, octet-truss and Face and Body-Centered Cubic with Z-truss (FBCCZ). The volume fraction of these MMC inserts and their cell size are fixed, the influence of the cell orientation is studied. The aim of the study is to determine the configuration of topology (shape and cell orientation) which absorbs the most energy and is the most crack resistant. From experimental tests, the Young's moduli and the failure stresses of the MMC material and the metal are estimated, and thanks to beam network discretization, a local stiffness and a failure criterion are evaluated to finally obtain a crack propagation path. To verify the suitability of the DEM model, a Compact Tension (CT) experimental test on MMC specimens is performed and a stress intensity factor is computed. A good agreement with an error less than 10% is obtained between experimental and simulated K_{Ic} with values respectively equal to 35 and 37 MPa \sqrt{m} . From DEM simulations based on the CT tests, the FBCCZ cell absorbs the most energy at the crack propagation compared to other structures and the steel. The crack propagation length depends on the shape of the topology. The originality of the study lies in the modeling, with granular properties using DEM, of the mechanical and elastic fracture behavior of these topological structures classically solved by Finite Elements Method (FEM): the microscopic constitutive relations have been validated macroscopically by experimental tests on homogeneous MMC materials.

Keywords: fracture toughness; discrete elements method; Metal Matrix Composite; topology

1. Introduction

Wear and fracture resistance is a major problem for parts stressed under extreme conditions. These parts often wear heterogeneously or break prematurely. Metal Matrix Composites (MMC) are now widely favored because they considerably improve the mechanical performance of material and limit physical phenomena of damage [1]. It is notably showed an increase of fracture toughness with the increase of volume fraction of reinforcing ceramics [2,3]. Reinforcing ceramics, carbides or oxides, are uniformly distributed throughout the matrix. However, a homogeneous distribution of the MMC material is not always optimal to improve the mechanical properties.

An alternative is to reinforce parts with specifically shaped MMC inserts into a metal matrix. Among existing reinforcement structures, there are foams or honeycombs, but the inserts now have increasingly complex topologies. These structures are lightweight, and are known for their high specific strength and their high energy absorption capability [4]. There is a wide range of cell topology as gyroid or micro-lattice with struts [5,6]. These complex structures can be manufactured by several

processes [7], but they are often manufactured by Selective Laser Melting, an additive manufacturing method which is able to manufacture any shape contrary to casting. These lattices or natural topologies are more and more used in medical [8,9], in the aerospace industry, but especially for parts subjected to extreme mechanical conditions.

The reinforcement ceramic, titanium carbides (TiC) is well-known for its ability to improve the wear resistance of a metal matrix. This is especially used in extreme tribology (crushers, rolling, etc.). However, although highly resistant to abrasive wear, the mechanical properties (fracture toughness and failure stress) of the MMC material drops drastically due to the metal-ceramic permeability (Section 3.3). The aim is therefore to re-establish correct mechanical properties as keeping a good wear resistance.

In this study, three types of topologies are compared, namely a gyroid, an octet-truss and an Face and Body-Centered Cubic with Z-truss (FBCCZ), with different cell orientations (Figure 1 for the illustration of the studied cell topologies, and Figure 4 for the representation of the structures in the form of a compact tension specimen). The aim is to determine which structure absorbs the most fracture energy to improve parts subject to severe multidirectional stresses. The common and chosen test is the mode I fracture toughness test with Compact Tension (CT) specimens containing the above-mentioned reinforcement shapes.



Figure 1. Topological cell of reinforcement—gyroid (a), octet-truss (b) and FBCCZ (c).

The originality of the study is to model with granular properties (DEM) the mechanical and elastic fracture behavior of these topological structures classically studied in Finite Elements Method (FEM) [5,10], whose the microscopic constitutive relations are validated macrospically by experimental tests on homogeneous MMC materials.

The performance evaluation of each reinforcement shape is based on numerical simulations carried out with the Discrete Element Method (DEM), using the GranOO Workbench [11]. Some plugins are implemented to study the damage into the specimens as the crack propagation. The DEM has been chosen for its ability to model structure fracture phenomena and cracks branching in discontinuous and porous media, and to decrease the crack path dependency on the mesh compared to the Finite Element Method (FEM). Actually, while the many developments based on the FEM allow the modeling of damage and fracture, such as the Continuum Damage Mechanics [12], the eXtended Finite Element Method [13], or the Cohesive Zone Model [14], these methods suffer from multiple difficulties, such as taking into account multi-fracturation and cracks deviation. Moreover, the DEM relies on Discrete Mechanics which, in essence, is dedicated to the simulation of discontinuous phenomena.

The DEM models mechanical properties will be calibrated using simple compression tests, in the same way as described by André et al. [15]. The models based on the reference configurations of reinforced CT specimens, namely the full steel and full composite specimens, will be compared to experiments. These experimental tests were carried out according to ASTM E399 [16], ASTM E1820 [17] and ISO 12135 [18]. Then, predictive simulations will be achieved with the gyroid, the octet-truss and the FBCCZ inserts with different orientations. The results will be compared to the reference configurations in terms of maximum load for crack opening and absorbed energy, and discussed.

2. Topological Structures of Reinforcement

Three topological reinforcement structures are studied, namely a gyroid, an octet-truss and an FBCCZ. The gyroid structure (Figure 1a), discovered by Schoen [19] in 1970 and initially meets in nature (mitochondria, butterfly wings, etc.), has a topology similar to open-celled foam. This shape is a triply periodic minimal surface with mean curvature described by the formula presented in (1).

$$\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}x\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}y\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}y\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}z\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}z\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}x\right) = 0 \tag{1}$$

This formula is expressed with the cartesian coordinates (x, y, z), the cell length L. The gyroid has a complete shape and represents 50% of the volume fraction of a cube in which it is enclose in [20].

Yanez et al. [8] showed in their study that the gyroid can be used as scaffold for human bones thanks to its good compressive and torsional strengths and stiffnesses. Qin et al. [21] performed a study on 3D graphene gyroids with varying cell size and wall thickness. They concluded that a gyroid with a high density gives a better mechanical performance of the assembly, especially a high strength.

The octet-truss (Figure 1b) and the FBCCZ (Figure 1c) are micro-lattices. They are composed of trusses. The octet-truss has a Face-Centered Cubic (FCC) configuration. A unit cell of octet-truss corresponds to eight identical tetrahedron elements. The octet-truss has very good mechanical performance compared to other reinforcement structures [22], especially metallic foam [23]. It has higher crack resistance for a high relative density and large cell size [24].

The FBCCZ (Face- and Body-Centered Cubic with Z-truss) is composed of 28 trusses including Z-truss. This lattice structure has higher strength and stiffness thanks to Z-trusses. It has a high compressive strength [25,26], a high-impact resistance [26] and a good energy absorption [25]. As the gyroid, the FBCCZ lattice can be used as titanium-based alloy scaffold in human body thanks to a good biocompability and a good corrosion behavior [9]. Vrana et al. [26] showed that the FBCCZ lattice performs better than the gyroid regarding the compressive strength despite the same stiffness in all loading directions of the gyroid.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Theory on the Determination of the Fracture Toughness

The determination of the fracture toughness K_{Ic} is based on the load-crack mouth displacement curve (Figure 2). The crack mouth opening displacement (CMOD) corresponds to the load-line displacement. This is therefore measured with a clip gauge on the loading line (Figure 3b).



Figure 2. Type-I load-displacement curves for the determination of the critical load P_Q then the calculation of the stress intensity factor K_Q [17].



Figure 3. Geometry of a CT specimen (a) and crack mouth opening displacement (b).

The aim is to determine a load P_5 which is the intersection between the load-displacement curve and a line 5° secant to the tangent line of the curve which is the initial elastic loading slope (OA line in Figure 2). For a type-I load-displacement curve, the critical load P_Q is equal to P_5 (Figure 2). If the ratio P_{max}/P_Q (with P_{max} the maximum recorded load) does not exceed 1.10 (*i*), then a stress intensity factor K_Q can be calculated using Equation (2). This stress intensity factor K_Q is equal to the critical stress intensity factor K_{Ic} if the criterion $2.5(K_Q/R_e)^2 \leq B$, b_0 (*ii*) with $b_0 = W - a_0$ is satisfied. If the different conditions (*i* and *ii*) are not satisfied, K_{Ic} cannot be calculated because the test is a size-dependent K_{Ic} test.

$$K_Q = \frac{P_Q}{\sqrt{B^2 W}} g_2(\frac{a_0}{W}) 10^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
(2)

B is the specimen thickness, b_0 is the length of the uncracked part of the specimen, *W* is the length from the bottom of the specimen to the center of its holes, a_0 is the initial crack length taking into account the length from the center of the specimen holes to the notch tip and the pre-cracking (Figure 3a). $g_2(a_0/W)$ is a stress intensity factor coefficient [17]. R_e is the yield strength.

3.2. CAD of the Topologies

In addition to comparing topologies for determining the one which absorbs more energy and is more resistant to crack, their orientation is also studied to know if the cell orientation has an influence on mechanical performance. Gu et al. [27] showed that the strength of the octet-truss is higher in Z-orientation than in X- or Y-orientations. Two configurations of gyroid, one orientation of octet-truss and three spatial orientations of FBCCZ are studied (Figure 4). Each oriented structure was designed according to the dimension of a CT specimen proposed by ISO 12135 [18], ASTM E399 [16] and ASTM E1820 [17] for the mode I fracture toughness tests (Figure 4). The CT specimen has a dimension of (*L*, *l*, *B*) = (75 mm, 72 mm, 30 mm) (Figure 3a). The cell size is thus fixed to 30 mm to have a single cell on the CT specimen thickness. The wall thickness of the gyroid and the truss size of the lattices are calculated to have the same volumetric fraction of MMC structure in the CT specimen. This volumetric fraction is fixed to 29% which corresponds to a gyroid with a wall thickness of 3 mm. MIT showed that a gyroid with this wall thickness absorbs more pressure than a thinner gyroid under compressive loading.

The second secon

Figure 4. Topological inserts cut according to a CT specimen—Z-orientation gyroid (Gyroid_01) (**a**), X-orientation gyroid (Gyroid_02) (**b**), octet-truss (**c**), Z-orientation FBCCZ (FBCCZ_01) (**d**), X-orientation FBCCZ (FBCCZ_02) (**e**) and Y-orientation FBCCZ (FBCCZ_03) (**f**).

(e)

(f)

3.3. Materials

(d)

The studied insert material is a Metal Matrix Composite (MMC) composed of Martensitic Steel (MS) and Titanium Carbides (TiC), named MS-TiC, with particle size around 10 μ m. TiC is one of the most resistant reinforcement ceramic [28–30]. Mechanical tests were performed on MS and MS-TiC specimens. The mechanical properties of both materials are presented in Table 1. Each test method used for the determination of a given mechanical parameter is specified.

	Test Method	MS	MS-TiC
Young's modulus (GPa)	Impulsive excitation (ASTM C1259 [31])	203	230
Poisson's ratio	Impulsive excitation (ASTM C1259 [31])	0.29	0.26
Strength (MPa)	Tensile (steel) / Three-points bending (MMC)	1560	538
Fracture toughness (MPa \sqrt{m})	Pre-cracked CT (ASTM E399 [16])	105	35

Table 1. Mechanical properties of the materials used in this study.

The fracture toughness testing was performed on pre-cracked heat-treated CT specimens of full MS and full MS-TiC. The pre-cracking was carried out by fatigue testing. Constant ΔK of $30 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$ and $15 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$ were respectively applied to the full MS and the full MS-TiC CT specimens. The crack growth was monitored according to the compliance method. The fatigue test was stopped when an opening crack of 12 mm was measured which corresponds to a crack length of 30 mm by considering the notch. The fracture toughness testing was then performed on the pre-cracked specimen. A displacement speed of 0.06 mm/ min at an ambient temperature of 23 °C was applied, the target maximum load was 250 kN. The K_{Ic} values is computed according to the ASTM E1820 standard [17] and the validity of the tests is verified (Section 3.1).

The MS-TiC material has low mechanical properties when it is in the form of a homogeneous structure compared to the MS material (Table 1), which is why it is necessary to find a reinforcement structure in order to not decrease performance.

3.4. Discrete Elements Method

3.4.1. Principle

The Discrete Element Method (DEM) is widely used to model divided media such as raw powders or granular materials. Particular classes of the DEM, named Lattice Element Method (LEM) [32] or Bonded Particle Element Method (BPEM) [15], allow the modeling of continuous media via the introduction of interaction laws between the particles, which local parameters enable simulation of the material apparent behavior. The DEM relies on the Discrete Mechanics framework, and it was successfully applied to the fracture of rocks [33] or concretes [34]. With the DEM, and especially the BPEM, the particles represent a given material volume and the cohesion between these particles is ensured by means of mechanical bonds. In this study, the particles are represented by spheres with different radius to avoid any geometrical anisotropy of the domains. The distribution of particles radius is uniform and vary by a factor ± 0.125 around the mean value, which is decided with the aim of obtaining a coordination number, or domain cardinality, around 6.2. This value allows the obtaining of an isotropic behavior of the discrete domains [15].

Since the particles are of spherical shape, voids exist in the domain. However, this does not affect the domain mechanical behavior, and the dynamic properties are recovered by adjusting the elements density to match the expected global mass [15].

The elastic bonds, which confer its cohesion to the discrete domain, are modeled by cylindrical beams based on the Euler-Bernoulli theory. Thus, two parameters are required to define the beams behavior, namely their elastic modulus EM_b and radius r_b . These parameters will be calibrated in the next section.

The beams are built after the packing stage of the discrete domain (Section 3.4.3). Concerning the failure modeling, once a defined amount of stress FS_b is reached inside a given bond, it is considered broken and it no longer takes part in the computation. This leads to a crack onset in the discrete domain, which propagates according to the local stress state. The value of FS_b must also be calibrated for each material.

3.4.2. Beams' Properties Calibration

As described in the previous section, the mechanical parameters of the beams must be calibrated. The modeled material elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio are driven by the beams' elastic modulus EM_b and radius r_b . In the GranOO Workbench, the beams' radius is not entered directly but is defined as a given ratio of the averaged radius of the discrete elements it is connected to, r_{rb} .

Since the parameters EM_b and r_{rb} are not independent, they must be obtained by means of a parametric study [15] or, in the present study, using an inverse analysis on a reference cylindrical domain of same coordination number and same particles mean size, with dimensions $\ell_0 = 12 \text{ mm}$ and $r_0 = 5 \text{ mm}$ (Figure 5). This domain is subjected to a compression load, and its mechanical response, in terms of displacement in both the loading $\Delta \ell$ and transverse Δr directions, are measured. Across the iterations of the inverse analysis, the beams' elastic modulus and radius ratio are adjusted to match the mechanical (elastic linear) response of a theoretical cylinder of the target material.

Finally, the failure stress is directly obtained by extracting the maximum stress in all bonds in the domain at the corresponding critical load in the physical specimen.

In this study, a convergence study similar to that conducted in [15] led to the conclusion that the optimal radius of the particles is $640 \,\mu\text{m}$. This value, used in the calibration process and in the remaining of this paper, gives a good compromise between the accuracy of the numerical study

(in terms of domain isotropy, cardinality and volume fraction) and the computational cost. The beams' parameters for both the martensitic steel and the TiC are given in Table 2.



Figure 5. Calibration domain and boundary conditions for the MS (red) and MS-TiC (yellow).

Table 2. Identified parameters of the beams for the steel and Metal Matrix Com	posite.
--	---------

	MS	MS-TiC
Elastic modulus of the bonds (EM_b , GPa) Radius ratio of the bonds (r_{rb} , no unit)	5053 0.34	3098 0.43
Failure stress of the bonds (FS_b , GPa)	31.85	6.24

3.4.3. Model Design

The DEM domains are created in two steps. First, the reinforcing inserts (illustrated in Figure 4) are filled with discrete elements with an average radius of 640 µm and numerically compacted to obtain the target coordination number of 6.2. Second, each insert is placed in the CT specimen CAD envelope which in turn is filled with the particles representing the martensitic steel. These particles are also compacted while the position of the particles belonging to the insert are fixed. The last step is the bonds creation: a beam is created between two neighboring particles as soon as a contact is detected. Figure 6 shows the CT specimen with a gyroid reinforcement.



Figure 6. Views of the DEM domain of the Z-orientation gyroid (Gyroid_01). The gyroid is represented by yellow discrete elements which correspond to the MS-TiC particles, whereas red elements correspond to the MS matrix.

The density of the materials is applied to the discrete elements, and the calibrated elastic moduli of the bonds EM_b , the radius ratios r_{rb} and the failure stresses of the bonds FS_b are applied to the corresponding bonds. Three types of bonds are defined: matrix bonds characterized by the steel, topology and interface bonds characterized by the composite parameters. The numerical CT test is performed using rigid pins placed in the two holes of the CT specimen (Figure 7). The first one, in the bottom of the specimen, is clamped, while the second one is applied and sine-ramped displacement of maximum amplitude of 2.5 mm, in the X-direction. During the simulation, numerical sensors monitor the current load, the strain energy and the free surface created as soon as the crack onsets. These data will be used in the next section to validate the reference configuration against the experimental tests, and then to compare the performances of each CT specimen configuration.



Figure 7. Distribution of the discrete elements radius in a full MS CT specimen. The discrete elements have an average radius of 640 µm. Rigid pins are in both holes of the CT specimen.

3.4.4. Validation of the DEM Model

A preliminary simulated mode I fracture toughness testing was carried out on full MS-TiC CT specimens to validate the DEM model. As described above, a sine-ramped displacement of 2.5 mm is applied to a pin until the fracture of the CT specimen. The load-crack mouth displacement curves for the experimental testing and the simulation are available in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Load-crack mouth displacement curves used for the determination of K_Q : experimental testing (**a**) and simulation (**b**).

The P_5 loads are calculated for both curves in order to determine the fracture toughness (see Section 3.1 for the method). A K_{Ic} of around 37 MPa \sqrt{m} is calculated from simulated data whereas

the experimental fracture toughness is $35 \text{ MPa} \sqrt{\text{m}}$ for the full MS-TiC CT specimen despite a high difference of the load values. The K_{Ic} values are quite similar despite different mechanical curves. This is explained by the fact that the numerical CT specimen has not the same a_0 value than the experimental one because no pre-cracking is performed in simulation. Indeed, a preliminary study was carried out on the suitability of the presence of a pre-cracking in the DEM model. Two methods of pre-cracking were used. The first method is based on the removal of discrete elements (and the bonds connected to them) that lies in a crack-sized box of discrete elements at the end of the notch. The second method consists of directly creating a pre-cracking by CT test on the specimen. When the desired crack length is obtained, the associated DEM domain is saved, and the real CT simulation is performed on it. The preliminary simulations showed that whatever the chosen pre-cracking method, the load-displacement results are similar to a load *P* close to 140 kN (Figure 9). Therefore, the low a_0 value of the simulation offsets its high P_Q load during the K_Q calculation (Section 3.1). The DEM model is consequently validated.



Figure 9. Load-displacement curves obtained for the pre-cracking tests on full MS CT specimen.

4. Results and Discussion

The maximum load necessary to the crack opening as well as the crack lips surface of the CT specimen and the total absorbed energy at this maximum load are studied. The total absorbed energy corresponds to the energy released with the crack propagation and therefore corresponds to a loss in the energy balance. The energy balance is defined as the sum of the work done by the external forces (including the contact forces), the strain energy and the kinetic energy, among other quantities (such as damping) that are not involved in this study. For a conservative system, the energy balance is null. The occurrence of cracks turns the system into a non-conservative one and leads to a loss in the energy balance, which is monitored and reported as the energy released with the crack propagation.

The crack lips surface (or free surface) is calculated from the number of broken bonds. The number of broken bonds and therefore the free surface increases as the crack spreads until they reach their maximum value at the total fracture of the specimen.

The free surface is calculated using the method described in [35], briefly summed up here. When a bond is broken, the number of interactions acting on the discrete elements connected to this bond is determined. With this number of interactions in hand, it is supposed that the connected discrete elements are not spheres but polyhedra with number of facets equal to the number of interactions, i.e., 6 faces (a cube) when the discrete element is connected to 6 other elements. With this method, it becomes easy and quite accurate to estimate the free surface corresponding to one broken bond. When such a polyhedron does not exist (e.g., 5, 7, 10 faces etc.), the face area is interpolated from other known polyhedra, as described in [35]. Across the simulation, the surface of all faces involving broken bonds is monitored and reported as the free surface.

The maximum load necessary to the crack opening of FBCCZ structures are the closest to the steel's one (Figure 10a). FBCCZs are more efficient than gyroids (130 kN versus 77 kN) despite its high proportion of surface, gyroids crack at the lower loading. This confirms the results obtained by Vrana et al. [26]. Octet-truss, well-known for its high strength in compressive load, is more resistant than gyroid but less than FBCCZ. The presence of trusses improves the crack resistance, especially for FBCCZ. The orientation of the cell has an influence on the mechanical strength of the structure. For gyroids, the X-orientation gyroid with sines perpendicular to the crack initiation is less efficient than the Z-orientation gyroid. The crack lips surface in the CT specimen is the lowest (Figure 10b) but its capacity of energy absorption is lower (Figure 10c) and the crack is opened at lower load. For FBCCZ, the Y-orientation FBCCZ is the spatial configuration which absorbs the most energy, it absorbs more than the steel (Figure 10c).

While the MS-TiC material, used as reinforcement, has the lowest performance with an absorbed energy eight times lower than the steel's one, its addition in the form of a complex structure into a metal matrix (MS) makes it possible to find characteristics close to the simple matrix. The global mechanical properties are then not changed but the wear resistance can be improved thanks to the presence of titanium carbides.



Figure 10. Load (**a**), broken surface in the CT specimen (**b**) and total absorbed energy (**c**), versus displacement.

The crack propagation in the CT specimen was observed thanks to the bonds fracture regarding the failure stress value of the bonds. In accordance with the metallic material standard for a mode I fracture toughness, the crack created during the CT test propagates straight in the full MS and full MS-TiC specimens (Figure 11). The red and blue colors of the scale of failure stress of the bonds correspond respectively to the MS (Figure 11a) and MS-TiC (Figure 11b) failure stress values (Table 2).



Figure 11. Crack propagation in the full MS CT specimen (a) and in the full MS-TiC CT specimen (b).

However, for the CT specimens with complex structures, the crack tends to follow the contour of the structure while propagating in both materials (Figures 12 and 13). The crack changes its path when it reaches the lattice/matrix interface or when it has a contact with a truss (Figure 12). The change in trajectory may be due to the struts themselves or to the change in hardness of the materials.



Figure 12. Crack paths in the MS-TiC inserts according to the failure stress of the DEM bonds. The blue color of the bonds corresponds to the MS-TiC failure stress. Gyroid_01 (**a**), Gyroid_02 (**b**), octet-truss (**c**), FBCZZ_01 (**d**), FBCCZ_02 (**e**) and FBCCZ_03 (**f**).

(d)

A state of the state of the

Figure 13. Crack paths in the metal which surrounds the MS-TiC topologies (MS) according to the failure stress of the DEM bonds. The red color of the bonds corresponds to the MS failure stress. Gyroid_01 (a), Gyroid_02 (b), octet-truss (c), FBCZZ_01 (d), FBCCZ_02 (e) and FBCCZ_03 (f).

(e)

(f)

A test was therefore carried out with a simple cylindrical reinforcement to verify the hypothesis of the influence of the interface or the struts on the crack deviation. The crack spreads in a rather straight line in the CT specimen despite a slight deviation due to the propagation of the crack along the interface MS-TiC cylinder/MS interface (Figure 14). The difference in hardness of the two materials does not therefore seem to be the reason for the deviation in the crack path.



Figure 14. Crack path in the MS of a CT specimen containing a MS-TiC cylindrical reinforcement (**a**) and in the MS-TiC cylindrical insert (**b**).

There is no abrupt change in the trajectory of the crack for gyroids because they have a zero-average curvature. Their shape is smoother than octet-truss or FBCCZs which have struts oriented at 35° and 45° (Figure 13). The angle of the truss thus seems to be determinant in the crack propagation. The crack spreads more chaotically in the octet-truss structure because it has more oriented struts than FBCCZs. The number of struts and their orientation are therefore predominant in

the crack path in the CT specimen. In all cases, this seems to create a decohesion between the MS-TiC topological structure and the MS matrix (Figure 15).



Figure 15. Broken bonds at the MS-TiC insert/MS interface. Gyroid_01 (**a**), Gyroid_02 (**b**), octet-truss (**c**), FBCZZ_01 (**d**), FBCCZ_02 (**e**) and FBCCZ_03 (**f**).

Table 3 is a summary of the results obtained for each specimen configuration. From this table, a ranking of the topological structures according the absorbed energy is proposed: 1. FBCCZ_01, 2. FBCCZ_02, 3. Gyroid_01, 4. FBCCZ_03, 5. Octet-truss and 6. Gyroid_02.

	Topology	Load for Crack Opening (N)	Free Surface (mm ²)	Total Absorbed Energy (J)	Energy per Unit Area (KJ m ⁻²)
MS-TiC/MS	Gyroid_01	80,471	8011	84	66
	Gyroid_02	73,032	5773	60	48
	Octet-truss	85,269	7278	76	60
	FBCCZ_01	121,081	6157	81	64
	FBCCZ_02	132,487	8298	86	68
	FBCCZ_03	135,374	6897	95	75
MS-TiC	no structure	48,450	2963	12	10
MS	no structure	138,035	2940	92	73

Table 3. Mechanical response of each specimen configuration at the maximum load.

5. Conclusions

DEM simulations of fracture toughness tests were performed on CT specimens with three complex MS-TiC topologies of reinforcement (gyroid, octet-truss and FBCCZ) in order to improve performance of severely multidirectional loaded parts. The cell size of the topologies was defined according to the specimen thickness and to respect a 29% volume fraction of reinforcement. Different orientations of elementary cells were studied to see any influence on mechanical performance of the structures.

• Since a homogeneous MS-TiC structure has poor mechanical properties, the use of a shaped MS-TiC structure increases the damage resistance by 600% according to the simulation.

- The fineness of the DEM model makes it possible to calculate a macroscopic K_{Ic} from simulations. The microscopic-macroscopic passage is validated with an error less than 10%. The microscopic constitutive relations were validated experimentally for a homogeneous MMC material.
- Concerning the topological structures, the FBCCZ structure with Y-orientation cell (FBCCZ_03) performs better, it absorbs the most energy and has the highest crack opening load. This structure absorbs more energy than the studied steel for a nearly equivalent failure stress.
- Regarding the crack path obtained by the DEM method, the crack generated during the tests tends to follow the contour of the reinforcing structures and seems to cause a decohesion at the insert/matrix interface. The trajectory deviation of the crack in gyroids is less abrupt than in micro-lattice structures because of its smoother curvature. The orientation of the struts is thus important in the crack propagation. There is an influence of the cell orientation on the fracture behavior of the structure.

The results show a good ability of DEM to model homogeneous or complex MMC structures into a metal matrix. In future, the effect of the struts size and the cell size on the potential improvement of the mechanical properties will be studied as well as the influence of the position of the notch in relation to the topological structures on the crack initiation and propagation.

Author Contributions: Software, C.H.; Supervision, M.B.; Writing–original draft preparation, J.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by The ELSAT2020 project, co-financed by the European Union with the European Regional Development Fund, the French state and the Hauts de France Region.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Nomenclature

Abbreviations		
BPEM	Bonded Particle Element Method	
CMOD	Crack Mouth Opening Displacement	
CT	Compact Tension	
DEM	Discrete Elements Method	
FBCCZ	Face and Body Centered Cubic with Z-truss	
FEM	Finite Element Method	
LEM	Lattice Element Method	
MMC	Metal Matrix Composite	
MS	Martensitic Steel	
MS-TiC	Martensitic Steel and Titanium Carbides	
CT parameters		
<i>a</i> ₀	Initial crack length	(mm)
b_0	Length of the uncracked part of the CT specimen	(mm)
82	Stress intensity factor coefficient	(no unit)
1	Total height of the CT specimen	(mm)
В	Thickness of the CT specimen	(mm)
K _{Ic}	Fracture toughness	$(MPa\sqrt{m})$
K _Q	Stress intensity factor	$(MPa\sqrt{m})$
L	Width of the CT specimen	(mm)
Р	Load	(N)
P_5	Load at the intersection between the load-displacement curve and	(N)
	the 5° secant line	
P _{max}	Maximum load of the load-displacement curve	(N)
P_Q	Critical load	(N)
R_e	Yield strength	(MPa)
W	Length from the bottom of the CT specimen to the center of its holes	(mm)

DEM parameters		
$\Delta \ell$	Displacement in the loading direction during a compression test on	(mm)
	a cylindrical DEM domain	
Δr	Displacement in the transverse direction during a compression test	(mm)
	on a cylindrical DEM domain	
l_0	Length of a cylindrical DEM domain	(mm)
<i>r</i> ₀	Radius of a cylindrical DEM domain	(mm)
r _{rb}	Radius ratio of the bonds	(no unit)
EM_b	Elastic modulus of the bonds	(GPa)
FS_b	Failure stress of the bonds	(GPa)

References

- 1. Singh, J.; Chauhan, A. Overview of wear performance of aluminium matrix composites reinforced with ceramic materials under the influence of controllable variables. *Ceram. Int.* **2016**, *42*, 56–81. [CrossRef]
- 2. Das, D.K.; Mishra, P.C.; Singh, S.; Thakur, R.K. Properties of ceramic-reinforced aluminium matrix composites—A review. *Int. J. Mech. Mater. Eng.* **2014**, *9*, 12. [CrossRef]
- 3. Tjong, S.; Lau, K. Abrasion resistance of stainless-steel composites reinforced with hard TiB2 particles. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *60*, 1141–1146. [CrossRef]
- 4. Schaedler, T.A.; Ro, C.J.; Sorensen, A.E.; Eckel, Z.; Yang, S.S.; Carter, W.B.; Jacobsen, A.J. Designing Metallic Microlattices for Energy Absorber Applications. *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **2014**, *16*, 276–283. [CrossRef]
- Aremu, A.; Maskery, I.; Tuck, C.; Ashcroft, I.; Wildman, R.; Hague, R. A Comparative Finite Element Study of Cubic Unit Cells for Selective Laser Melting. In 25th Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium—An Additive Manufacturing Conference; University of Texas at Austin: Austin, TX, USA, 2014; pp. 1238–1249.
- 6. Maconachie, T.; Leary, M.; Lozanovski, B.; Zhang, X.; Qian, M.; Faruque, O.; Brandt, M. SLM lattice structures: Properties, performance, applications and challenges. *Mater. Des.* **2019**, *183*, 108137. [CrossRef]
- 7. Rashed, M.; Ashraf, M.; Mines, R.; Hazell, P.J. Metallic microlattice materials: A current state of the art on manufacturing, mechanical properties and applications. *Mater. Des.* **2016**, *95*, 518–533. [CrossRef]
- 8. Yánez, A.; Cuadrado, A.; Martel, O.; Afonso, H.; Monopoli, D. Gyroid porous titanium structures: A versatile solution to be used as scaffolds in bone defect reconstruction. *Mater. Des.* **2018**, *140*, 21–29. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.; Ding, Y.; Munir, K.S.; Lin, J.; Brandt, M.; Atrens, A.; Xiao, Y.; Kanwar, J.R.; Wen, C. Novel β-Ti35Zr28Nb alloy scaffolds manufactured using selective laser melting for bone implant applications. *Acta Biomater.* 2019, 87, 273–284. [CrossRef]
- 10. Tancogne-Dejean, T.; Spierings, A.B.; Mohr, D. Additively-manufactured metallic micro-lattice materials for high specific energy absorption under static and dynamic loading. *Acta Mater.* **2016**, *116*, 14–28. [CrossRef]
- André, D.; Luc Charles, J.; Iordanoff, I.; Néauport, J. The GranOO workbench, a new tool for developing discrete element simulations, and its application to tribological problems. *Adv. Eng. Softw.* 2014, 74, 40–48. [CrossRef]
- 12. Hubert, C.; Dubar, L.; Dubar, M.; Dubois, A. Finite Element simulation of the edge-trimming/cold rolling sequence: Analysis of edge cracking. *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* **2012**, *212*, 1049–1060. [CrossRef]
- 13. Xue, F.; Li, F.; Li, J.; He, M.; Yuan, Z.; Wang, R. Numerical modeling crack propagation of sheet metal forming based on stress state parameters using XFEM method. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2013**, *69*, 311–326. [CrossRef]
- 14. Debras, C.; Dubar, L.; Dubar, M.; Hubert, C.; Dubois, A. Fracture energy based approach for cemented carbides grain debonding. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* **2019**, *161–162*, 105038. [CrossRef]
- 15. André, D.; Iordanoff, I.; Charles, J.L.; Néauport, J. Discrete element method to simulate continuous material by using the cohesive beam model. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **2012**, *213–216*, 113–125. [CrossRef]
- 16. ASTM E399—Standard. *Test Method for Linear-Elastic Plane-Strain Fracture Toughness K*_{Ic} of Metallic Materials; Technical Report; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2017.
- 17. ASTM E1820-20—Standard. *Standard Test Method for Measurement of Fracture Toughness*; Technical Report; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2017.
- 18. ISO 12135. *Metallic Materials—Unified Method of Test for the Determination of Quasistatic Fracture Toughness;* International Organization for Standardization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.

- 19. Schoen, A.H. *Infinite Periodic Minimal Surfaces Without Self-Intersections*; Technical Report; National Aeronautics and Space Administration: Washington, DC, USA, 1970.
- 20. Scherer, M.R.J. *Double-Gyroid-Structured Functional Materials: Synthesis and Applications;* Springer International Publishing AG: Cham, Switzerland, 2013.
- 21. Qin, Z.; Jung, G.S.; Kang, M.J.; Buehler, M.J. The mechanics and design of a lightweight three-dimensional graphene assembly. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Dong, L.; Deshpande, V.; Wadley, H. Mechanical response of Ti–6Al–4V octet-truss lattice structures. *Int. J. Solids Struct.* **2015**, 60–61, 107–124. [CrossRef]
- Deshpande, V.; Fleck, N.; Ashby, M. Effective properties of the octet-truss lattice material. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 2001, 49, 1747–1769. [CrossRef]
- 24. O'Masta, M.; Dong, L.; St-Pierre, L.; Wadley, H.; Deshpande, V. The fracture toughness of octet-truss lattices. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* **2017**, *98*, 271–289. [CrossRef]
- 25. Leary, M.; Mazur, M.; Elambasseril, J.; McMillan, M.; Chirent, T.; Sun, Y.; Qian, M.; Easton, M.; Brandt, M. Selective laser melting (SLM) of AlSi12Mg lattice structures. *Mater. Des.* **2016**, *98*, 344–357. [CrossRef]
- 26. Vrana, R.; Koutny, D.; Paloušek, D. Impact resistance of different types of lattice structures manufactured by SLM. *MM Sci. J.* **2016**, 2016, 1579–1585. [CrossRef]
- Gu, H.; Shterenlikht, A.; Pavier, M. Brittle fracture of three-dimensional lattice structure. *Eng. Fract. Mech.* 2019, 219, 106598. [CrossRef]
- 28. Doğan, O.; Hawk, J.; Tylczak, J.; Wilson, R.; Govier, R. Wear of titanium carbide reinforced metal matrix composites. *Wear* **1999**, 225–229, 758–769. [CrossRef]
- 29. Doğan, O.; Hawk, J. Abrasion resistance of in situ Fe-TiC composites. *Scr. Metall. Mater.* **1995**, *33*, 953–958. [CrossRef]
- 30. Doel, T.; Bowen, P. Tensile properties of particulate-reinforced metal matrix composites. *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.* **1996**, 27, 655–665. [CrossRef]
- ASTM C1259—Standard. Standard Test Method for Dynamic Young's Modulus, Shear Modulus, and Poisson's Ratio for Advanced Ceramics by Impulse Excitation of Vibration; Technical Report; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2015.
- 32. Schlangen, E.; Garboczi, E. New method for simulating fracture using an elastically uniform random geometry lattice. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* **1996**, *34*, 1131–1144. [CrossRef]
- 33. Klichowicz, M.; Frühwirt, T.; Lieberwirth, H. New experimental setup for the validation of DEM simulation of brittle crack propagation at grain size level. *Miner. Eng.* **2018**, *128*, 312–323. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Meng, Y.; Jiradilok, P.; Matsumoto, K.; Nagai, K.; Asamoto, S. Expansive cracking and compressive failure simulations of ASR and DEF damaged concrete using a mesoscale discrete model. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 2019, 104, 103404. [CrossRef]
- 35. Hubert, C.; André, D.; Dubar, L.; Iordanoff, I.; Charles, J. Simulation of continuum electrical conduction and Joule heating using DEM domains. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.* **2017**, *110*, 862–877. [CrossRef]



 \odot 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).