



HAL
open science

Effect of Substrate Temperature on Pattern Formation of Bidispersed Particles from Volatile Drops

Maryam Maria Parsa, Souad Harmand, Khellil Sefiane, Maxence Bigerelle,
Raphaël Deltombe

► **To cite this version:**

Maryam Maria Parsa, Souad Harmand, Khellil Sefiane, Maxence Bigerelle, Raphaël Deltombe. Effect of Substrate Temperature on Pattern Formation of Bidispersed Particles from Volatile Drops. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2017, 121 (48), pp.11002-11017. 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b09700 . hal-03451204

HAL Id: hal-03451204

<https://uphf.hal.science/hal-03451204>

Submitted on 29 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effect of Substrate Temperature on Pattern Formation of Bi-Dispersed Particles from Volatile Drops

Maryam Parsa, Souad Harmand, Khellil Sefiane, Maxence Bigerelle, and Raphaël Deltombe

J. Phys. Chem. B, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b09700 • Publication Date (Web): 14 Nov 2017

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on November 15, 2017

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Effect of Substrate Temperature on Pattern Formation of Bi-Dispersed Particles from Volatile Drops

*Maryam Parsa,^{1,2} Souad Harmand,^{*1,2} Khellil Sefiane,^{3,4} Maxence Bigerelle,^{1,2} and Raphaël
Deltombe^{1,2}*

¹LAMIH Laboratory, UMR CNRS 8201, University of Valenciennes, Valenciennes 59313,
France

²University of Lille Nord de France, Rue Jules Guesde, Villeneuve d'Ascq 59658, France

³School of Engineering, Kings Buildings, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JL, United
Kingdom

⁴International Institute for Carbon-Neutral Energy Research (I2CNER)

Kyushu University, 744 Motoooka, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

ABSTRACT

In this study, pattern formation during evaporation of bi-dispersed drops (containing 1 and 3.2 μm particles) placed on a smooth substrate at different temperatures is investigated. Five distinctive deposition patterns are observed depending on the substrate temperature: a relatively uniform pattern enclosed by a disk-shaped ring, a nearly non-uniform pattern inside a thick outer ring, a “dual-ring” pattern, a “rose-like” pattern, and a set of concentric rings corresponding to “stick-slip” pattern. At drops edge, the particle size effect leads to the formation of three rings: an outermost ring formed by the non-volatile additives smaller than 1 μm , a middle ring built by particles with size of 1 μm , and an innermost ring formed by the mixture of 1 and 3.2 μm . For temperatures between 64 and 99 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, the depinning of the contact line causes the same particle sorting at the other deposition lines in the interior of the drop. However, the width of the zone between the outermost ring and the middle ring at the initial edge of the drop is found to be smaller than that at the other deposition lines. The size of the width is found to be dependent on the contact angle. Particle velocity is measured by tracking particles during the evaporation. It is shown that particle velocity slightly increases with time, but it rapidly increases at the last stage of the drying process, known as “rush-hour” behavior. The sudden change in the increase of the velocity occurs between the normalized time of 0.7 and 0.8 for temperatures from 22 to 81 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The increasing trend of velocity with time matches well with the theoretical model. The tracer particles are also used to measure the distance between the contact line and the nearest turning point of those particles return back toward the top of the drop due to the inward Marangoni flow. It is found that this distance decreases with increasing the substrate temperature.

INTRODUCTION

The drying of a sessile droplet containing nonvolatile solute can lead to the formation of a deposition pattern on the substrate. A full understanding of the pattern formation is of vital importance as it plays a crucial role in many industrial applications such as inkjet printing,¹⁻⁴ lithography,⁵ micro-patterning of electronics,⁶ and photonics.⁷ Moreover, the study of the pattern formation is of increasing biological importance.^{8,9} The most commonly observed pattern is the “coffee-ring” effect or the ringlike deposit, which is created by the deposition of particles at the edge arisen from the net outward capillary flow on the liquid-solid interface.^{10,11} It is well known that the “coffee-ring” effect can be reversed by the inward Marangoni flow driven by the surface tension gradient along the liquid-vapor interface.¹² The inward Marangoni flow causes some particles travel toward the center along the free surface, and deposit in the central regions of the drying droplet. This phenomena leads to the formation of different patterns such as the uniform deposition, “dual-ring”,¹³ “stick-slip”,¹³ and volcano-like patterns.¹⁴ There are a number of factors affecting the pattern formation, which can be manipulated to control internal flow motion as well as the dried deposits for use in the aforementioned applications such as altering environmental conditions (i.e. atmospheric pressure,¹⁵ substrate temperature,^{13,14} relative humidity¹⁶), applying electrowetting,^{17,18} manipulating the base fluid,^{19,20} adding surfactants,²¹⁻²³ varying the solute (i.e. shape,²⁴ size, concentration^{25,26}).

Another factor that affects the deposition patterns is to employ different particle sizes inside a drying droplet simultaneously. Huang et al.²⁷ showed that the mixture of 50 nm silver and 100 nm gold nanoparticles in water forms well-aligned stripe patterns onto a silicon-dioxide/silicon substrate through dip-coating. Jung and Kwak²⁸ reported that 1 and 6 μm polystyrene particles can be separated using the dielectrophoretic (DEP) force created by the micropatterned gold

1
2
3 electrode on the silicon dioxide layer and the drag force generated by the outward flow in an
4 evaporating droplet. The authors showed that the two sized particles self-assembled near the
5 contact line. The smaller particles deposited at the edge, whereas the larger ones deposited
6 separately with a constant distance (of $\sim 67 \mu\text{m}$) from the smaller ones. The separation of the red
7 blood cells (RBCs) and Escherichia coli (E. coli) was also observed by the same method.²⁸ Erb et
8 al.²⁹ applied the magnetostatic interaction between 1 and $2.7 \mu\text{m}$ Fe_2O_3 particles to assemble
9 diverse sets of structures such as rings (a large particle is surrounded by smaller particles), and
10 poles (small particles aggregate on two sides of a large particle). Jung et al.³⁰ divided the
11 dispersing of the polystyrene micro- ($5 \mu\text{m}$) and nanoparticles (500 nm) in an evaporating droplet
12 into the three phases by using particle-tracking velocimetry (PTV). In the first phase, the micro-
13 and nanoparticles migrated toward the pinned contact line and deposited there. In the second
14 phase, the separation of the two sized particles near the contact line was observed similar to Jung
15 and Kwak.²⁸ In the third phase, the receding contact line causes the movement of the
16 microparticles toward the center of the droplet. Sangani et al.³¹ also reported that the small
17 particles (of $3 \mu\text{m}$) moved toward the contact line and deposited at the drop edge, leading to the
18 contact line pinning. On the other hand, the larger particles (of $50 \mu\text{m}$) collected as a chain with
19 keeping a distance from the small ones. The distance between the chain of the large particles and
20 the edge increases slowly with time as the drop height decreases, and thus, the chain moves
21 inward. However, unlike the bi-disperse suspension, this distance between the particles and the
22 edge remained nearly constant in most monodisperse suspension cases.³¹ Weon and Je³² also
23 observed the increasing distance between the chain of large polystyrene particles ($20 \mu\text{m}$) and the
24 drop edge, while small particles ($2 \mu\text{m}$) segregated at the edge. The authors concluded that the
25 reversal motion of large particles happens when the inward force driven by the capillary force
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 overcomes the outward force driven by the “coffee-ring” effect. This competition between these
4
5 two forces affected the pattern left after the evaporation of 0.2 and 3.6 μm diameter
6
7 polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) particles in water.³² At first, the initial ring of small particles
8
9 at the edge was formed, and then, the large particles moved inwardly to the central regions, then
10
11 a new ring of deposits was formed when the reverse motion was stopped by rupture. This
12
13 behavior was repeated for several times and consequently multiple rings were formed on the
14
15 substrate.³² Chhasatia and Sun³³ studied the evaporation of bi-disperse drop containing 0.1 and
16
17 1.1 μm particles on substrates with different wettabilities (receding contact angle varied from 85°
18
19 to 0°). Since the state of substrate wettability significantly affects the duration of evaporation
20
21 modes (i.e. constant contact area, constant contact angle, and mixed modes), different microflow
22
23 patterns within evaporating drops were observed for each evaporation mode. As a result,
24
25 different deposition patterns were found for substrates of varying wettabilities.³³ Monteux and
26
27 Lequeux³⁴ showed a thin liquid film without particle at the edge in the case of monodisperse
28
29 drop. The width of the depleted zone was found to be controlled by the particle size and contact
30
31 angle. This phenomenon was reported to be useful to sort two different particle sizes inside the
32
33 drop as the smaller particles can penetrate further into the edge, leading to the separation of
34
35 smaller particles from the larger ones. Wong et al.³⁵ studied the deposition of three different
36
37 sized polystyrene particles (of 40 nm, 1, and 2 μm) in a water droplet. The largest and smallest
38
39 particles formed the innermost and outermost rings on the substrate after the dryout of the
40
41 droplet, respectively. Devlin et al.³⁶ investigated the effect of the gravity direction on the
42
43 deposition patterns of two different (1 and 3.2 μm) sized particles in a sessile and a pendant drop
44
45 both deposited onto silicon substrates. For the pendant drop, only small particles deposited at the
46
47 edge, and the dried central region contained the large particles. However, for the sessile drop, the
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 mixture of 1 and 3.2 μm sized particles deposited at the edge,^{36,37} and both two particle sizes
4
5 were found in the center of the dried drop.³⁶ Zhong et al.³⁸ reported that the evaporation of water
6
7 sessile droplets with 40 nm Al_2O_3 nanoparticles left behind a fractal-like deposit, whereas the 5
8
9 nm nanoparticles formed a coffee-ring pattern with a thick deposition in the center of the dried
10
11 droplet. The authors demonstrated that the coexisting of 5 and 40 nm Al_2O_3 nanoparticles
12
13 eliminated the nonuniform pattern formed either by the 5 or 40 nm nanoparticles, leading to a
14
15 more uniform mixture pattern.
16
17
18
19

20 A review of the relevant literature indicates that most studies have been devoted to
21
22 understanding the behavior of multi-sized particles in liquid drops evaporating on nonheated
23
24 substrates.^{27–38} However, there are only a few works have investigated the influence of heated
25
26 substrates in this field.^{39–41} Han et al.³⁹ showed that two different particle sizes of 50 and 500 nm
27
28 can self-assemble into arranged stripe patterns by confining the solution between a cylindrical
29
30 lens and heated hydrophobic silicon substrate at 80 °C. Jeong et al.⁴⁰ showed that a combination
31
32 of the “coffee-ring” and strong Marangoni effects can be used to sort different sized particles
33
34 (from 100 nm to 15 μm) on a hydrophilic substrate heated at 36 °C. The particles were not
35
36 separated on hydrophobic substrates due to the constant, large contact angle as well as the
37
38 unpinned contact line during the evaporation, leading to the transportation of the particles away
39
40 from the contact line. Eventually, the particles of different sizes were overlapped at the contact
41
42 line on hydrophobic surfaces. Hendarto and Gianchandani⁴¹ investigated the effect of Marangoni
43
44 convection on the size sorting of multiple-sized hollow glass spheres (ranging from 5 to 200 μm
45
46 in diameter) suspended in a isopropyl droplet by heating a glass substrate for a wide range of
47
48 temperatures (55 to 85 °C). Although the smaller spheres (< 50 μm) were found everywhere
49
50 throughout the dried droplet at 55 °C, the larger spheres (150–200 μm) were mainly deposited in
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the center of the dried region. At 85 °C (over the boiling point of isopropyl), most of the smaller
4
5 spheres were deposited at the areas near the edge.
6
7

8 To the best of our knowledge, there is no article available to study the effect of various
9
10 temperatures on the mixture of two sized particles suspended in water-based sessile droplets.
11
12 However, in recent years, very interesting studies related to the effect of substrate temperature on
13
14 the deposition patterns of a single sized particles suspended in water-based droplets are
15
16 published. As an example, Parsa et al.¹³ found that varying temperature led to the formation of
17
18 three deposition patterns: a “uniform” pattern, a “dual-ring” pattern, and a “stick-slip” pattern. Li
19
20 et al.⁴² and Patil et al.⁴³ reported that increasing the substrate temperature left an inner deposit in
21
22 the interior of the dried droplet. Zhong and Duan⁴⁴ observed that cooling to heating of the
23
24 substrate varied the deposition patterns from a “disk-like” pattern to a “dual-ring” one. The
25
26 authors also showed that the further increase of the substrate temperature expanded the inner ring
27
28 and merged it with the peripheral ring, leading to the elimination of the “dual-ring” pattern.⁴⁵
29
30
31
32
33

34 In this study, the effect of a wide range of substrate temperatures (from 22 to 99 °C) is
35
36 investigated on the internal flow structure as well as the deposits features of two different sized
37
38 particles in water droplets drying on smooth silicon substrates. In addition, for the first time, the
39
40 motion of two sized particles is tracked within a drying droplet on a heated substrate using
41
42 reflection optical microscopy.
43
44

45 46 **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

47
48

49 **Solution.** The aqueous suspension was made from yellow-green fluorescent carboxylate-
50
51 modified polystyrene latex beads with mean diameter of 1 μm (Sigma-Aldrich), and Fluoro-
52
53 MaxTM red fluorescent polymer microspheres with diameter of 3.2 μm (Thermo Scientific) in
54
55 MilliQ water. Then, the suspension was thoroughly mixed and sonicated in an ultrasonication
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 bath for approximately 1 h prior to the experiments to ensure appropriate mixing. The mass
4 concentration of particles was 0.025 wt % with equal parts of each particle size.
5
6

7
8 **Deposition.** Smooth silicon wafers were ultrasonicated and thoroughly rinsed with deionized
9 water and acetone, followed by air drying (Elma Company) to remove the moisture. A
10 programmable syringe pump (KdScientific, legato 100) was used to deposit the drop solution
11 (with varying size from 0.5 to 6 μL) onto the hot silicon substrate which was placed on a plate
12 heated at temperatures of 51, 64, 81, and 99 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The experiment was also carried out onto
13 nonheated substrate (22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$). Each set of experiment was repeated for at least five times. The
14 atmospheric conditions were monitored during the experiments using a sensor (Hygrosens
15 Company). The room temperature and relative humidity were 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 30%, respectively.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Imaging and Microscopy.** Thermal imaging was conducted by means of an infrared (IR)
28 camera (FLIR, X6580 sc) mounted on a lens (FLIR, MW G1WD30) to read the temperature at
29 the air-liquid interface of the drying droplets, as water is mostly opaque to IR (see Figure S1 in
30 Supporting Information). A high-speed camera (Keyence, VW600C) equipped with a lens
31 (Keyence, VW Z2) and a LED backlight (CCS Inc.) were employed to record side view images
32 of evaporating droplets at 30 frames per second. The drop shape analysis software (Krüss GmbH,
33 DSA4) was used to monitor the evolution of drop shape parameters such as the base diameter
34 and contact angle. The top view images of evaporating droplets were recorded by means of the
35 high-speed camera mounted on an optical microscopic lens (Keyence, VH-Z100R, magnification
36 zoom from 100 \times to 1000 \times). The particles movement was tracked using video editing/analysis
37 software (Keyence, VW-9000 Motion Analyzer). The images of the final deposition patterns
38 were taken by using an optical microscopic lens (Keyence, VH-Z20R, magnification zoom from
39 20 \times to 200 \times). In order to investigate the deposition distribution along the droplet base diameter,
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the dried deposits were scanned by means of a white light interferometer (Zygo, NewView
4 7300). The further information about characterization and quantification of substrate roughness
5
6 can be found in the study of Parsa et al.¹³ The uncertainties of the measurements are provided in
7
8
9
10 Table 1.

Parameters	Uncertainty
Ambient temperature	± 0.5 °C
Relative Humidity	± 2 %
Surface temperature of drop	± 1 °C
Particle velocity	± 2 $\mu\text{m/s}$
Base of drop	± 0.4 mm
Contact angle	$\pm 4^\circ$
Initial volume	± 2.5 μL

29
30 **Table 1.** Experimental uncertainties.

31 32 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

33
34
35
36 **Deposition Pattern after Dry-out of Drops.** Figure 1a shows the contact line of drops remain
37 pinned for majority of the evaporation time, and the contact angle monotonously decreases.
38
39 Dried Patterns of bi-disperse drops at different temperatures from 22 to 99 °C are presented in
40
41 Figure 1b. Using an algorithm, the 3.2 μm particles are separated from the 1 μm particles, and the
42
43 density corresponding images are provided in Supporting Information. Dried deposits of drops,
44
45 density distribution and topography of the particle deposits are shown in Figures S2, S3, and S4,
46
47
48 respectively.

49
50
51
52 As shown in Figures 1b, S3 and S4, sample I at 22 °C exhibits a relatively uniform coverage of
53
54 particles enclosed with a distinct disk-shaped ring of particles. For sample II at 51 °C, the
55
56 observed pattern shows nearly non-uniform distribution of particles inside the outer ring with a
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 higher density. As shown in Figures 1b and S3-4, samples at temperatures of 64, 81, and 99 °C
4 exhibit completely non-uniform patterns compared to the examined lower temperatures. At 64
5
6
7
8 and 81 °C, the “dual-ring” pattern is observed with the irregular distribution of particles in the
9
10 interior of the smaller ring (see Figures 1bIII,IV, S3III,IV and S4III,IV). At 99 °C, both samples
11
12 V and VI exhibit the “stick-slip” patterns but in two different shapes; one resembles a “rose”
13
14 flower wherein both the “stick” and “slip” phases occur on all sides of the drop, and end in the
15
16 drop center (Figures 1bV, S3V and S4V); and the other resembles a set of multiple concentric
17
18 rings wherein the drop sticks on one side (the left side of Figures 1bVI, S3VI and S4VI) and
19
20 slips from the opposite side (the right side of Figures 1bVI, S3VI and S4VI). The density of
21
22 particles increases at the deposition lines and decreases between two consecutive lines (see
23
24
25
26
27 Figures S3V,VI and S4V,VI).

28
29
30 **Deposition Formation during Drying Process.** Using optical microscopy, the evaporation
31
32 process of bi-disperse drops at all substrate temperatures was observed (see Supporting
33
34 Information). The readers are strongly encouraged to view the high magnification videos
35
36 provided in the Supporting Information, as the motion of particles cannot be unambiguously
37
38 ascertained from still images. Top-view snapshots from all real-time videos of the drying bi-
39
40 disperse drops are provided in Figures 2,3,6,7,10-15. In all figures, the microscope lens was
41
42 focused on the contact line of drops. The 1000× magnification consecutive optical micrographs
43
44 of the drop evaporating on the nonheated substrate are shown in Figure 2 (Supporting
45
46 Information Video S1). Insets in Figure 2 show the regions highlighted in solid rectangles at
47
48 higher magnification. It can be clearly seen that the accumulation of particles at the edge
49
50 increases with time due to an outward radial flow driven by the “coffee-ring” effect (see Figure
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 2a-i). It is known that the thickness of the meniscus decreases toward the drop edge, thus

1
2
3 particles stop moving further toward the contact line at a position where their size match the
4 thickness of the meniscus.³⁵ In other words, the smaller particles move closer to the pinned
5 contact line as compared to the larger ones, leading to the separation of particles with different
6 sizes (insets in Figures 2b,e,h). Magnified images of the CL region show that there is a thin
7 liquid film between the initial contact line and leading edge of the ring consisting of particles
8 with mean diameter of 1 μm (shown between two arrows in inset in Figure 2e). A peripheral ring
9 of particles is left behind at the drop edge after the complete evaporation confirming that the thin
10 liquid film did not only consist of pure water (see the outermost ring in inset in Figure 2i).
11 Besides, Figure 3 shows a depleted zone is left behind between the peripheral ring and the
12 leading edge of 1 μm particles after the complete evaporation of the thin liquid film separating
13 the two rings from each other. In order to ensure the origin of the deposits at the peripheral ring,
14 the evaporation of drops containing monodisperse particles was conducted (Figure 4). As
15 presented in Figure 4aI, the drop containing 1 μm particles leaves a thin liquid film which is
16 between the particles and the contact line. After the complete evaporation, only a single ring at
17 the periphery is left, and thus the thin liquid film of pure water is completely evaporated (see
18 Figure 4aII). Figure 4bI shows the 3.2 μm particles travel toward the edge and stop from the edge
19 with a distance, which is filled with the thin liquid film. As shown in Figure 4bII, two rings of
20 particles are left behind after the drop dries out. The inner ring is formed by 3.2 μm
21 microspheres; the outer (or peripheral) ring is formed by the particles obviously smaller than 3.2
22 μm microspheres (Figure 4bII). This results from the deposition of the non-volatile additives,
23 which are used in the monodisperse 3.2 μm particles suspension by the manufacturer to inhibit
24 agglomeration and promote stability of the suspension. The same peripheral ring can be seen for
25 dried bi-disperse drops. This reveals that the smallest particles probably with diameters lower
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 than $1\ \mu\text{m}$ penetrate further inside the contact line region, and reach the drop edge, leading to the
4 deposition of particles there. Therefore, three separate rings of particles can be observed during
5 the drying process of bi-disperse drops (see insets in Figure 2h): the first is the outermost ring at
6 the contact line consisting of particles smaller than $1\ \mu\text{m}$; the second is the middle ring forming
7 by $1\ \mu\text{m}$ particles; and the third is the innermost ring consisting of $3.2\ \mu\text{m}$ particles. To better
8 understanding of the deposition features in the vicinity of the contact line, the individual particles
9 within the droplet were tracked, as shown by the blue and red arrows in Figures 5aI-5aVI. The
10 arrows show that those $1\ \mu\text{m}$ particles arriving behind the $3.2\ \mu\text{m}$ particles can travel around the
11 larger particles and reach the middle ring. Besides, some $1\ \mu\text{m}$ particles pass from between the
12 two adjacent $3.2\ \mu\text{m}$ particles and then deposit at the middle ring (shown by the circle in Figures
13 5bI-5bIV). Such movements by small particles can be observed until the late stages of the
14 evaporation. On the other hand, some other small ($1\ \mu\text{m}$) particles are trapped at the innermost
15 ring, and cannot move further toward the edge due to the presence of high number of large
16 particles at the innermost ring at the late stages of the evaporation (see the increase in the number
17 of particles of the innermost ring with time in Figure 2a-h). In addition, there is a dramatic
18 increase in the velocity of particles approaching the edge in the late stages of the drop's
19 lifetime,^{46,47} causing the sudden increase in the number of small particles at the innermost ring.
20 In other words, there is not enough space for these small particles to pass through the inner ring,
21 and reach the middle one, leading to the aggregation of small particles at the innermost ring, and
22 hence the innermost ring is formed by the mixture of both small ($1\ \mu\text{m}$) and large ($3.2\ \mu\text{m}$)
23 particles after the dry-out of the drop (see the innermost ring in insets in Figure 2i). Insets in
24 Figure 2b,e,h show that the distance between the innermost ring and the contact line increases
25 with the evaporation time. In other words, the innermost ring moves inward toward the drop
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 center, repelling the “coffee-ring” effect. The reversal motion of the innermost ring can be
4 attributed to the inward force driven by the capillary force,^{48,49} which is found to be a kind of
5 lateral immersion capillary force.⁴⁸ The latter acts when there is a contact between the particles
6 and the air-liquid interface during the drying process.^{48,49} The inward migration of particles takes
7 place whenever the outward coffee-ring flow is overcome by the net capillary force between
8 particles and the air-liquid interface, which is consistent with literature.³² This reverse effect is a
9 unique transport mechanism which is related to the geometric constraints of particles and drop
10 (i.e. drop size, contact angle),³² instead of other mechanisms such as the Marangoni,^{12,50} gravity
11 and standard effects,^{10,11} etc.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60





Figure 1. (a) Evolution of base and contact angle for evaporating water-based bi-disperse drops containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a nonheated silicon substrate (at 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$) and heated substrates at different temperatures of 51, 64, 81, and 99 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The initial volume of drops at different temperatures: (aI) 4, (aII) 4.1, (aIII) 2.6, (aIV) 3.2, (aV) 4.1, and (aVI) 5 μL . (b) Dried deposits of bi-disperse drops onto a nonheated silicon substrate (at 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$) and heated substrates at different temperatures of 51, 64, 81, and 99 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. Scale bars, 300 μm .



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 2. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a nonheated silicon substrate (at 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$). Insets show the zoomed in regions highlighted in the solid rectangles and circles. The micrographs are recorded at a temporal resolution of 30 fps and at 1000 \times magnification. Scale bars, 50 μm .




Figure 3. 2 \times zoomed in picture of a completely dried 3 μL water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a nonheated silicon substrate (at 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$). The complete evaporation of the thin liquid film leaves a depleted zone between the leading edge of the middle and outermost rings. The original micrograph is taken at magnification of 1000 \times .




Figure 4. (a) Images taken from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based monodisperse drop containing 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$: (I) The 1 μm particles leave a thin liquid film during the evaporation; (II) The thin liquid film dries out at the end of the evaporation, and only the ring of 1 μm particles is left behind. (b) Images taken from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based monodisperse drop containing 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$: (I) The thin liquid film is formed between the edge and the ring of 3.2 μm particles during the drying; (II) The thin liquid film dries out at the end of the evaporation and leaves the peripheral ring of non-volatile additives behind, apart from the inner ring of 3.2 μm particles. Scale bar, 6 μm .




Figure 5. Zoomed in snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a $3 \mu\text{L}$ water-based bi-dispersed drop containing a mixture of $3.2 \mu\text{m}$ diameter particles (mass

1
2
3 concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125
4 wt %) onto a nonheated silicon substrate (at 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$). (aI-VI) The two-color arrows indicate two
5 tracers 1 μm particles which move toward the edge; the particles become close to the 3.2 μm
6 particles, and then travel around them and deposit at the middle ring near the edge. (bI-IV) The
7 circle indicates a tracer 1 μm particle which moves toward the edge; the particle passes from
8 between the two adjacent 3.2 μm particles and deposit at the middle ring. The micrographs are
9 recorded at a temporal resolution of 30 fps and at 1000 \times magnification. Scale bars, 25 μm .

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 The 1000 \times and 100 \times magnification consecutive micrographs of the evaporating droplets on
22 the substrates heated at 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ are given in Figures 6 and 7, respectively (Supporting Information
23 Videos S2 and S3). A thin liquid film can be seen between the contact line and leading edge of
24 the middle ring (formed by 1 μm particles) similar to what observed for the nonheated case (see
25 inset in Figure 6g). The 3.2 μm particles also deposit in the vicinity of the middle ring and
26 forming the innermost ring but it is not well-organized as that observed at 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (see insets in
27 Figure 6g,h). Unlike the nonheated case, the reverse effect (observed for the nonheated case) is
28 not seen at 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, and thus the innermost ring is not transported inwardly toward the center.
29 Instead, the Marangoni effect plays an important role in the transportation of particles in the
30 reverse direction of the outward flow. The tracking of particles reveals that in the early stages of
31 the evaporation, a group of particles approach the drop edge but do not reach the edge. Instead,
32 they move back radially toward the top of the drop surface along the air-liquid interface. These
33 repelled particles stop near the top of the drop surface, building a ringlike collection (or cluster)
34 of particles there (see Figure 7a-e). The transportation of particles toward and away from the
35 drop edge forms an eddy region between the ringlike cluster at the top region of the drop and the
36 edge, as shown by the double arrows between the dashed curve and edge in Figure 6a-h. It is
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 well understood that there is a surface tension gradient along the air-liquid interface as the
4 temperature on the top center of the drop is lower than the edge.^{13,51} The surface tension of water
5 decreases with increasing temperature, and water tends to flow to high surface tension regions;
6 thus, the radially inward flow is driven from the edge to the top surface of the drop. Moreover,
7 the existence of a stagnation point at the air-liquid interface near the contact line divides the
8 internal flow inside the evaporating drop into two regions (see Figure 8):⁵⁰ one is an outward
9 surface flow which is beyond the stagnation point, leading to the motion of particles toward the
10 contact line close to the solid surface and their deposition at the edge; the other is the convective
11 flow, in which particles move radially outward toward the drop edge but then their direction is
12 changed and move backward along the air-liquid interface (Figure 8). It is reported that in the
13 case of having both the strong Marangoni effect and stagnation point, only those particles
14 participating in the outward flow region can deposit in the edge regions,⁵² and build the three
15 rings structures. Therefore, those particles participating in the convective flow region lead to the
16 formation of the ringlike cluster of particles at the top surface of the drop. During the late stages
17 of the evaporation (just before the depinning of the contact line), the ringlike cluster moves
18 toward the contact line (Figure 7f), and a group of particles deposit near both the middle and
19 innermost rings, merging these two rings completely (see inset in Figure 6i). On the other hand,
20 as both the arrival of the ringlike cluster toward the edge and depinning are two immediate,
21 consecutive processes, a group of particles at the free interface of the drop may not have the time
22 required to reach the edge, and thus freezes in place, forming a non-uniform distribution of
23 particles inside the drop (Figure 7f-i). In the merging process of the two inner rings, the majority
24 of smaller particles ($1\ \mu\text{m}$) deposit closer to the edge compared to the larger ones ($3.2\ \mu\text{m}$). This
25 is because of further penetration of the small particles into the regions near the contact line in
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 comparison with the larger ones. The contact line depinning occurs just after the merging of the
4 middle and innermost rings, preventing the deposition of the ringlike cluster as a new ringlike
5 structure in the central regions of the drop. Side views of an evaporating droplet deposited onto a
6 heated substrate is sketched based on the aforementioned analysis. In Figure 9a,b, the solid and
7 dashed arrows indicate the direction of the outward and thermal Marangoni flows, respectively.
8 First, the outward flow causes the particles to move adjacent to the substrate surface toward the
9 initial contact line and deposit near the edge (Figure 9a,b). However, the Marangoni flow
10 prevents a fraction of these particles from depositing at the edge and leads them to return
11 inwardly along the air-liquid interface (Figure 9a,b). The collection of these particles at the top
12 surface of the drop results in a ringlike cluster. The cluster approaches the drop edge before the
13 depinning of the contact line (Figure 9cI), joining the particles deposited near the edge (Figure
14 9dI). The depinning occurs quickly after the merging (Figure 9dI), hence the ringlike cluster does
15 not deposit as a distinct ringlike structure in the central regions of the droplet, unlike the other
16 studies.^{13,44,51,53} Several works^{13,42,43,45} reported the similar ringlike cluster at the top of
17 evaporating nanofluid droplets (Figure 9a,b), which deposited as a distinctive secondary ring or
18 an inner deposit in the central regions of the dried droplet. Parsa et al.¹³ observed that first the
19 contact line depinned (Figure 9cII), and then the cluster drifted toward the depinned contact line
20 (Figure 9dII).¹³ Consequently, a new ringlike structure was formed when the ringlike cluster
21 reached the depinned contact line (Figure 9dII,eII).¹³ By comparing the present observations
22 (Figure 9cI,dI,eI) with those of Parsa et al.¹³ (Figure 9cII,dII,eII), it can be concluded that the
23 depinning time of the contact line plays an important role in the final deposition pattern. The
24 previously observed thin liquid film between the contact line and leading edge of the middle ring
25 dries out only at the last moments of the evaporation process (insets in Figure 6g,h,i). After the
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

complete evaporation, a new peripheral ring appears at the drop edge which is separated from the merged ring by a depleted zone (see inset in Figure 6i). The similar ring was also observed for the nonheated case, (marked as the outermost ring in Figure 6i).




Figure 6. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated

1
2
3 silicon substrate at 51 °C. The double arrows denote the eddy region between the ringlike cluster
4 and edge. Insets show the zoomed in regions highlighted in the solid rectangles.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 7. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at 51 °C. The micrographs are recorded at a temporal resolution of 125 fps and at 100 \times magnification. Scale bars, 100 μm .





Figure 8. Sketch of the internal flow pattern inside an evaporating water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of $3.2\ \mu\text{m}$ diameter particles and $1\ \mu\text{m}$ mean diameter particles onto a heated silicon substrate; The outward and temperature-dependent Marangoni flows are indicated by the solid and dashed arrows, respectively. The black bar indicates a stagnation point where the surface flow changes its direction.



1
2
3 **Figure 9.** Deposition mechanism schematic of the ringlike cluster onto the heated silicon
4 substrate during and after the evaporation of a water-based drop containing particles: (a) The
5 particles move toward the pinned edge (shown by the solid arrow), some deposit at the edge, and
6 a fraction of the particles move back inward to the top surface of the drop. (b) The number of
7 particles increases with evaporation time at the top surface and edge, leading to the formation of
8 a ringlike cluster at the top region of the droplet near the air-liquid interface. (cI) The ringlike
9 cluster move toward the pinned edge, and join particles deposited at the edge. (dI) The contact
10 line depins. (eI) After the complete evaporation, the ringlike cluster deposited in the edge
11 regions, merged with the other particles at edge. (cII) The contact line depins, and the ringlike
12 cluster move toward the depinned contact line. (dII) The ringlike cluster reach the depinned
13 contact line and deposit in the central region. (eII) Apart from the particles deposited in the edge
14 regions, the deposition of the ringlike cluster leads to a new distinct ringlike structure in the
15 central regions after the complete dry-out of the drop.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35 The 1000 \times and 100 \times magnification consecutive still images of the evaporating drop at 64 $^{\circ}\text{C}$
36 are provided in Figures 10 and 11, respectively (Supporting Information Videos S4 and S5). The
37 temporal evolution of the evaporating drop at 81 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ is given in Figures 12 and 13 at
38 magnifications of 1000 \times and 100 \times , respectively (Supporting Information Videos S6 and S7).
39 Similarly to the drying drop at 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, a ringlike cluster can be observed at the top of the drop
40 caused by the Marangoni effect (see Figures 11a-e and 13a-e). The Marangoni eddy identified
41 previously at 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ is clearly shown between the edge and ringlike cluster by the dashed arrows
42 in Figures 10b-h and 12a-g. As shown in insets in Figure 10g,h, the particles sorting near the
43 edge is similar to that of the drying drop at 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. However, unlike 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, the depinning of the
44 contact line prevents the ringlike cluster from reaching the initial contact line. The depinned
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 contact line pushes back the ringlike cluster toward the central regions of the drop (Figures 11f,g
4 and 13f). Then, the ringlike cluster reaches the depinned contact line (Figures 11h and 13g),
5 building a new distinctive ringlike structure in the drop center (Figures 11i and 13h,i).
6
7
8 Subsequently, those particles trapped inside the ringlike cluster at the free interface deposit in the
9 interior of the new ringlike structure, leading to the observed irregular distribution of particles
10 there (see inside the secondary ring in Figures 11g-i and 13g-i). The formation mechanism of the
11 inner ringlike structure deposited in the central region is schematized from the side view in
12 Figure 9a,b,cII,dII,eII. Contrary to the what is observed for the case of 51 °C, the ringlike cluster
13 did not reach the initial edge, and thus the rings (formed by 1 and 3.2 μm particles) in the edge
14 region are distinctive (insets in Figures 10i and 12i). Figure S5 (in Supporting Information)
15 shows that the depinning time of the initial contact line decreases with increasing temperature.
16
17 Hence, at 51 °C, the depinning time is longer than that at higher temperatures. The longer
18 depinning time at 51 °C leads to the arrival of the ringlike cluster to the edge region, and
19 prevents from the formation of the secondary ringlike structure in the interior of the drop.
20
21 However, the slightly earlier depinning at temperatures of 64 and 81 °C prevents from the arrival
22 of the ringlike cluster to the edge, and hence the cluster deposits in the interior of the drop. In a
23 similar manner to the heated case at 51 °C, a depleted zone (between the two bars in insets in
24 Figures 10i and 12i) appears after the dry-out of the thin liquid film (shown between the two bars
25 in inset in Figure 10g), which separates the ring built by 1 μm particles (marked as the middle
26 ring in insets in Figures 10i and 12i) and the ring formed by particles smaller than 1 μm (marked
27 as the outermost ring in Figures 10i and 12i). The innermost ring is formed by the mixture of
28 both 1 and 3.2 μm particles (insets in Figures 10i and 12i). The depinning of the initial contact
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

line also pushes the innermost ring and consequently leads to the deformation or rupture of some parts of the ring (see the regions highlighted by the ovals in Figures 10f-i and 12f-i).




Figure 10. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a $3 \mu\text{L}$ water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of $3.2 \mu\text{m}$ diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and $1 \mu\text{m}$ mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at $64 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The double arrows denote the eddy region between the ringlike cluster

and edge. Insets show the zoomed in regions highlighted in the solid rectangles. The micrographs are recorded at a temporal resolution of 125 fps and at 1000 \times magnification. Scale bars, 50 μm .




Figure 11. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at 64 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The micrographs are recorded at a temporal resolution of 125 fps and at 100 \times magnification. Scale bars, 100 μm .




Figure 12. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at 81 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The double arrows denote the eddy region between the ringlike cluster and edge. The micrographs are recorded at a temporal resolution of 125 fps and at 1000 \times magnification. Scale bars, 50 μm .




Figure 13. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a $3 \mu\text{L}$ water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of $3.2 \mu\text{m}$ diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and $1 \mu\text{m}$ mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at $81 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The micrographs are recorded at a temporal resolution of 125 fps and at $100\times$ magnification. Scale bars, $100 \mu\text{m}$.

Figures 14 and 15 show top views of the drying drops at $99 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ at magnifications of $500\times$ and $100\times$, respectively (Supporting Information Videos S8 and S9). The transportation of the particles participating in the eddy region can be observed due to the Marangoni effect (shown by the double arrows between the edge and dashed curve in Figure 14a-f). The ringlike cluster at the

1
2
3 top region of the drying drop is found to be identical to the drops evaporating at 64 and 81 °C
4
5 (Figure 15a-c). In a similar manner to the droplets evaporating at 64 and 81 °C, the contact line
6
7 depins before the ringlike cluster moving toward the edge (Figure 15d). The depinned contact
8
9 line reaches the ringlike cluster, depositing it onto the substrate (Figure 15e). After that, the
10
11 contact line jumps to a new position and remains pinned (Figure 15f,g). The contact line jumps
12
13 for several times after each pinning stage (Figure 15g,h), leaving behind distinct deposition lines
14
15 in the central region of the dried drop (Figure 15i). This evaporation process is known as the
16
17 “stick-slip” behavior. The stick and slip of the contact line occurs from all sides of the drop
18
19 (Figure 15d-h), leading to the formation of a “rose-like” pattern (Figures 15i and 16a). However,
20
21 in some cases (at 99 °C), the typical “stick-slip” behavior is observed during which the contact
22
23 line is pinned on one side of the drying drop (left side in Figure 16b), and it slips and sticks on
24
25 the other side (right side of the drop in Figure 16b). Thus, the multiple concentric rings are
26
27 formed with a preferential/initial pinning to one side, as shown in Figure 16b. That pinning of the
28
29 contact line on one side of the droplet is (perhaps) due to irregularities on the surface.⁵⁴ A close
30
31 examination of the initial contact line after the complete evaporation reveals that the observed
32
33 outermost ring at lower temperatures (22, 51, 64 and 81 °C) almost overlaps the leading edge of
34
35 the middle ring (see insets I in Figures 16a and 16b). Thus, the depleted zone between the
36
37 contact line and the ring of 1 μm particles is not easily distinguishable (insets I in Figures 16a
38
39 and 16b). There are two obvious rings of particles at the initial periphery of the dried drops
40
41 (insets I in Figures 16a and 16b): one is the outermost ring, which is formed by 1 μm particles
42
43 overlapping the non-volatile additives; and the other is the innermost ring which is built by the
44
45 mixture of 1 and 3.2 μm particles. A magnified image of the initial contact line on the pinned
46
47 side of the drop (inset II in Figure 16b) also shows the similar particle sorting but with larger
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 aggregation of 1 and 3.2 μm particles at the innermost ring which is due to the continuous
4 pinning on that side of the drop during the evaporation. Inset II in Figure 16a and inset III in
5
6 Figure 16b show the magnified pictures of the second deposition lines which are the remnants of
7
8 the ringlike cluster deposited on the solid surface. Unlike the initial deposition line (shown by
9
10 inset I in Figure 16a and insets I,II in Figure 16b), the second line consists of rings which are
11
12 easily distinguishable from each other (inset II in Figure 16a and inset III in Figure 16b): the
13
14 outermost ring formed by the non-volatile additives, the middle ring built by 1 μm particles, and
15
16 the innermost ring formed by the mixture of all particle sizes. The width of the depleted zone is
17
18 known to be a function of the geometric parameters (i.e., contact angle (θ), particle size (d_p)),
19
20 and is relatively equal to $d_p/\tan\theta$ (see Figure 17).³⁴ As the width of the depleted zone is the
21
22 distance between the initial contact line (or the outermost ring) and the ring of 1 μm particles (or
23
24 middle ring), d_p should be equal to 1 μm and is constant for all studied cases. Thus, the width of
25
26 the zone becomes larger with decreasing the contact angle (Figure 17). In other words, the larger
27
28 the contact angle, the smaller becomes the zone. It is obvious that the initial contact angle of the
29
30 drop at the initial contact line is larger than the contact angle (just after the first jump) at the
31
32 second deposition line (see Figure 17aI,bI). Hence, the width of the zone at the initial contact
33
34 line (inset I in Figure 16a and insets I,II in Figure 16b) is smaller than that at the second
35
36 deposition line (inset II in Figure 16a and inset III in Figure 16b). Similarly, at 81 °C, the width
37
38 at the initial deposition line is smaller compared to that at the inner ringlike structure (see Figure
39
40 17aII,bII). This is because the initial contact angle of the drop is larger than that of the drop at
41
42 the deposition time of the ringlike cluster (see Figure 17aI,bI). As previously mentioned, several
43
44 deposition lines are formed on the solid surface due to the “stick-slip” behavior. For the “rose-
45
46 like” pattern, the structure of the other internal lines left by the “stick-slip” (apart from the
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

second line) are similar to what explained for the second deposition line (inset II in Figure 16a). However, the typical “stick-slip” deposit (Figure 16b) exhibits a different pattern (for the internal deposition lines) where there is a faint single ring connecting some triangular structures (see insets IV,V in Figure 16b). This can be attributed (perhaps) to the weak pinning of the contact line during the evaporation.⁵⁵ Thus, the retracting contact line carries the particles toward the pinned side of the drying drop and build these triangular structures.




Figure 14. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at 99 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The double arrows denote the eddy region between the ringlike cluster

and edge. The micrographs are recorded at a temporal resolution of 125 fps and at 500× magnification. Scale bars, 100 μm .




Figure 15. Snapshots from a video microscopy of the evaporation process of a 3 μL water-based bi-disperse drop containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated silicon substrate at 99 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The micrographs are recorded at a temporal resolution of 125 fps and at 100× magnification. Scale bars, 100 μm .




Figure 16. Dried deposits of bi-disperse drops containing a mixture of $3.2 \mu\text{m}$ diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and $1 \mu\text{m}$ mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto a heated substrate at temperature of $99 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$: (a) The rose-like pattern - (I) initial contact line, and (II) the second deposition line. (b) The typical stick-slip pattern - (I) initial contact line on the depinned side, (II) initial contact line on the pinned side, (III) the second deposition line, (IV) the third deposition line, and (V) the fourth deposition line. Insets show the zoomed in regions highlighted in the solid rectangles. The initial volume of drops is: (a) 4.1 , and (b) $5 \mu\text{L}$. Scale bars, $600 \mu\text{m}$.




Figure 17. (aI) Schematic diagram of a 3 μL bi-dispersed drop's edge at the initial evaporation time. (aII) Particle sorting at the initial edge after the complete evaporation. (bI) Schematic diagram of the drop's edge at the deposition time of the ringlike cluster. (bII) Particle sorting after the deposition of the ringlike cluster. λ is the distance between the ring of non-volatile additives and the ring of 1 μm particles. θ is the contact angle. d_p is the diameter of the 1 μm particle. The drop contains a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %). Scale bars, 6 μm .

In studies related to the evaporation of monodispersed drops on heated substrates, the thermal Marangoni effect is the main mechanism behind the pattern formation of particles.¹³ For monodispersed drops on heated substrates, the “dual-ring” pattern was observed for temperatures between 47 and 81 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, but this pattern is not observed for bi-dispersed drops at temperature of 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. Instead, a non-uniform deposition of particles enclosed by a thick ring is observed. This can be attributed to the different particle sorting near the contact line which (perhaps) affects the

1
2
3 depinning time, and thus the final deposition pattern. In the case of bi-dispersed drops at highest
4 temperature of 99 °C, two different patterns from the “stick-slip” behavior are observed, whereas
5 only one particular pattern (similar to sample VI in Figure 1b) was reported after the stick-slip
6 behavior for monodispersed drops.¹³ Overall, the final deposition pattern of bi-dispersed drops
7 on heated substrates is significantly dependent on the thermal Marangoni effect rather than the
8 particle size effect. However, the size effect plays an important role in the deposition pattern of
9 the regions near the contact line, and it is quite different from the monodispersed cases.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 **Particle Velocity.** The particle velocity is measured at the distance of 50 μm from the contact
21 line and presented as a function of normalized time in Figure 18. It should be noted that only
22 those particles deposit at near the edge are considered for this measurement. In other words, the
23 particles inside the outward flow region are tracked for the measurement of velocity (see solid
24 arrows in Figure 8). Hence, the repelled particles in the Marangoni flow region are not included
25 in this measurement (see dashed arrows in Figure 8). Using the models by Deegan et al.,¹¹ the
26 theoretical height-averaged velocity is calculated close to the contact line which is given by⁴⁷

$$\tilde{u}(r, t) = \frac{R}{4 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right) (t_e - t)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2}} - \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2\right] \right) \quad (1)$$

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 where R is the drop radius; r , the distance from the drop center; t , the evaporation time; and t_e ,
44 the total evaporation time. For the plots in Figure 18, the value of r is equal to the difference
45 between the drop radius and the distance of measurements from the contact line ($r = R - 50$
46 μm). As it can be seen in Figure 18, both the experimental and theoretical velocities gradually
47 increase with time, but they increase abruptly at the last stages of the evaporation. This sudden
48 change in the velocity is known as the “rush-hour” behavior.^{46,47} The observation of the rush-
49 hour behavior has been reported previously for drying drops on nonheated substrates.^{46,47} Here,
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the similar behavior is observed for evaporating bi-dispersed drops on both nonheated and heated
4 substrates. The outward flow towards the contact line is generated to replenish the evaporated
5 liquid while the drop height is vanishing with the evaporation time. Thus, the liquid is squeezed
6 through the vanishing area, leading to a diverging radial velocity. The critical time at which the
7 radial velocity diverges is indicated by the dashed line which is estimated between the
8 normalized time of 0.7 and 0.8 for all temperatures between 22 and 81 °C (Figure 18). Despite
9 the trend of the theoretical velocity matches well with the experimental one, there is a difference
10 between the measured and calculated values. This discrepancy can be attributed to the distance
11 between the objective lens and substrate for experimental measurements which is not considered
12 in the model. In addition, the increase of temperature changes the properties of the liquid and
13 vapor (i.e., liquid density, vapor concentration, vapor diffusivity) and it is also not included in
14 the model. Marín et al.⁴⁶ introduced a more developed model to calculate the theoretical height-
15 averaged velocity which includes all abovementioned and other extra parameters (i.e., contact
16 angle, height). However, it cannot be used in this study as the simultaneous side view and top
17 view visualizations of drops were not possible.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 18. Particle velocity versus normalized time for drying 3 μL bi-dispersed drops containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto heated substrates at: (a) 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, (b) 51 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, (c) 64 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, and (d) 81 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The dashed line indicates the start of the rush-hour behavior.

Location of Stagnation Point. To determine the location of the stagnation point in evaporating bi-dispersed drops onto heated substrates, the 1 μm particles are tracked and the turning points of these particles returning back to the top surface of the drops are shown by the crosses in Figure 19. The crosses show that the particles can change the direction in different

1
2
3 distances from the contact line. During the entire evaporation of drops at 51 and 64 °C, the
4
5 nearest turning points to the contact line are found to be adjacent to the ring of 3.2 μm particles
6
7 (innermost ring) (see insets in Figure 19a,b). On the other hand, it is observed that those other
8
9 particles that reach the region between the innermost ring and the ring of 1 μm particles do not
10
11 turn back, and finally deposit at the ring of 1 μm (middle ring). These observations reveal that
12
13 the distance between the stagnation point and the contact line (the so-called stagnation distance)
14
15 is smaller than that between the innermost ring and contact line, thus the stagnation point should
16
17 be located somewhere between the innermost and the middle rings (for evaporating drops at 51
18
19 and 64 °C). At substrate temperature of 81 °C, the nearest turning point to the contact line is
20
21 observed between the innermost and middle rings (see inset in Figure 19c). Hence, at 81 °C, the
22
23 stagnation point should be in the immediate vicinity of the middle ring. The measured distances
24
25 between the nearest turning point and the contact line are approximately 11, 9, and 7 μm for
26
27 substrate temperatures of 51, 64, and 81 °C, respectively. This shows that increasing the
28
29 substrate temperature decreases the stagnation distance within drying bi-dispersed drops. This
30
31 observation is in a good agreement with the study of Li et al.⁴² who showed that the stagnation
32
33 distance decreased with the substrate temperature inside evaporating monodispersed drops.
34
35 However, a more detailed study is required to estimate the stagnation distance by considering the
36
37 effect of geometrical parameters (i.e., contact angle). For example, the initial contact angle of the
38
39 drop affects the width of the thin liquid film between the initial contact line and the middle ring,
40
41 which may have influence on the stagnation distance. In other words, the larger width of the thin
42
43 liquid film may increase the stagnation distance, and vice versa.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 19. Turning points of 1 μm particles are shown by the crosses inside 3 μL bi-dispersed drops containing a mixture of 3.2 μm diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) and 1 μm mean diameter particles (mass concentration of 0.0125 wt %) onto heated substrates at: (a)

1
2
3 51 °C, (b) 64 °C, and (c) 81 °C. Insets show the zoomed in regions highlighted in the solid
4
5
6 rectangles.

7 8 9 **CONCLUSION**

10
11
12 In summary, the results of the deposition patterns from evaporating bi-dispersed drops onto
13 both nonheated and heated silicon substrates were reported. On nonheated substrate, a nearly
14 uniform deposition pattern enclosed by the disk-like ring was observed. On heated substrates, the
15 inward thermal Marangoni flow formed a ringlike cluster of particles on the top surface of the
16 drops, which had a significant role in the final deposition patterns. The internal flow was divided
17 into two regions of the outward “coffee-ring” flow and the Marangoni flow by a stagnation point
18 where the flow changed its direction. At the substrate temperature of 51 °C, the ringlike cluster
19 reached the initial edge just before the depinning of the contact line, and thus a relatively non-
20 uniform deposition pattern enclosed by the thick ring was formed. From temperatures from 64 to
21 81 °C, the depinning of the initial contact line occurred before the arrival of the ringlike cluster
22 toward the edge, forming a ringlike structure on the surface. Hence, a “dual-ring” pattern was left
23 after the full evaporation: one is the ringlike structure at the periphery; the other is the inner
24 ringlike structure left by the cluster. However, at 99 °C, the stick-slip behavior of the contact line
25 led to the formation of a “stick-slip” pattern. Magnification at the drops edge showed three rings
26 in the regions near the contact line after the complete evaporation: one was the outermost ring
27 formed by the non-volatile additives smaller than 1 μm ; the second was the middle ring formed
28 by the 1 μm particles; and, the other is the innermost ring formed by the mixture of 1 and 3.2 μm
29 particles. However, at some cases, the outermost ring was found to be nearly overlapped the
30 middle ring. The width of the zone between the outermost ring and the middle ring was shown to
31 be different at the initial edge and the edge of the inner ringlike structure (for temperatures from
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 64 to 99 °C). The width of the zone at the initial edge was found to be smaller than that at the
4
5 edge of the inner ringlike structure. This was explained by the difference between the values of
6
7 the contact angles at the initial time and the deposition time of the inner ringlike structure.
8
9 Furthermore, velocity of small particles was measured and compared with a theoretical model.
10
11 The theoretical trend fitted well with the experimental one, but there was a discrepancy between
12
13 the theoretical and experimental values. Particle velocity slightly increased with time but it
14
15 rapidly increased at the last stages of the evaporation, known as the “rush-hour” behavior. At all
16
17 examined temperatures, the “rush-hour” behavior began between the normalized times of 0.7 and
18
19 0.8. Moreover, the 1 μm particles were tracked during the evaporation, and the nearest position
20
21 of the turning point of tracer particles was found. For the substrate temperatures of 51 and 64 °C,
22
23 the nearest turning point was observed adjacent to the innermost ring. However, the nearest
24
25 turning point at 81 °C was found to be between the innermost and middle rings. By comparing
26
27 the distance between the nearest turning points and the contact line for the temperatures of 51, 64
28
29 and 81 °C, it was found that increasing the substrate temperature decreased the stagnation
30
31 distance.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

42 43 **Corresponding Author**

44
45 *Email: souad.harmand@univ-valenciennes.fr
46
47
48
49

50 51 **Author Contributions**

52
53 All authors contributed to the design of the experiments. M.P. performed the experiments, and
54
55 analyzed the data with support from S.H. and K.S.; M.P. wrote the manuscript with support from
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 S.H. and K.S.; R.D. is responsible for the scan of the silicon wafers by means of the white light
4 interferometer. M.B. is responsible for the characterization of the particle deposits shown in
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

S.H. and K.S.; R.D. is responsible for the scan of the silicon wafers by means of the white light interferometer. M.B. is responsible for the characterization of the particle deposits shown in Figures S2-S4 in Supporting Information. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work has been achieved within the framework of CE2I project (Convertisseur d'Énergie Intégré Intelligent). CE2I is co-financed by European Union with the financial support of European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), French State and the French Region of Hauts-de-France.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Microscopic videos of the evaporating bi-dispersed droplets at all substrate temperatures. Topography of the particle deposits and density distribution of particles at all substrate temperatures. Normalized depinning time of the bi-dispersed droplets versus temperature. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

REFERENCES

- (1) Bermel, A. D.; Bugner, D. E. Particle Size Effects in Pigmented Ink Jet Inks. *J. Imaging Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *43* (4), 320–324.
- (2) Sowade, E.; Blaudeck, T.; Baumann, R. R. Inkjet Printing of Colloidal Nanospheres: Engineering the Evaporation-Driven Self-Assembly Process to Form Defined Layer

- 1
2
3 Morphologies. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2015**, *10* (1), 362.
4
5
6
7 (3) Kawase, T.; Sirringhaus, H.; Friend, R. H.; Shimoda, T. Inkjet Printed via-Hole
8 Interconnections and Resistors for All-Polymer Transistor Circuits. *Adv. Mater.* **2001**, *13*
9 (21), 1601–1605.
10
11
12
13
14 (4) De Gans, B. J.; Duineveld, P. C.; Schubert, U. S. Inkjet Printing of Polymers: State of the
15 Art and Future Developments. *Adv. Mater.* **2004**, *16* (3), 203–213.
16
17
18
19
20 (5) Harris, D. J.; Hu, H.; Conrad, J. C.; Lewis, J. A. Patterning Colloidal Films via
21 Evaporative Lithography. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *98* (14), 148301.
22
23
24
25
26 (6) Choi, Y.; Han, J.; Kim, C. Pattern Formation in Drying of Particle-Laden Sessile Drops of
27 Polymer Solutions on Solid Substrates. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2011**, *28* (11), 2130–2136.
28
29
30
31 (7) Xia, Y.; Gates, B.; Li, Z.-Y. Self-Assembly Approaches to Three-Dimensional Photonic
32 Crystals. *Adv. Mater.* **2001**, *13* (6), 409–413.
33
34
35
36
37 (8) Chen, R.; Zhang, L.; Zang, D.; Shen, W. Blood Drop Patterns: Formation and
38 Applications. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2016**, *231*, 1–14.
39
40
41
42 (9) Brutin, D.; Sobac, B.; Loquet, B.; Sampol, J. Pattern Formation in Drying Drops of Blood.
43 *J. Fluid Mech.* **2011**, *667*, 85–95.
44
45
46
47
48 (10) Deegan, R. D.; Bakajin, O.; Dupont, T. F.; Huber, G.; Nagel, S. R.; Witten, T. A.
49 Capillary Flow as the Cause of Ring Stains from Dried Liquid Drops. *Nature* **1997**, *389*
50 (6653), 827–829.
51
52
53
54
55
56 (11) Deegan, R.; Bakajin, O.; Dupont, T.; Huber, G.; Nagel, S.; Witten, T. Contact Line
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Deposits in an Evaporating Drop. *Phys. Rev. E* **2000**, *62* (1), 756–765.
- 4
5
6
7 (12) Hu, H.; Larson, R. G. Marangoni Effect Reverses Coffee-Ring Depositions. *J. Phys.*
8
9 *Chem. B* **2006**, *110* (14), 7090–7094.
- 10
11
12 (13) Parsa, M.; Harmand, S.; Sefiane, K.; Biggerelle, M.; Deltombe, R. Effect of Substrate
13
14 Temperature on Pattern Formation of Nanoparticles from Volatile Drops. *Langmuir* **2015**,
15
16 *31* (11), 3354–3367.
- 17
18
19 (14) Hu, Y.-C.; Zhou, Q.; Ye, H.-M.; Wang, Y.-F.; Cui, L.-S. Peculiar Surface Profile of
20
21 Poly(ethylene Oxide) Film with Ring-like Nucleation Distribution Induced by Marangoni
22
23 Flow Effect. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2013**, *428*, 39–46.
- 24
25
26 (15) Askounis, A.; Sefiane, K.; Koutsos, V.; Shanahan, M. E. R. The Effect of Evaporation
27
28 Kinetics on Nanoparticle Structuring within Contact Line Deposits of Volatile Drops.
29
30 *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2014**, *441*, 855–866.
- 31
32
33 (16) Brutin, D. Influence of Relative Humidity and Nano-Particle Concentration on Pattern
34
35 Formation and Evaporation Rate of Pinned Drying Drops of Nanofluids. *Colloids Surfaces*
36
37 *A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2013**, *429*, 112–120.
- 38
39
40 (17) Orejon, D.; Sefiane, K.; Shanahan, M. E. R. Evaporation of Nanofluid Droplets with
41
42 Applied DC Potential. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2013**, *407*, 29–38.
- 43
44
45 (18) Eral, H. B.; Augustine, D. M.; Duits, M. H. G.; Mugele, F. Suppressing the Coffee Stain
46
47 Effect: How to Control Colloidal Self-Assembly in Evaporating Drops Using
48
49 Electrowetting. *Soft Matter* **2011**, *7* (10), 4954.
- 50
51
52 (19) Zhong, X.; Duan, F. Evaporation of Sessile Droplets Affected by Graphite Nanoparticles
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 and Binary Base Fluids. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2014**, *118* (47), 13636–13645.
4
5
6
7 (20) Zhong, X.; Duan, F. Flow Regime and Deposition Pattern of Evaporating Binary Mixture
8 Droplet Suspended with Particles. *Eur. Phys. J. E* **2016**, *39* (2), 18.
9
10
11 (21) Still, T.; Yunker, P. J.; Yodh, A. G. Surfactant-Induced Marangoni Eddies Alter the
12 Coffee-Rings of Evaporating Colloidal Drops. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28* (11), 4984–4988.
13
14
15
16 (22) Sempels, W.; De Dier, R.; Mizuno, H.; Hofkens, J.; Vermant, J. Auto-Production of
17 Biosurfactants Reverses the Coffee Ring Effect in a Bacterial System. *Nat. Commun.*
18 **2013**, *4*, 1757.
19
20
21
22 (23) Crivoi, A.; Duan, F. Effect of Surfactant on the Drying Patterns of Graphite Nanofluid
23 Droplets. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**, *117* (19), 5932–5938.
24
25
26
27 (24) Yunker, P. J.; Still, T.; Lohr, M. A.; Yodh, A. G. Suppression of the Coffee-Ring Effect
28 by Shape-Dependent Capillary Interactions. *Nature* **2011**, *476* (7360), 308–311.
29
30
31
32 (25) Chon, C. H.; Paik, S.; Tipton, J. B.; Kihm, K. D. Effect of Nanoparticle Sizes and Number
33 Densities on the Evaporation and Dryout Characteristics for Strongly Pinned Nanofluid
34 Droplets. *Langmuir* **2007**, *23* (6), 2953–2960.
35
36
37
38 (26) Lee, H. H.; Fu, S. C.; Tso, C. Y.; Chao, C. Y. H. Study of Residue Patterns of Aqueous
39 Nanofluid Droplets with Different Particle Sizes and Concentrations on Different
40 Substrates. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **2017**, *105*, 230–236.
41
42
43
44 (27) Huang, J.; Kim, F.; Tao, A. R.; Connor, S.; Yang, P. Spontaneous Formation of
45 Nanoparticle Stripe Patterns through Dewetting. *Nat. Mater.* **2005**, *4* (12), 896–900.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (28) Jung, J. Y.; Kwak, H. Y. Separation of Microparticles and Biological Cells inside an Evaporating Droplet Using Dielectrophoresis. *Anal. Chem.* **2007**, *79* (13), 5087–5092.
- (29) Erb, R. M.; Son, H. S.; Samanta, B.; Rotello, V. M.; Yellen, B. B. Magnetic Assembly of Colloidal Superstructures with Multipole Symmetry. *Nature* **2009**, *457* (7232), 999–1002.
- (30) Jung, J.-Y.; Kim, Y. W.; Yoo, J. Y. Behavior of Particles in an Evaporating Disperse Colloid Droplet on a Hydrophilic Surface. *Anal. Chem.* **2009**, *81* (19), 8256–8259.
- (31) Sangani, A. S.; Lu, C.; Su, K.; Schwarz, J. A. Capillary Force on Particles near a Drop Edge Resting on a Substrate and a Criterion for Contact Line Pinning. *Phys. Rev. E* **2009**, *80* (1), 11603.
- (32) Weon, B. M.; Je, J. H. Capillary Force Repels Coffee-Ring Effect. *Phys. Rev. E* **2010**, *82* (1), 15305.
- (33) Chhasatia, V. H.; Sun, Y. Interaction of Bi-Dispersed Particles with Contact Line in an Evaporating Colloidal Drop. *Soft Matter* **2011**, *7* (21), 10135–10143.
- (34) Monteux, C.; Lequeux, F. Packing and Sorting Colloids at the Contact Line of a Drying Drop. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27* (6), 2917–2922.
- (35) Wong, T. S.; Chen, T. H.; Shen, X.; Ho, C. M. Nanochromatography Driven by the Coffee Ring Effect. *Anal. Chem.* **2011**, *83* (6), 1871–1873.
- (36) Devlin, N. R.; Loehr, K.; Harris, M. T. The Importance of Gravity in Droplet Evaporation: A Comparison of Pendant and Sessile Drop Evaporation with Particles. *AIChE J.* **2016**, *62* (3), 947–955.

- 1
2
3 (37) Devlin, N. R.; Loehr, K.; Harris, M. T. The Separation of Two Different Sized Particles in
4 an Evaporating Droplet. *AIChE J.* **2015**, *61* (10), 3547–3556.
5
6
7
8
9 (38) Zhong, X.; Xie, H.; Duan, F. Deposition Patterns from Evaporating Sessile Droplets with
10 Suspended Mixtures of Multi-Sized and Multi-Species Hydrophilic and Non-Adsorbing
11 Nanoparticles. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* **2017**, *111*, 1565–1572.
12
13
14
15
16
17 (39) Han, W.; Byun, M.; Lin, Z. Assembling and Positioning Latex Nanoparticles via
18 Controlled Evaporative Self-Assembly. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21* (42), 16968.
19
20
21
22
23 (40) Jeong, H.; van Tiem, J.; Gianchandani, Y. B.; Park, J. Nano-Particle Separation Using
24 Marangoni Flow in Evaporating Droplets. In *Solid-State Sensors, Actuators and*
25 *Microsystems Workshop*; Hilton Head Island, 2014; pp 223–226.
26
27
28
29
30 (41) Hendarto, E.; Gianchandani, Y. B. Size Sorting of Floating Spheres Based on Marangoni
31 Forces in Evaporating Droplets. *J. Micromechanics Microengineering* **2013**, *23* (7),
32 75016.
33
34
35
36
37
38 (42) Li, Y.; Lv, C.; Li, Z.; Quéré, D.; Zheng, Q. From Coffee Rings to Coffee Eyes. *Soft*
39 *Matter* **2015**, *11* (23), 4669–4673.
40
41
42
43
44 (43) Patil, N. D.; Bange, P. G.; Bhardwaj, R.; Sharma, A. Effects of Substrate Heating and
45 Wettability on Evaporation Dynamics and Deposition Patterns for a Sessile Water Droplet
46 Containing Colloidal Particles. *Langmuir* **2016**, *32* (45), 11958–11972.
47
48
49
50
51
52 (44) Zhong, X.; Duan, F. Disk to Dual Ring Deposition Transformation in Evaporating
53 Nanofluid Droplets from Substrate Cooling to Heating. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**,
54 *18* (30), 20664–20671.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (45) Zhong, X.; Wu, C.; Duan, F. From Enhancement to Elimination of Dual-Ring Pattern of Nanoparticles from Sessile Droplets by Heating the Substrate. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* **2017**, *115*, 1418–1423.
- (46) Marín, Á. G.; Gelderblom, H.; Lohse, D.; Snoeijer, J. H. Order-to-Disorder Transition in Ring-Shaped Colloidal Stains. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *107* (8), 85502.
- (47) Marín, Á. G.; Gelderblom, H.; Lohse, D.; Snoeijer, J. H. Rush-Hour in Evaporating Coffee Drops. *Phys. Fluids* **2011**, *23* (9), 91111.
- (48) Kralchevsky, P. A.; Denkov, N. D. Capillary Forces and Structuring in Layers of Colloid Particles. *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2001**, *6* (4), 383–401.
- (49) Di Leonardo, R.; Saglimbeni, F.; Ruocco, G. Very-Long-Range Nature of Capillary Interactions in Liquid Films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *100* (10), 106103.
- (50) Xu, X.; Luo, J. Marangoni Flow in an Evaporating Water Droplet. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, *91* (12), 124102.
- (51) Parsa, M.; Boubaker, R.; Harmand, S.; Sefiane, K.; Bigerelle, M.; Deltombe, R. Patterns from Dried Water-Butanol Binary-Based Nanofluid Drops. *J. Nanoparticle Res.* **2017**, *19* (8), 268.
- (52) Xu, X.; Ma, L.; Huang, D.; Luo, J.; Guo, D. Linear Growth of Colloidal Rings at the Edge of Drying Droplets. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2014**, *447*, 28–31.
- (53) Parsa, M.; Harmand, S.; Sefiane, K.; Bigerelle, M. Evaporation of Binary Mixture Nanofluid Drops: Pattern Formation. In *10th Annual TechConnect World Innovation Conference*; Laudon, M., Case, F., Romanowicz, B., Eds.; TechConnect: Washington,

2016; Vol. 3, pp 196–197.

(54) Orejon, D. A Study of Nanosuspension Droplets Free Evaporation and Electrowetting, University of Edinburgh, 2013.

(55) Askounis, A.; Sefiane, K.; Koutsos, V.; Shanahan, M. E. R. Effect of Particle Geometry on Triple Line Motion of Nano-Fluid Drops and Deposit Nano-Structuring. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2015**, *222*, 44–57.

Table of Contents Graphic

