

A distributed antenna system for indoor accurate WiFi localization

Christophe Loyez, Michael Bocquet, C. Lethien, N. Rolland

To cite this version:

Christophe Loyez, Michael Bocquet, C. Lethien, N. Rolland. A distributed antenna system for indoor accurate WiFi localization. IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, 2015, 14, pp.1184-1187. $10.1109/LAWP.2015.2396901$. hal-03561323

HAL Id: hal-03561323 <https://uphf.hal.science/hal-03561323v1>

Submitted on 3 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A Distributed Antenna System for Indoor Accurate WiFi Localization

C. Loyez, M. Bocquet, C. Lethien, and N. Rolland

*Abstract—***Specific infrastructures are a key challenge for indoor geo-localization systems. In particular, an accurate narrowband localization technique using 802.11 g WiFi signals is proposed in this letter. The related system architecture is built on the basis of radio over fiber topology of distributed antennas systems that are increasingly deployed in buildings. In particular, the system proposed collects the microwave signals using remote and local access** points linked by $62.5-\mu m$ core diameter multimode fibers and en**ables to determine the location transmitter with an accuracy of less than 6.4 cm.**

*Index Terms—***Localization, multimode fiber, radio over fiber.**

I. INTRODUCTION

T HE geo-localization systems managed by GPS-based solutions are attractive but exhibit poor performance in indoor environment [1]. Numerous alternative technologies have been investigated but none of them can satisfy the system requirements of performance and cost [2]. For example, location accuracy in the order of a few centimeters using ultra wide band (UWB signals has been demonstrated but the requirement of single mode fiber (SMF)backbone remains an expensive solution [3].

The combination of existing technologies as much as possible should improve the quality of positioning services. In particular, the radio over fiber topology (RoF) of distributed antennas systems (DAS are increasingly deployed in buildings [4]. The characteristics of the hardware resources are narrowband multimode fiber (MMF)backbones exploiting 2.45 GHz 802.11 g WiFi signals. In particular, the feasibility of an original geolocalization solution using this technology is proposed in this letter. The principle of operation based on the time difference of arrival (TDOA method and dedicated hardware and software resources are depicted in Section II. Based on the architecture proposed, a proof-of-concept localization system is depicted in Section III. The experimental results are presented in Section IV and demonstrate a location accuracy better than 6.4 cm.

The authors are with the IEMN UMR CNRS 8520 and IRCICA USR CNRS 3380, University of Lille1, Villeneuve d'Ascq 59652, France (e-mail: christophe.loyez@iemn.univ-lille1.fr).

Fig. 1. Overall description of the MMF DAS localization system.

II. HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE RESOURCES

A. Localization system

The overall system architecture is given in Fig. 1. The proposed topology acts as a stereoscopic system including remote and local Access Points (APs) linked by 62.5 - μ m core diameter MMFs [5], [6]. The use of the pre-installed MMF is an original solution to link optically four APs involved in a localization process and which are distributed around the sensing area.

In this configuration, the APs do not perform communications between them. Each AP collects 802.11 g WiFi signals from a transmitter to be localized incoming in the localization area. No synchronization is required between the transmitter and the APs. The APs are organized in two pairs (AP1; AP2) and (AP3; AP4) to determine two different TDOAs (TDOA1 and TDOA2, respectively). For each TDOA value, the locations of the transmitter describe a hyperbola. The estimated location of the transmitter (Xo; Yo) is the crossing of the two hyperbolas issued from the TDOA1 and TDOA2 measurements (Fig. 1). The method is based on the assumption that the first detected waveform results from the line of sight (LOS). Therefore, in contrast with RSS-based localization solutions, the multipath signal contribution can degrade the waveform but does not impact the localization accuracy based on the time of arrival of the first path [7], [8].

B. Localization Algorithm

The localization algorithm is detailed as follows:

Step 1) TDOAs determination

Autocorrelation $C_{XX}(k)$ performed on the signal issued from a local AP and cross-correlation $C_{XY}(k)$ involving the local and remote received

signals are calculated according to relations (1) and (2) respectively

$$
C_{XX} (k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1-k} x(n) . x(n+k) & 0 \le k \le L \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1-|k|} x(n) . x(n+k) & -L \le k < 0 \end{cases}
$$
(1)

$$
C_{XY} (k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1-k} x(n) . y(n+k) & 0 \le k \le L \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1-|k|} x(n) . y(n+k) & -L \le k < 0 \end{cases}
$$
(2)

where N denotes the number of input samples and L the number of samples involved in the lag considered between X (signal received by the local AP) and Y (signal received by the remote AP), assuming that the maximum value of the TDOA is less than the resulting lag value.

The time difference observed between the maxima of these two correlations, equal to the TDOA value, is given by

$$
TDOA = Delay[Max(C_{XX}), Max(C_{XY})].
$$
 (3)

Step 2) Localization determination

The transmitter is localized using a hyperbolic TDOA algorithm [9]. R_i ($i = 1, ..., 4$) are the four distances between the transmitter (X, Y, Z) and the access points AP_i $(X_i, Y_i, Z_i)(i = 1, ..., 4)$, the estimated coordinates of the transmitter (X_0, Y_0) are obtained by solving (4) and (5) assuming a 2D localization process $(Z_0 = Z_1 = Z_2 = Z_3)$.

$$
\begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ Y_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{2,1} & Y_{2,1} \\ X_{3,4} & Y_{3,4} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} R_{2,1} \\ R_{4,3} \end{bmatrix} . R_1 + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} R_{2,1}^2 - K_2 + K_1 \\ R_{4,3}^2 - K_4 + K_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) \tag{4}
$$

 $R_{i,1}^2 + R_{i,1}R_1 = K_i - K_1 - 2.K_{i,1}.X - 2.Y_{i,1}.Y \quad i = 2,3,4$ (5)

> with $K_i = X_i^2 + Y_i^2$ $i = 1,..,4X_{2,1} = X_2 - X_1;$ $; Y_{2,1} = Y_2 - Y_1;$; where c denotes the speed of light.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM AND CONFIGURATION

A. Experimental Localization Platform

A proof-of-concept localization platform based on the MMF DAS localization system has been developed (Fig. 2). Only commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) components are used to develop the remote and local APs specific to our localization technique. In this configuration, each remote AP consists of a dipole antenna followed by a Minicircuits 2.4-GHz low noise amplifier (LNA) associated with a 850-nm receptacle multimode verticalcavity surface-emitting laser (VCSEL) manufactured by ULM Photonics GmbH. The LNA has a 35-dB gain and a 1-dB noise figure. The VCSEL is biased at 10 mA and its 3-dB bandwidth is equal to 3 GHz: it performs the electrical/optical conversion

Fig. 2. Topology of the MMF DAS localization system.

of the received RF signals which are amplified by the LNA before to be transmitted over a MMF using the intensity modulation–direct detection technique. The optical signal, which has a power of -2 dBm, is then transmitted through a 62.5- μ m-core MMF (length $= 100$ m). This MMF has a spectral attenuation of 2.7 dB/km and a bandwidth-length product equal to 200 MHz.km at 850 nm. The optical signal is then collected by a $62.5-\mu$ m-core matched receptacle Thorlabs photodiode (PD). Such a PD is included within each local AP which is also composed by a dipole antenna and another LNA. The RoF transmissions of 802.11 g WiFi signals are performed without significant impacts on the signal quality and the error vector magnitude (EVM) is still below 8%. An Keysight arbitrary waveform generator has been used to generate the 802.11 g frame with mean power output equal to 10 dBm. In this configuration, the same sequence has been used for all the transmitter positions. The SNR of the received signals from local and remote APs are better than 10 dB. The signals provided by the local and remote APs are acquired in a parallel configuration by a Keysight four-channel 10 GSa/s sampling oscilloscope.

B. System Configuration

WiFi localization systems usually estimate the transmitter position using radio beacons involving several antennas and measuring the power of received signals [10]–[12]. Such systems exhibit location errors which can reach several meters in indoor environment. To enhance their performance, one way is to use a TDOA-based algorithm but compact RF systems including several antennas are limited by the geometric dilution of precision. Fig. 3(a) describes this limitation which is due to weak separations (a few wavelengths) between the different antennas in a conventional system. To overcome this limitation, a large distance between APs, set to 9 m, enables to focus the TDOA crossing, as depicted Fig. 3(b). The proposed MMF DAS owns an intrinsic accuracy which can address applications such as high-speed optical wireless communication with a mobility feature [13] or energy-efficient broadcasting in wireless multi-hop wireless networks [14].

C. Impact of the Frequency Sampling and Bit Resolution

The acquisition technique is based on triggered records of te four delayed waveforms. The recording time is set to 500 ns resulting in a number of samples equal to 50,000 per waveform that are processed offline. The sampling frequency f_s and the

Fig. 3. Hyperbolas issued from TDOA measurements with (a) compact RF system and (b) the MMF DAS-based localization system.

Fig. 4. Illustration of 802.11 g received signals by the local AP (solid line) and the remote AP (dot line) $-TDOA = 4.65$ ns.

signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the system are the key parameters determining the performance since the time resolution of the digitized signals impacts directly the location error. The variance error is described by the Cramer–Rao lower bound [15] by

$$
\sigma_{\text{TDOA}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{TDOA1}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{TDOA2}}^2 \tag{6}
$$

with
$$
\sigma_{\text{TDOAi}} = \frac{2.c}{f_s \cdot \sqrt{\text{SNR}}}
$$
. (7)

The SNR and distortion ratio (DR) called SINAD are related to the effective number of bits (ENOB) by the following relationship:

$$
SINAD = 6.02.FNOB + 1.76 with ENOB = 8. (8)
$$

The resulting SINAD is on the order of 50 dB in the best case and allows to appreciate the dynamic performance of the analog-to-digital converters (ADCs) of the sampling scope. Nevertheless, the SNR is limited by the thermal noise of the receiver affects and can be degraded up to 10 dB for the tested transmitter positions. In the present study, a maximum sampling of 10 GSa/s is considered by using a high-performance oscilloscope that leads to a theoretical limitation of the location accuracy $\sigma_{\text{TDOA}} = 2.7$ cm for a SNR = 10 dB. As ultra-high-speed ADC of tens of GSa/s remains a cutting-edge technology, the constraint on the expected spatial resolution should be released in order to reduce the cost of the system.

Fig. 5. Illustration of Cxx (solid line) and Cxy (dot line) in time domain TDOA = 4.65 ns; $N = 512$; $L = 1024$ (not normalized).

Fig. 6. Comparison between the true and estimated positions of the transmitter.

IV. MEASUREMENT PERFORMANCE

A. Measured Waveforms and TDOA Determination

The localization process described previously has been tested in indoor conditions considering a typical large furnished room $(10 \times 11 \text{ m}^2)$. The power of the transmitted 2.45 GHz 802.11 g signals is set to 10 dBm. Illustrations of 802.11 g signals received by the local and remote APs are given in Fig. 4 for a measured TDOA value equal to 4.65 ns. The related autocorrelation Cxx and cross-correlation Cxy are given in Fig. 5 and the TDOA value is determinated by the difference between the C_{xx} and C_{X_y} maxima. (m1 and m2 markers, respectively).

In order to validate the performance of the overall system with a statistic approach, a large number of transmitter positions have been considered (> 500). A few examples of these tests are illustrated in Fig. 6. The true and estimated locations are respectively represented by black and white squares.

We can note that the error location is in the order of few centimeters whatever the transmitter position is. In order to clearly

Fig. 7. Cumulative distribution function of the location errors.

TABLE I LOCATION ERRORS ANALYSIS

Metr	. Error . Mean	50% Error	Error 90%
van			

summarize all the measurements, Fig. 7 shows the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of experimental location errors.

The statistics of location errors are summarized in Table I.

The error distribution demonstrates that the error value resulting from this localization process is typically better than 6.4 cm in most of the cases: the mean location error is equal to 5.3 cm considering more than 500 transmitter positions. To the authors' knowledge, such accuracy cannot be reached with the reported WiFi localization systems [10]–[12], [16], [17] which exhibit location error of several meters.

V. CONCLUSION

We have presented a new class of application for DAS based on RoF technology. In addition to transmit standard RF signals, multimode fibers can be used for applications of accurate localization. The already demonstrated multi-services concept on MMF [18] could be used to transmit simultaneously Ethernet and radio signals for both communication and localization applications. The growth of the DAS market strengthens the potential interest of this original solution. Such a localization system involved in a study of DAS energy efficiency is another interesting challenge for future works [19].

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Özsoy, A. Bozkurt, and I. Tekin, "2D Indoor positioning system using GPS signals," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Indoor Position. Indoor Nav. (IPIN)*, Sep. 2010, pp. 1–6.
- [2] G. Yanying, A. Lo, and I. Niemegeers, "A survey of indoor positioning systems for wireless personal networks," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 13–32, 1st Quart., 2009.
- [3] J. Fu and S. Pan, "UWB-over-fiber sensor network for accurate localization based on optical time-division multiplexing," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Opt. Commun. Netw. (ICOCN)*, Jul. 2013, pp. 1–4.
- [4] D. Visani et al., "In-building wireless distribution in legacy multimode fiber with an improved roMMF system," *IEEE Microw. Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 598–600, Nov. 2012.
- [5] Y. Fan *et al.*, "Throughput model for IEEE 802.11 distributed coordination function in radio-over-fiber-based distributed antenna systems," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Opt. Commun. Netw. (ICOCN)*, Jul. 2013, pp. 1–4.
- [6] M. Sauer, A. Kobyakov, and J. George, "Radio over fiber for picocellular network architectures," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 3301–3320, Nov. 2007.
- [7] X. Shen, K. Xu, X. Sun, J. Wu, and J. Lin, "Optimized indoor wireless propagation model in WiFi-RoF network architecture for RSSbased localization in the Internet of Things," in *Proc. MWP/APMP*, Oct. 2011, pp. 274–277.
- [8] M. Bocquet, C. Loyez, and A. Benlarbi-Delai, "Using enhanced-TDOA measurement for indoor positioning," *IEEE Microw. Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 612–614, Oct. 2005.
- [9] Y. T. Chan and K. C. Ho, "A simple and efficient estimator for hyperbolic location," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 1905–1915, Aug. 1994.
- [10] C.-H. Lim, Y. Wan, N. Boon-Poh, and C.-M. S. See, "A real-time indoor WiFi localization system utilizing smart antennas," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 618–622, May 2007.
- [11] A. Cidronali, S. Maddio, G. Giorgetti, and G. Manes, "Analysis and performance of a smart antenna for 2.45-GHz single-anchor indoor positioning," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 21–31, Jan. 2010.
- [12] D. Zhongliang, Y. Yanpei, Y. Xie, W. Neng, and Y. Lei, "Situation and development tendency of indoor positioning," *China Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 42–55, Mar. 2013.
- [13] K. Wang, A. Nirmalathas, C. Lim, and E. Skafidas, "High-speed optical wireless communication system for indoor applications," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 519–521, Apr. 2011.
- [14] J. Iguchi-Cartigny, P. M. Ruiz, D. Simplot-Ryl, I. Stojmenovic, and C. M. Yago, "Localized minimum-energy broadcasting for wireless multihop networks with directional antennas," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 120–131, Jan. 2009.
- [15] R. Kaune, J. Horst, and W. Koch, "Accuracy analysis for TDOA localization in sensor networks," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Inf. Fusion (FUSION)*, Jul. 2011, pp. 1–8.
- [16] R. Yamasaki *et al.*, "TDOA location system for IEEE 802.11b WLAN," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Commun. Netw. Conf.*, Mar. 2005, vol. 4, pp. 2338–2343.
- [17] Z. Nemec and R. Dolecek, "The time difference of arrival estimation of Wi-Fi signals using received signal decomposition on delayed replicas," in *Proc. Int. Biennial Baltic Electron. Conf., BEC*, Oct. 2008, pp. 243–246.
- [18] C. Lethien *et al.*, "Energy-autonomous picocell remote antenna unit for radio-over-fiber system using the multiservices concept," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 649–651, Apr. 2012.
- [19] Y. Josse, B. Fracasso, G. Castignani, and N. Montavont, "Energy-efficient deployment of distributed antenna systems with radio-over-fiber links," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Green Commun. (GreenCom)*, 2012, pp. 7–13.