

# Unknown input observers for time-varying delay Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy systems with unmeasured nonlinear consequents

Márcia L.C. Peixoto, Anh-Tu Nguyen, Thierry-Marie Guerra, Reinaldo Palhares

### ► To cite this version:

Márcia L.C. Peixoto, Anh-Tu Nguyen, Thierry-Marie Guerra, Reinaldo Palhares. Unknown input observers for time-varying delay Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy systems with unmeasured nonlinear consequents. European Journal of Control, 2023, 72, pp.100830. 10.1016/j.ejcon.2023.100830. hal-04278814

### HAL Id: hal-04278814 https://uphf.hal.science/hal-04278814

Submitted on 25 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.  $See \ discussions, stats, and author \ profiles \ for \ this \ publication \ at: \ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370924615$ 

### Unknown Input Observers for Time-varying Delay Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Systems with Unmeasured Nonlinear Consequents

#### Article in European Journal of Control $\cdot$ May 2023

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejcon.2023.100830
----------------------------------

CITATIONS		READS				
2		179	179			
4 autho	rs:					
	Márcia Luciana da Costa Peixoto	att -	Anh-Tu Nguyen			
	Federal University of Minas Gerais		Université Polytechnique Hauts-de-France			
	34 PUBLICATIONS 179 CITATIONS		140 PUBLICATIONS 2,084 CITATIONS			
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE			
	Thierry-Marie Guerra		Reinaldo Martínez Palhares			
	Université Polytechnique Hauts-de-France		Federal University of Minas Gerais			
	349 PUBLICATIONS 9,873 CITATIONS		264 PUBLICATIONS 5,644 CITATIONS			
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE			

## Unknown input observers for time-varying delay Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems with unmeasured nonlinear consequents

Márcia L. C. Peixoto<sup>a</sup>, Anh-Tu Nguyen<sup>b</sup>, Thierry-Marie Guerra<sup>b</sup>, Reinaldo M. Palhares<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Federal University of Minas Gerais, Graduate Program in Electrical Engineering, Brazil <sup>b</sup>LAMIH UMR CNRS 8201, Université Polytechnique Hauts-de-France, France <sup>c</sup>Federal University of Minas Gerais, Department of Electronics Engineering, Brazil

#### Abstract

This paper investigates the problem of unknown input observer (UIO) design for Takagi–Sugeno (TS) fuzzy systems with time-varying delay. For observer design, all the unmeasured nonlinearities are isolated in the nonlinear consequent parts of TS fuzzy systems. This allows dealing with the well-known issue related to unmeasured premise variables for TS fuzzy observer design in a more effective fashion via the mean value theorem. Using the unknown input (UI) decoupling technique, no *a priori* information on the UI is needed for the proposed TS fuzzy observer design, which can guarantee the asymptotic estimations of both the system state and the unknown input. Employing a fuzzy Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional with a suitable augmented vector, the effect of time-varying delay on the estimation error dynamics is taken into account in the observer design. Numerical examples are presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed fuzzy UIO design method for nonlinear time-varying delay systems.

*Keywords:* Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy models, time-varying delay, unknown inputs, unmeasured premise variables.

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* marciapeixoto@ufmg.br (Márcia L. C. Peixoto), tnguyen@uphf.fr (Anh-Tu Nguyen), guerra@uphf.fr (Thierry-Marie Guerra), rpalhares@ufmg.br (Reinaldo M. Palhares)

#### 1. Introduction

25

State estimation of nonlinear systems in the presence of unknown inputs (UIs) has received increasing research attention. The main reason is that, within different application contexts, unknown inputs can be seen as unmodeled dynamics, faults in engineering systems, uncertain disturbances, and attack signals in secure communication or cyber-physical systems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Consequently, simultaneous estimation of the state of a dynamical system and its unknown input has become a key aspect in several practical applications [7, 8, 9].

Takagi–Sugeno (TS) fuzzy model-based technique [10] has become one of the most effective tools to control and estimation of nonlinear systems. TS fuzzy modeling together with Lyapunov stability theory, conditions for stability analysis, control, and observer design can be described in terms of linear matrix inequality (LMI) constraints, which can be effectively solved via

- <sup>15</sup> numerical solvers. Therefore, TS fuzzy-model-based methods have received **R1.1** considerable attention. Within this context, a great deal of research effort has been devoted to the design of TS fuzzy observers for nonlinear systems [11, 12, 13, 14]. In particular, researchers have increasingly focused on developing TS fuzzy observer design methods in the presence of UIs, as UIs have become the second sec
- <sup>20</sup> a key role in fault diagnosis [15, 16, 17, 8, 18] and robust and fault-tolerant control [19, 20, 21].

It is noteworthy that when the premise variables can be measured, many results existing for linear observer design can be extended to TS fuzzy systems [22, 23]. However, the corresponding results can only be applied to a restrictive class of nonlinear systems. Hence, TS fuzzy observer design with unmeasured premise variables must be considered, which leads to a

- challenging TS fuzzy observer design problem due to mismatching nonlinear terms involved in the estimation error dynamics [11, 24]. One of the most common approaches to deal with the mismatching terms is based on the Lipschitz property of the membership functions (MFs) [22, 25, 26]. However, as
- <sup>30</sup> schitz property of the membership functions (MFs) [22, 25, 26]. However, as pointed out in [24], this approach generally leads to over-conservative results. To avoid this major drawback, the mean value theorem has been exploited for TS fuzzy observer design with unmeasured nonlinearities [11, 4, 27]. Unknown input observers (UIOs) have been also developed for TS fuzzy systems
- <sup>35</sup> with unmeasured premise variables [26, 28, 4, 29, 30, 27]. A proportionalintegral observer structure has been proposed in [28] for continuous-time TS fuzzy models with unknown inputs, which are assumed to be of polynomial

forms. The authors in [26] have proposed a method to estimate the uncertainties of TS fuzzy systems using UIO techniques. However, the results

- <sup>40</sup> in [26] require *a posteriori* check on the Lipschitzian property of the nonlinear mismatching terms, caused by unmeasured premise variables, which can be restrictive for practical uses. Using a UI decoupling method for nonlinear dynamics, the issue of unmeasured premise variables in TS fuzzy observer synthesis can be overcome in [29, 30]. In [4, 27], nonlinear systems have been
- <sup>45</sup> reformulated in a specific TS fuzzy form with unmeasured nonlinear consequent parts to design UIOs while avoiding unmeasured premise variables. It **R2.2** is worth mentioning that TS fuzzy models with nonlinear consequent parts have also been employed to deal with the stabilization problem of nonlinear systems, see [31, 32] and related references.
- Time delays are omnipresent in many control engineering applications, for instance, packet-based communication systems, networked control systems, population dynamics, epidemics [33]. Furthermore, the presence of time delay in the system dynamics can lead to critical issues related to poor performance, oscillation, and instability. Hence, due to the theoretical significance
- <sup>55</sup> and practical relevance, it is essential to take into account the influences of time delays in the study of dynamical systems. Within the context of time-delay TS fuzzy models, Lyapunov–Krasovskii stability theory has been largely exploited to derive LMI-based conditions to design TS fuzzy controllers and observers, see for instance [34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]
- <sup>60</sup> and related references. Following this idea, UIOs have been also developed for time-delay TS fuzzy systems. The robust fault detection problem has been addressed in [44, 45] for TS fuzzy systems subject to unknown input and time-varying delay. Robust fault estimation has been studied for a class of delayed TS fuzzy systems in [46], in which the system state and the actu-
- <sup>65</sup> ator fault can be simultaneously estimated using a learning observer scheme. In [47], the estimation of both actuator and sensor faults have been studied for switched discrete-time TS fuzzy systems with constant time delay. The authors in [48] have developed a fault-tolerant control scheme for TS fuzzy systems with multiple time-varying delays as well as sensor and actu-
- <sup>70</sup> ator faults. In [49], an observer-based control method has been proposed for fractional-order uncertain TS fuzzy systems with unknown input and time delay. It is worth mentioning that the above-mentioned results on TS fuzzy UIO design are only concerned with the case of measured premise variables, which can be restrictive for engineering applications. Notice also that UIOs
- <sup>75</sup> have been also developed for Lipschitz nonlinear systems with constant time-

delay in [50, 51, 52]. Despite a great advance, there is a lack of literature on UIO design for delayed TS fuzzy systems with unmeasured premise variables.

Motivated by the aforementioned discussion, this paper presents novel conditions to design UIOs for nonlinear systems with time-varying delays and

- <sup>80</sup> unmeasured nonlinearities. To this end, nonlinear systems are represented by TS fuzzy model, where all unmeasured nonlinearities are regrouped in the nonlinear consequents. By means of the mean value theorem [53], this enables an effective way to deal with the major issue related to unmeasured premise variables in TS fuzzy observer design. The effect of time-varying delay on the estimation error dynamics is explicitly taken into account in the observer design procedure via a fuzzy Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional (LKF)
- with a suitable augmented vector to reduce the design conservativeness. The Wirtinger-based summation inequality is used together with Moon's inequality as well as the well-known Finsler's lemma to derive numerically tractable
- <sup>90</sup> UIO design conditions. Notice that the resulting UIO design for TS fuzzy systems with time-varying delay and unmeasured nonlinearities has not been reported in the open literature. The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows.

95

100

• Using fuzzy LKF stability tools together with various relaxation techniques, a new set of *delay-dependent* LMI conditions are derived to design UIOs for TS fuzzy systems with time-varying delay and unmeasured nonlinearities.

**R2.3** 

• The designed fuzzy observer allows to simultaneously and asymptotically estimate both the system state and the UIs without requiring any *a priori* information on UIs.

Notation.  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  is the set of positive integers. For a natural number m,  $\mathbb{N}_{\leq m} = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ .  $\mathbb{S}^n_+$  is the set of symmetric positive definite matrices of order n.  $\mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the n-dimensional Euclidean space, and  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the set of all  $m \times n$  real matrices. For two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x_i$  denotes the *i*th entry of x; the convex hull of vectors  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  is denoted as  $\operatorname{co}(\beta, \gamma) = \{\lambda\beta + (1-\lambda)\gamma : \lambda \in [0,1]\}$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}$ , we denote  $\sigma_m(i) = [0, \ldots, 0, 1_{i\text{th}}, 0, \ldots, 0]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . For a matrix  $Y, Y \succ 0$  means that Y is positive definite, and  $\operatorname{He}\{Y\} = Y + Y^\top$ . If the existence is ensured,  $Y^\dagger$  denotes the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of matrix  $Y, i.e., Y^\dagger = (Y^\top Y)^{-1}Y^\top$ . A block diagonal matrix whose elements are matrices A and B is denoted by  $\operatorname{diag}(A, B)$ . The identity matrix of order n is denoted by  $I_n$  and the null matrix of order  $n \times m$  by  $0_{n \times m}$ . If the

dimensions of both identity and null matrices are straightforwardly deduced, they are omitted.

#### 2. Problem Statement

<sup>115</sup> After a system description, this section formulates the UIO design problem for TS fuzzy systems with time-varying delays and unmeasured nonlinearities.

#### 2.1. System Description

Consider a nonlinear system with time-varying delays given by

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{k+1} &= A(\mu_k) x_k + A_d(\mu_k) x_{k-\tau_k} + f(\mu_k, u_k) + G(\mu_k) \phi(x_k) + Dd_k, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\
y_k &= C x_k \\
x_k &= \varphi_k, \quad k \in \{-\overline{\tau}, \dots, 0\},
\end{aligned}$$
(1)

120 k

being  $x_k \in \mathscr{D}_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$  the state,  $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$  the system output,  $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  the known input,  $d_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_d}$  is the unknown input (UI),  $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\mu}$  the vector of measurable premise variables,  $\varphi_k$  the initial condition, and  $\tau_k$  is a bounded time-varying delay. The elements of function  $f : \mathscr{D}_x \times \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$  can be measured from the output whereas the nonlinear function  $\phi : \mathscr{D}_x \to \mathbb{R}^{n_\phi}$  depends on unmeasured states.

Using the fuzzy modeling technique [10], the nonlinear system with timevarying delay (1) can be reformulated as a Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model with nonlinear consequents:

RULE 
$$\mathscr{R}_i$$
: IF  $\mu_1$  is  $\mathscr{M}_1^i$  and ... and  $\mu_{n_{\mu}}$  is  $\mathscr{M}_{n_{\mu}}^i$ . THEN  

$$\begin{cases}
x_{k+1} = A_i x_k + A_{di} x_{k-\tau_k} + Dd_k + f(\mu_k, u_k) + G_i \phi(x_k) \\
y_k = C x_k \\
x_k = \varphi_k, \quad k \in \{-\overline{\tau}, \dots, 0\},
\end{cases}$$
(2)

being  $\mathscr{R}_i$  the *i*th fuzzy rule, the matrices  $(A_i, A_{di}, G_i)$  are known, r denotes the number of fuzzy rules, and  $\mathscr{M}_j^i$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n_{\mu}}$ , are the fuzzy sets. The fuzzy membership functions are defined as

$$h_{i}(\mu_{k}) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_{\mu}} \lambda_{j}^{i}(\mu_{j})}{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{\mu}} \lambda_{j}^{i}(\mu_{j})}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r},$$
(3)

where  $\lambda_j^i(\mu_j)$  represents the membership grade of  $\mu_j$  with respect to the fuzzy set  $\mathcal{M}_j^i$ . Notice that the MFs defined in (3) belong to the unit simplex  $\mathcal{H}$ with r vertices

$$\mathscr{H} = \left\{ h \in \mathbb{R}^r : \sum_{i=1}^r h_i = 1, \ 0 \le h_i \le 1, \ i \in \mathbb{N}_{\le r} \right\},$$

where  $h = [h_1(\mu_k), h_2(\mu_k), \dots, h_r(\mu_k)]$ . Using the center-of-gravity method for defuzzification [10], the following compact form can be obtained for the TS fuzzy system (2):

$$x_{k+1} = A(h)x_k + A_d(h)x_{k-\tau_k} + Dd_k + f(\mu_k, u_k) + G(h)\phi(x_k)$$

$$y_k = Cx_k$$

$$x_k = \varphi_k, \quad k \in \{-\overline{\tau}, \dots, 0\},$$
(4)

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(h) & A_d(h) & G(h) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(\mu_k) \begin{bmatrix} A_i & A_{di} & G_i \end{bmatrix}$$

<sup>125</sup> For UIO design, the following assumptions for system (1) equivalently represented in form (4) are considered.

**Assumption 1.** The time-varying delay  $\tau_k$  is assumed to be known, with **R4.1**  $\underline{\tau} \leq \tau_k \leq \overline{\tau}$ , for  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , where the lower bound  $\underline{\tau}$  and the upper bound  $\overline{\tau}$  are given positive integers.

Assumption 2. The nonlinear function  $\phi(x) : \mathscr{D}_x \to \mathbb{R}^{n_{\phi}}$  is differentiable with respect to x and satisfies

$$\underline{\phi}_{ij} \le \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \le \bar{\phi}_{ij}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{\le n_{\phi}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_{\le n_x}, \tag{5}$$

130 with  $\underline{\phi}_{ij} = \min_{x \in \mathscr{D}_x} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right)$  and  $\overline{\phi}_{ij} = \max_{x \in \mathscr{D}_x} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) \right)$ .

Assumption 3. The matrix D is of full column rank, the output matrix C is of full row rank, and  $\operatorname{rank}(CD) = \operatorname{rank}(D)$ .

**R2.1** 

**R3** 

**Remark 1.** The rank condition in Assumption 3 has been widely employed for UIO design to decouple the effect of UIs on the estimation error dynamics [54, 4, 55]. Notice also that a large variety of nonlinearities satisfies condition (5), e.g., the class of differentiable Lipschitzian nonlinear functions [23, 53, 52]. Moreover, for fuzzy observer design, Assumption 2 is not restrictive, since TS fuzzy models are commonly constructed within a statespace compact set  $\mathscr{D}_x$ , especially when the sector nonlinearity approach [10] is used.

#### 2.2. Problem Definition

140

145

For the estimation of system (4), the following time-delay UIO structure is considered:

$$z_{k+1} = N(h)z_k + MA_d(h)\hat{x}_{k-\tau_k} + L(h)y_k + M\hat{\Lambda}_k$$
  

$$\hat{x}_k = z_k - Fy_k, \quad z_0 = 0$$
  

$$\hat{d}_k = (CD)^{\dagger}(y_{k+1} - C\hat{\Lambda}_k - CA(h)\hat{x}_k - CA_d(h)\hat{x}_{k-\tau_k}),$$
(6)

where  $\hat{\Lambda}_k = G(h)\phi(\hat{x}_k) + f(\mu_k, u_k)$ ,  $\hat{x}_k$  is the state estimate,  $\hat{d}_k$  is the UI estimate, and

$$M = I + FC. (7)$$

Notice that Assumption 3 guarantees the existence of  $(CD)^{\dagger}$ . The MFdependent matrices  $L(h) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ ,  $N(h) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n}$ , and the matrix  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ , are gains to be determined defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} N(h) & L(h) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\mu_k) \begin{bmatrix} N_i & L_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Remark 2.** Note from (6) that for UI estimation, it is required to have the future information of the measured output  $y_{k+1}$ . It is emphasized that this UI estimation expression is only used in theoretical analysis. In practice, the UI estimation should be implemented as follows:

$$\hat{d}_{k-1} = (CD)^{\dagger} (y_k - C\hat{\Lambda}_{k-1} - CA(h_-)\hat{x}_{k-1} - CA_d(h_-)\hat{x}_{k-\tau_k-1}).$$

where  $h_{-} = [h_1(\mu_{k-1}), h_2(\mu_{k-1}), \dots, h_r(\mu_{k-1})]$ . Since the past values of all signals involved in the above estimation expression are available, we can always estimate the one-step-back value  $\hat{d}_{k-1}$  of the UI. This practical issue is common for UI estimation with decoupling-based approaches [4,7,26,52,55].

**R5.2** 

**Remark 3.** All unmeasured nonlinearities of system (4) are regrouped in the nonlinear consequent  $\phi(x)$ . Then, the MFs  $h_i(\mu_k)$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$ , only depend on measured premise variables. Therefore, for real-time implementation, these MFs can be directly employed to construct the TS fuzzy observer (6) without any estimated premise variables.

The state estimation error is defined as  $e_k = \hat{x}_k - x_k$ . Then, from (6) and (7), one has  $e_k = z_k - Fy_k - x_k$ , or

$$e_k = z_k - M x_k. \tag{8}$$

Hence, from (4), (6) and (8), the estimation error dynamics can be defined as

$$e_{k+1} = z_{k+1} - Mx_{k+1} = N(h)e_k + MA_d(h)e_{k-\tau_k} - MDd_k + (L(h)C + N(h)M - MA(h))x_k + MG(h)\delta_{\phi},$$
(9)

where  $\delta_{\phi} = \phi(\hat{x}_k) - \phi(x_k)$ . To convert this nonlinear mismatch term  $\delta_{\phi}$  into a function of  $e_k$  for UIO design, the following mean value theorem is used.

**R4.6** 

**Lemma 1** ([53, Proposition 2]). Let  $\psi(x) : \mathbb{R}^{n_x} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_{\psi}}$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ . If  $\psi(x)$  is differentiable with respect to x on  $\operatorname{co}(\beta, \gamma)$ , then there exist constant vectors  $c_i \in \operatorname{co}(\beta, \gamma)$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n_{\psi}}$ , such that

$$\psi(\beta) - \psi(\gamma) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\psi}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{x}} \sigma_{n_{\psi}}(i) \sigma_{n_{x}}^{\top}(j) \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(c_{i})\right) (\beta - \gamma).$$

The nonlinear term  $\delta_{\phi}$  in (9) can be rewritten using Assumption 2 and **R4.5** Lemma 1 as

$$\delta_{\phi} = \phi(\hat{x}_k) - \phi(x_k) = \Psi e_k, \tag{10}$$

where

150

$$\Psi = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\phi}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{x}} \sigma_{n_{\phi}}(i) \sigma_{n_{x}}^{\top}(j) \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(\chi_{i})\right], \qquad (11)$$

with  $\chi_i \in \operatorname{co}(x, \hat{x})$ . Defining  $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(\chi_i)$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n_{\phi}}$  and  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n_x}$ , note **R4.3** from (5) that the matrix  $\Psi$  is with elements varying within a bounded convex set, whose set of  $2^{n_x n_{\phi}}$  vertices is given by

$$\mathscr{V}_{\phi} = \left\{ \rho = \left[ \rho_{11}, \dots, \rho_{1n_x}, \dots \rho_{n_{\phi}n_x} \right] : \rho_{ij} \in \left[ \underline{\phi}_{ij}, \overline{\phi}_{ij} \right] \right\},$$
(12)

with  $\underline{\phi}_{ij}$  and  $\overline{\phi}_{ij}$  defined as in (5). From (9) and (10), the error dynamics can **R4.5** be rewritten as

$$e_{k+1} = \mathcal{N}(h, \Psi)e_k + MA_d(h)e_{k-\tau_k} - MDd_k + (N(h)M + L(h)C - MA(h))x_k,$$
(13)

where  $\mathscr{N}(h, \Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\mu_k) \mathscr{N}_i(\Psi)$ , and

$$\mathscr{N}_i(\Psi) = N_i + MG_i\Psi. \tag{14}$$

Remark that  $\mathcal{N}(h, \Psi)$  can be represented in a polytopic form as  $\mathcal{N}(h, \rho_{lj}) = \mathbf{R4.3}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\mu_k) \mathcal{N}_i(\rho_{lj})$  with

$$\mathcal{N}_i(\rho_{lj}) = N_i + MG_i \sum_{l=1}^{n_\phi} \sum_{j=1}^{n_x} \sigma_{n_\phi}(l) \sigma_{n_x}^{\top}(j) \rho_{lj}.$$

The following UIO design problem for TS with time-varying delay fuzzy systems can be now formulated.

**Problem 1.** Consider the nonlinear system with time-varying delay (1), represented by the Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model (4). Design an UIO (6) such that  $\hat{x}_k$  and  $\hat{d}_k$  asymptotically converge to  $x_k$  and  $d_k$ , respectively, for  $\forall \tau_k \in \{\underline{\tau}, \ldots, \overline{\tau}\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

The following technical lemmas are useful to develop time-varying delay 160 UIOs as stated in Problem 1.

**Lemma 2** (Wirtinger's summation inequality [56]). Consider a given matrix  $R \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$ , integers  $\gamma > \beta$ . Then, for any sequence of discrete-time variable  $x : [\beta, \gamma] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , the following inequalities hold:

$$\sum_{i=\beta}^{\gamma-1} \eta_i^{\top} R \eta_i \ge \frac{1}{\gamma-\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_2 \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{\gamma-\beta+1}{\gamma-\beta-1}\right) 3R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

$$\sum_{i=\beta}^{\gamma-1} \eta_i^{\top} R \eta_i \ge \frac{1}{\gamma-\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_2 \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & 3R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(16)

with  $\eta_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ , and

$$\Theta_1 = x_\gamma - x_\beta, \quad \Theta_2 = x_\gamma + x_\beta - \frac{2}{\gamma - \beta + 1} \sum_{i=\beta}^{\gamma} x_i.$$

**Lemma 3** (Moon's inequality [57]). For any matrices  $R_1, R_2 \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$  and  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times n}$ , and any scalar  $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\varrho} R_1 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{1-\varrho} R_2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq \Theta_R(\varrho), \tag{17}$$

with

165

$$\Theta_R(\varrho) = \text{He} \{ Y_1 [I_n \quad 0_n] + Y_2 [0_n \quad I_n] \} - \varrho Y_1 R_1^{-1} Y_1^{\top} - (1-\varrho) Y_2 R_2^{-1} Y_2^{\top}.$$

**Remark 4.** The use of Wirtinger's inequality together with Moon's inequality allows to deal with multiple summations, involved in LKF-based stability analysis conditions, in a less conservative fashion compared to the standard reciprocally convex combination lemma [58]. Hence, these technical tools are employed here to derive LKF-based conditions to design UIOs for TS fuzzy

systems with time-varying delays.

#### 3. UIO Design for Time-Varying Delay TS Fuzzy Systems

This section presents conditions to design UIOs for TS fuzzy systems with time-varying delays.

#### <sup>170</sup> 3.1. Existence of Time-Varying Delay Unknown Input Observers

The following theorem provides the existence conditions of time-varying delay fuzzy UIOs.

**Theorem 1.** Given positive integers  $\underline{\tau}$  and  $\overline{\tau}$ , with  $\underline{\tau} < \overline{\tau}$ , and a positive scalar  $\epsilon$ . There is an asymptotic UIO of the form (6), if there exist MF-dependent matrices  $L(h) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ ,  $N(h) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$ , positive definite matrices  $P(h) \in \mathbb{S}^{3n_x}_+$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2 \in \mathbb{S}^{n_x}_+$ , and matrices  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$  and  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{8n_x \times 2n_x}$ , such that

$$L(h)C + N(h)M - MA(h) = 0,$$
(18)

$$MD = 0, (19)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi(h, h_+, \underline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\{\mathscr{W}^{\top}\mathscr{B}(h, \Psi)\} & Y_2\\ Y_2^{\top} & -\widetilde{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \prec 0,$$
(20)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi(h, h_+, \overline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\{\mathscr{W}^{\top}\mathscr{B}(h, \Psi)\} & Y_1 \\ Y_1^{\top} & -\widetilde{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \prec 0,$$
(21)

for  $h, h_+ \in \mathscr{H}$  and  $\Psi \in \mathscr{V}_{\phi}$ . The matrices  $\Phi(h, h_+, \underline{\tau})$ ,  $\Phi(h, h_+, \overline{\tau})$ ,  $\mathscr{W}$  and  $\mathscr{B}(h, \Psi)$  in (20)–(21) are defined as

175

$$\begin{split} \Phi(h, h_{+}, \underline{\tau}) &= \vartheta(h, h_{+}) - \operatorname{He} \left\{ \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}}(\underline{\tau}) P(h) F_{2} \right\} + \operatorname{He} \left\{ \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}}(\underline{\tau}) P(h_{+}) F_{1} \right\} \\ &+ \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}}(\underline{\tau}) P(h_{+}) \Gamma(\underline{\tau}) - \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}}(\underline{\tau}) P(h) \Gamma(\underline{\tau}), \\ \Phi(h, h_{+}, \overline{\tau}) &= \vartheta(h, h_{+}) - \operatorname{He} \left\{ \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}}(\overline{\tau}) P(h) F_{2} \right\} + \operatorname{He} \left\{ \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}}(\overline{\tau}) P(h_{+}) F_{1} \right\} \\ &+ \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}}(\overline{\tau}) P(h_{+}) \Gamma(\overline{\tau}) - \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}}(\overline{\tau}) P(h) \Gamma(\overline{\tau}), \\ \vartheta(h, h_{+}) &= F_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \left( P(h_{+}) + \Pi_{1} \right) F_{1} - F_{2}^{\mathsf{T}} P(h) F_{2} + \widetilde{R} - G_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \widetilde{Z}_{1} G_{1} \\ &- \operatorname{He} \{ Y_{1} G_{2} + Y_{2} G_{3} \}, \end{split}$$
(22)  
$$P(h) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}(\mu_{k}) P_{i}, \quad P(h_{+}) &= \sum_{q=1}^{r} h_{q}(\mu_{k+1}) P_{q}, \\ \widetilde{Z}_{1} &= \operatorname{diag} \left( Z_{1}, 3\delta(\underline{\tau}) Z_{1} \right), \quad \widetilde{Z}_{2} &= \operatorname{diag}(Z_{2}, 3Z_{2}), \\ \Pi_{1} &= \operatorname{diag} \left( 2Z_{1} + \widetilde{\tau}^{2} Z_{2}, 0_{2n_{x}} \right), \\ \widetilde{R} &= \operatorname{diag} \left( 0, R_{1}, R_{2} - R_{1}, 0_{n_{x}}, -R_{2}, 0_{3n_{x}} \right), \\ \mathscr{W} &= \epsilon W^{\mathsf{T}} v_{1} + W^{\mathsf{T}} v_{2} + W^{\mathsf{T}} v_{4}, \\ \mathscr{B}(h, \Psi) &= -v_{1} + \mathcal{N}(h, \Psi) v_{2} + M A_{d}(h) v_{4}, \\ F_{1} &= \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} - v_{2} \\ v_{6} - v_{3} \\ v_{7} + v_{8} - v_{4} - v_{5} \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_{2} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n_{x} \times 8n_{x}} \\ v_{6} - v_{2} \\ v_{7} + v_{8} - v_{4} - v_{5} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Gamma(\underline{\tau}) &= \begin{bmatrix} v_{2} \\ \underline{\tau} v_{6} \\ \overline{\tau} v_{8} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma(\overline{\tau}) &= \begin{bmatrix} v_{2} \\ \underline{\tau} v_{6} \\ \overline{\tau} v_{7} \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_{3} &= \begin{bmatrix} v_{4} - v_{5} \\ v_{4} + v_{5} - 2v_{8} \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $v_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n_x \times (i-1)n_x} & I_{n_x} & 0_{n_x \times (8-i)n_x} \end{bmatrix}$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq 8}$ ,  $\tilde{\tau} = \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau}$ , and

$$\delta(\underline{\tau}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \underline{\tau} = 1, \\ \frac{\underline{\tau} + 1}{\underline{\tau} - 1}, & \text{if } \underline{\tau} > 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Using the algebraic constraints (18) and (19), it is possible to rewrite the error dynamics (13) as

$$e_{k+1} = \mathcal{N}(h, \Psi)e_k + MA_d(h)e_{k-\tau_k}.$$
(23)

The following fuzzy Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional is employed to ensure the stability analysis of the time-varying delay system (23),

$$V(e_k) = V_1(e_k) + V_2(e_k) + V_3(e_k),$$
(24)

where

$$V_{1}(e_{k}) = \varpi_{k}^{\top} P(h) \varpi_{k},$$

$$V_{2}(e_{k}) = \sum_{i=k-\underline{\tau}}^{k-1} e_{i}^{\top} R_{1} e_{i} + \sum_{i=k-\overline{\tau}}^{k-\underline{\tau}-1} e_{i}^{\top} R_{2} e_{i},$$

$$V_{3}(e_{k}) = \underline{\tau} \sum_{i=-\underline{\tau}+1}^{0} \sum_{j=k+i}^{k} \eta_{j}^{\top} Z_{1} \eta_{j} + \tilde{\tau} \sum_{i=-\overline{\tau}+1}^{-\underline{\tau}} \sum_{j=k+i}^{k} \eta_{j}^{\top} Z_{2} \eta_{j},$$

$$\varpi_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{k}^{\top} & \sum_{i=k-\underline{\tau}}^{k-1} e_{i}^{\top} & \sum_{i=k-\overline{\tau}}^{k-\underline{\tau}-1} e_{i}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}^{\top},$$

$$\eta_{i} = e_{i} - e_{i-1}.$$

$$(25)$$

Since the matrices P(h),  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are positive definite, the LKF defined in (24) is also positive definite. Let us define the following augmented vector:

$$\zeta_k = \begin{bmatrix} e_{k+1}^\top & e_k^\top & e_{k-\underline{\tau}}^\top & e_{k-\tau_k}^\top & e_{k-\overline{\tau}}^\top & \nu_{1k}^\top & \nu_{2k}^\top & \nu_{3k}^\top \end{bmatrix}^\top$$
(26)

with

$$\nu_{1k} = \frac{1}{\underline{\tau} + 1} \sum_{i=k-\underline{\tau}}^{k} e_i, \quad \nu_{2k} = \frac{1}{\tau_k - \underline{\tau} + 1} \sum_{i=k-\tau_k}^{k-\underline{\tau}} e_i, \quad \nu_{3k} = \frac{1}{\overline{\tau} - \tau_k + 1} \sum_{i=k-\overline{\tau}}^{k-\tau_k} e_i.$$

Now, it is possible to compute an upper bound of the difference  $\Delta V(e_k) = V(e_{k+1}) - V(e_k)$ , where  $V(e_k)$  is defined in (24). To this end, we express  $\Delta V(e_k)$  in the function of  $\zeta_k$ . We have

$$\Delta V_1(e_k) = V_1(e_{k+1}) - V_1(e_k) = \varpi_{k+1}^\top P(h_+) \varpi_{k+1} - \varpi_k^\top P(h) \varpi_k.$$
(27)

From the definitions of  $\varpi_k$  in (25), and  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  in (22), it follows that

$$\varpi_k = (F_2 + \Gamma(\tau_k))\zeta_k \text{ and } \varpi_{k+1} = (F_1 + \Gamma(\tau_k))\zeta_k,$$
(28)

with

$$\Gamma(\tau_k) = \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ \underline{\tau} v_6 \\ (\tau_k - \underline{\tau}) v_7 + (\overline{\tau} - \tau_k) v_8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From (27) and (28),  $\Delta V_1(e_k)$  can be rewritten as

$$\Delta V_1(e_k) = \zeta_k^\top \left[ \left( F_1 + \Gamma(\tau_k) \right)^\top P(h_+) \left( F_1 + \Gamma(\tau_k) \right) - \left( F_2 + \Gamma(\tau_k) \right)^\top P(h) \left( F_2 + \Gamma(\tau_k) \right) \right] \zeta_k$$
(29)

Moreover, the difference  $\Delta V_2(e_k) = V_2(e_{k+1}) - V_2(e_k)$  can be computed as

$$\Delta V_2(e_k) = e_k^\top R_1 e_k + e_{k-\underline{\tau}}^\top (R_2 - R_1) e_{k-\underline{\tau}} - e_{k-\overline{\tau}}^\top R_2 e_{k-\overline{\tau}},$$

which can be described in the compact form

$$\Delta V_2(e_k) = \zeta_k^\top \widetilde{R} \zeta_k, \tag{30}$$

where  $\widetilde{R}$  is defined in (22). Furthermore, the computation of the difference  $\Delta V_3(e_k) = V_3(e_{k+1}) - V_3(e_k)$  results in

$$\Delta V_3(e_k) = \eta_{k+1}^{\top} \left( \underline{\tau}^2 Z_1 + \tilde{\tau}^2 Z_2 \right) \eta_{k+1} - \underline{\tau} \sum_{i=k-\underline{\tau}+1}^k \eta_i^{\top} Z_1 \eta_i - \tilde{\tau} \sum_{i=k-\overline{\tau}+1}^{k-\underline{\tau}} \eta_i^{\top} Z_2 \eta_i,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\Delta V_{3}(e_{k}) = \eta_{k+1}^{\top} \left( \underline{\tau}^{2} Z_{1} + \tilde{\tau}^{2} Z_{2} \right) \eta_{k+1} - \underline{\tau} \sum_{i=k-\underline{\tau}+1}^{k} \eta_{i}^{\top} Z_{1} \eta_{i} \qquad (31)$$
$$- \tilde{\tau} \sum_{i=k-\overline{\tau}+1}^{k-\tau_{k}} \eta_{i}^{\top} Z_{2} \eta_{i} - \tilde{\tau} \sum_{i=k-\tau_{k}+1}^{k-\underline{\tau}} \eta_{i}^{\top} Z_{2} \eta_{i}.$$

From (29), (30) and (31), the difference  $\Delta V(e_k) = V(e_{k+1}) - V(e_k)$  can be expressed by

$$\Delta V(e_k) = \zeta_k^{\top} \left[ (F_1 + \Gamma(\tau_k))^{\top} P(h_+) (F_1 + \Gamma(\tau_k)) - (F_2 + \Gamma(\tau_k))^{\top} P(h) (F_2 + \Gamma(\tau_k)) \right] \zeta_k + \zeta_k^{\top} \widetilde{R} \zeta_k + \eta_{k+1}^{\top} \left( \underline{\tau}^2 Z_1 + \tilde{\tau}^2 Z_2 \right) \eta_{k+1} - \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3,$$
(32)

where

$$\Sigma_{1} = \underline{\tau} \sum_{i=k-\underline{\tau}+1}^{k} \eta_{i}^{\top} Z_{1} \eta_{i},$$

$$\Sigma_{2} = \tilde{\tau} \sum_{i=k-\overline{\tau}+1}^{k-\underline{\tau}_{k}} \eta_{i}^{\top} Z_{2} \eta_{i},$$

$$\Sigma_{3} = \tilde{\tau} \sum_{i=k-\underline{\tau}_{k}+1}^{k-\underline{\tau}_{k}} \eta_{i}^{\top} Z_{2} \eta_{i}.$$
(33)

**R5.3** 

Applying the Wirtinger's inequality (15) to the summation  $\Sigma_1$ , and (16) to the summations  $\Sigma_2$  and  $\Sigma_3$  defined in (33), with matrices  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  defined in (22), the following upper bound can be computed for  $\Delta V(e_k)$ in (32):

$$\Delta V(e_k) \leq \zeta_k^{\top} \left[ \left( F_1 + \Gamma(\tau_k) \right)^{\top} P(h_+) \left( F_1 + \Gamma(\tau_k) \right) \right] \zeta_k$$

$$+ \zeta_k^{\top} \left[ -\left( F_2 + \Gamma(\tau_k) \right)^{\top} P(h) \left( F_2 + \Gamma(\tau_k) \right) + \widetilde{R} + F_1^{\top} \Pi_1 F_1 \right] \zeta_k$$

$$+ \zeta_k^{\top} \left[ -G_1^{\top} \widetilde{Z}_1 G_1 - \begin{bmatrix} G_2 \\ G_3 \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\widetilde{\tau}}{\tau_k - \widetilde{\tau}} \widetilde{Z}_2 & 0_{2n_x} \\ 0_{2n_x} & \frac{\widetilde{\tau}}{\overline{\tau} - \tau_k} \widetilde{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G_2 \\ G_3 \end{bmatrix} \right] \zeta_k.$$
(34)

Then, applying the Moon's inequality (17) to the right-hand side expression in (34) with  $\rho = \frac{\tau_k - \underline{\tau}}{\tilde{\tau}}$ , it follows that

$$\Delta V(e_k) \le \zeta_k^\top \Xi(h, h_+, \tau_k) \zeta_k, \tag{35}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \Xi(h,h_{+},\tau_{k}) &= F_{1}^{\top} \left( P(h_{+}) + \Pi_{1} \right) F_{1} + \widetilde{R} + \operatorname{He} \left\{ \Gamma^{\top}(\tau_{k}) P(h_{+}) F_{1} \right\} \\ &+ \Gamma^{\top}(\tau_{k}) P(h_{+}) \Gamma(\tau_{k}) - \Gamma^{\top}(\tau_{k}) P(h) \Gamma(\tau_{k}) - F_{2}^{\top} P(h) F_{2} \\ &- \operatorname{He} \left\{ \Gamma^{\top}(\tau_{k}) P(h) F_{2} \right\} - G_{1}^{\top} \widetilde{Z}_{1} G_{1} - \operatorname{He} \{ Y_{1} G_{2} + Y_{2} G_{3} \} \\ &+ \varrho Y_{1} \widetilde{Z}_{2}^{-1} Y_{1}^{\top} + (1 - \varrho) Y_{2} \widetilde{Z}_{2}^{-1} Y_{2}^{\top}. \end{split}$$

It follows from (35) that the error dynamics (23) is asymptotically stable if

$$\zeta_k^\top \Xi(h, h_+, \tau_k) \zeta_k < 0. \tag{36}$$

Moreover, from the definitions of  $\mathscr{B}(h, \Psi)$  in (22) and  $\zeta_k$  in (26), we have

$$\mathscr{B}(h,\Psi)\zeta_k = 0. \tag{37}$$

Using the well-known Finsler's lemma, one has that condition (36) is verified under constraint (37) if

$$\Xi(h, h_+, \tau_k) + \mathscr{W}^{\top} \mathscr{B}(h, \Psi) + \mathscr{B}(h, \Psi)^{\top} \mathscr{W} \prec 0, \qquad (38)$$

with  $\mathcal{W}$  specifically chosen as in (22) to obtain a tractable problem with LMI constraints.

Since the condition (38) is affine with respect to  $\tau_k$ , it can be satisfied for all  $\tau_k \in \{\underline{\tau}, \ldots, \overline{\tau}\}$  if it is satisfied at the vertices of the interval  $\tau_k \in \{\underline{\tau}, \ldots, \overline{\tau}\}$ . Therefore, by applying the Schur complement lemma, it is possible to notice that condition (38) is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi(h, h_+, \underline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\{\mathscr{W}^\top \mathscr{B}(h, \Psi)\} & Y_2 \\ Y_2^\top & -\widetilde{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \prec 0,$$
(39)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi(h, h_+, \overline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\{\mathscr{W}^\top \mathscr{B}(h, \Psi)\} & Y_2 \\ Y_2^\top & -\widetilde{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \prec 0,$$
(40)

with  $\Phi(h, h_+, \underline{\tau})$  and  $\Phi(h, h_+, \overline{\tau})$  defined as in (22).

Notice that inequalities (39) and (40) are exactly equal to inequalities (20) and (21), respectively, which are affine with respect to  $\tau_k$  implying that (20) and (21) are negative definite for all  $\tau_k \in \{\underline{\tau}, \ldots, \overline{\tau}\}$ . Hence, if LMIs (20) and (21) are feasible the asymptotic stability of system (23) is ensured for any integer delay  $\tau_k \in \{\underline{\tau}, \underline{\tau} + 1, \ldots, \overline{\tau}\}$ . Finally, it is proved that the UI **R4.2** estimate  $\hat{d}_k$  defined in (6) asymptotically converges to  $d_k$ . To this end, the expression of the unknown input  $d_k$  can be retrieved from (4) as

$$d_{k} = (CD)^{\dagger} \left[ y_{k+1} - C(\Lambda_{k} + A(h)x_{k} + A_{d}(h)x_{k-\tau_{k}}) \right].$$
(41)

Then, it is possible to compute the UI estimation error  $\varepsilon_k = d_k - \hat{d}_k$  from (6) and (41) as

$$\varepsilon_k = (CD)^{\dagger} C \left( A(h) e_k + A_d(h) e_{k-\tau_k} + G(h) \delta_{\phi} \right).$$

Using (10), it is possible rewrite the UI estimation error  $\varepsilon_k$  as

$$\varepsilon_k = (CD)^{\dagger} C \left( \mathscr{A}(h, \Psi) e_k + A_d(h) e_{k-\tau_k} \right), \tag{42}$$

with  $\mathscr{A}(h, \Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\mu_k) \mathscr{A}_i(\Psi)$ , and

185

$$\mathscr{A}_i(\Psi) = A_i + G_i \Psi, \tag{43}$$

where  $\Psi$  is defined in (11). We can see from (42) that if  $e_k \to 0$ , then  $e_{k-\tau_k} \to 0$  and  $\varepsilon_k \to 0$ , when  $k \to \infty$ . This concludes the proof.

Notice that Theorem 1 cannot be straightforwardly solved for UIO design **R1.3** because of the nonlinear matrix equalities (18)–(19), the nonlinear matrix inequalities (20)–(21), and their dependency on the membership functions. To deal with these issues, the following lemma is employed and numerically tractable conditions to design the TS fuzzy UIO (6) for system (4) are derived.

**Lemma 4** ([59]). Consider a matrix  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , with  $m \ge n$ , and a matrix  $\mathbb{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ . Matrix X of the form  $X = \mathbb{Z}W^{\dagger} + \mathbb{Y}(I - WW^{\dagger})$ , with an arbitrary matrix  $\mathbb{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ , is a solution of  $XW = \mathbb{M}$  when the condition  $\mathbb{M}W^{\dagger}W = \mathbb{M}$  holds.

Based on the results in Theorem 1 and Lemma 4, the following theorem presents LMI-based conditions to design TS fuzzy UIOs for system (4).

**Theorem 2.** Consider the TS fuzzy system with time-varying delay (4), given positive integers  $\underline{\tau}$  and  $\overline{\tau}$ , with  $\underline{\tau} < \overline{\tau}$ , and a positive scalar  $\epsilon$ . There is an asymptotic UIO in the form (6), if there exist positive definite matrices  $P_i \in \mathbb{S}^{3n_x}_+$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2 \in \mathbb{S}^{n_x}_+$ , and matrices  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$ ,  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{8n_x \times 2n_x}$ , for  $i, \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{iq}(\underline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\{\Upsilon_i(\Psi)\} & Y_2\\ Y_2^\top & -\widetilde{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \prec 0, \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{iq}(\overline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\{\Upsilon_i(\Psi)\} & Y_1\\ Y_1^\top & -\widetilde{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \prec 0,$$
(45)

for all  $i, q \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$ , and  $\Psi \in \mathscr{V}_{\phi}$ . The matrices  $\Phi_{iq}(\underline{\tau})$ ,  $\Phi_{iq}(\overline{\tau})$  and  $\Upsilon_i(\Psi)$  are defined as

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{iq}(\underline{\tau}) &= \vartheta_{iq} - \operatorname{He}\left\{\Gamma^{\top}(\underline{\tau})P_{i}F_{2}\right\} + \Gamma^{\top}(\underline{\tau})P_{q}\Gamma(\underline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\left\{\Gamma^{\top}(\underline{\tau})P_{q}F_{1}\right\} - \Gamma^{\top}(\underline{\tau})P_{i}\Gamma(\tau) + \\ \Phi_{iq}(\overline{\tau}) &= \vartheta_{iq} - \operatorname{He}\left\{\Gamma^{\top}(\overline{\tau})P_{i}F_{2}\right\} + \Gamma^{\top}(\overline{\tau})P_{q}\Gamma(\overline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\left\{\Gamma^{\top}(\overline{\tau})P_{q}F_{1}\right\} - \Gamma^{\top}(\overline{\tau})P_{i}\Gamma(\overline{\tau}) + \\ \vartheta_{iq} &= F_{1}^{\top}(P_{q} + \Pi_{1})F_{1} - F_{2}^{\top}P_{i}F_{2} + \widetilde{R} - G_{1}^{\top}\widetilde{Z}_{1}G_{1} - \operatorname{He}\{Y_{1}G_{2} + Y_{2}G_{3}\}, \\ \Upsilon_{i}(\Psi) &= \mathscr{I}^{\top}\left(\mathscr{Q}\mathscr{A}_{i}(\Psi)v_{2} - Wv_{1} - X_{i}Cv_{2} + \mathscr{Q}A_{di}v_{4}\right), \end{split}$$

with  $\mathscr{A}_i(\Psi) = A_i + G_i \Psi$ ,  $\mathscr{I} = (\epsilon v_1 + v_2 + v_4)$ ,  $\mathscr{Q} = W + (WU + QT)C$ , and

$$U = -D(CD)^{\dagger} \tag{46}$$

$$U = -D(CD)^{\dagger}$$
(46)  

$$T = I - (CD)(CD)^{\dagger}$$
(47)  

$$Q = WS$$
(48)

$$Q = WS \tag{48}$$

$$X_i = WH_i, \tag{49}$$

and  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $G_3$ ,  $\widetilde{Z}_1$ ,  $\widetilde{Z}_2$ ,  $\Pi_1$ ,  $\widetilde{R}$ ,  $\Gamma(\underline{\tau})$ ,  $\Gamma(\overline{\tau})$  defined as in (22). Moreover, the matrix gains  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ ,  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$ ,  $N_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$ , and  $L_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ , for  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$ , of the TS fuzzy UIO (6) can be computed as

$$F = U + ST \tag{50}$$

$$N_i = MA_i - H_iC \tag{51}$$

$$L_i = H_i(I + CF) - MA_iF.$$
(52)

*Proof.* It follows from (7) and (19) that

$$F(CD) = -D. (53)$$

Using Lemma 4 and the rank condition in Assumption 3, the solution F of the matrix equation (53) can be directly obtained from (50), where U and V are given in (46) and (47), respectively. Let us denote

$$H_i = L_i + N_i F, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{\le r}.$$
(54)

From (7), (18) and (54), we can obtain (51). Moreover, expression (52) can be directly obtained from (51) and (54). Notice also from (7) and (51) that

$$N_i = (I + FC)A_i - H_iC$$
  
=  $[I + (U + QT)C]A_i - H_iC, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}.$  (55)

Using expressions (7), (14), (43), (50) and (55), followed by the variable changes (48) and (49), inequalities (44) and (45) can be respectively rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{iq}(\underline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\{\mathscr{W}^{\top}\mathscr{B}_{i}(\Psi)\} & Y_{2} \\ Y_{2}^{\top} & -\widetilde{Z}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \prec 0,$$
(56)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{iq}(\overline{\tau}) + \operatorname{He}\{\mathscr{W}^{\top}\mathscr{B}_{i}(\Psi)\} & Y_{1} \\ Y_{1}^{\top} & -\widetilde{Z}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \prec 0,$$
(57)

with  $\mathscr{B}_i(\Psi) = -v_1 + \mathscr{N}_i(\Psi)v_2 + MA_{di}v_4$ . Since  $h, h_+ \in \mathscr{H}$  and  $\Psi \in \mathscr{V}_{\phi}$ , by convexity property, we prove that conditions (56) and (57) respectively imply (20) and (21). Then, using the result in Theorem 1, the proof can be concluded.

**R4.4** 

Remark 5. In this paper, we consider TS fuzzy systems with constant matrices C and D mainly because of the algebraic constraints induced by the proposed UI decoupling method. Indeed, if C and/or D are state-dependent, then it would be hard to get a solution from the algebraic equation (53). Moreover, even when the solution of this latter exists, the state-dependent forms of the solution matrices U and T in (46) and (47) become too complex to solve the corresponding state-dependent LMI conditions (44) and (45) in that case. This restriction is also observed in recent works on UIO design for TS fuzzy systems without time warving delay [1 26]. The design of TS fuzzy UIO

<sup>205</sup> fuzzy systems without time-varying delay [4, 26]. The design of TS fuzzy UI0 for time-delayed nonlinear systems, whose output and UI matrices are also affected by unmeasured nonlinearities, is left for future works.

The procedure to design UIOs for time-varying TS fuzzy systems with unmeasured nonlinear consequents is summarized in Algorithm 1. This design procedure also enables determining the maximum allowable upper bound  $\overline{\tau}$ of the time-delay function  $\tau_k$  for a given lower bound  $\underline{\tau}$ .

#### 4. Illustrative Examples

This section provides two numerical examples to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed TS fuzzy unknown input observer design. All LMIbased design conditions are implemented in MATLAB using YALMIP parser and MOSEK solver. In both examples, a line search for  $\epsilon$  with 10 points **R2.4** gridded linearly on a logarithmic scale in [1, 10<sup>3</sup>] has been performed.

**Example 1.** Consider the following nonlinear time-varying delay system adapted from [60]:

$$x_{1,k+1} = (1+T_s)x_{1,k} + cT_sx_{2,k} + T_s\sin(x_{3,k}) - 0.1T_sx_{4,k} + (1-c)T_sx_{2,k-\tau_k} + T_s(1+x_{1,k}^2)u_k$$
(58)  
$$x_{2,k+1} = T_sx_{1,k} + (1-2cT_s)x_{2,k} - (1-c)2T_sx_{2,k-\tau_k} x_{3,k+1} = T_sx_{1,k} + (1-0.3T_s)x_{3,k} + cT_sx_{1,k}^2x_{2,k} + (1-c)T_sx_{1,k}^2x_{2,k-\tau_k}$$

Algorithm 1: Time-Varying Delay UIO Design

**Input** : TS fuzzy system (4),  $\epsilon$ ,  $\underline{\tau}$ **Output:** TS fuzzy observer (6) such that  $\hat{x}_k \to x_k$  and  $\hat{d}_k \to d_k$ , when  $k \to \infty$ **Step 1:** Compute U with (46) and T with (47). **Step 2:** Solve LMI conditions (44) and (45) for  $\overline{\tau} = \underline{\tau}$ while true do  $\overline{\tau} \leftarrow \overline{\tau} + 1$ Solve conditions (44) and (45) in Theorem 2.  $if {\rm \, unfeasible} \ then$ Stop while loop. end end **Step 3:** Get W, Q and  $X_i$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$ , with the maximum  $\overline{\tau}$ obtained in Step 2. **Step 4:** Compute S with (48) and  $H_i$  with (49). **Step 5:** Compute the observer gains  $F, M, L_i$  and  $N_i$  with (7), (50), (51) and (52), respectively.

$$x_{4,k+1} = (1 - T_s)x_{4,k} + T_s \sin(x_{3,k}) + T_s d_k$$
$$y_{1,k} = x_{1,k}$$
$$y_{2,k} = x_{2,k} + x_{4,k}$$

with  $x_{1,k} \in [-a, a]$ ,  $x_{3,k} = [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  and  $c \in [0, 1]$ . For illustrations, we consider system (58) with c = 0.9,  $T_s = 0.5$ , and the parameter a is used to study the design conservatism. The premise variable is defined as  $\mu_k = x_{1,k}^2$ . The unmeasured nonlinearity is given by  $\phi(x) = \sin(x_{3,k})$ . Then, the set of vertices  $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}$  in (12) is defined for system (58) as

$$\mathscr{V}_{\phi} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \rho & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \rho \in \{-1, 1\} \right\}.$$

Moreover, system (58) can be rewritten in the form (1) with

$$A(\mu_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1+T_s & cT_s & 0 & -0.1T_s \\ T_s & 1-2cT_s & 0 & 0 \\ T_s & cT_s\mu_k & 1-0.3T_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-T_s \end{bmatrix},$$
  
$$A_d(\mu_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (1-c)T_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(1-c)2T_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1-c)T_s\mu_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
  
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}, \ f(\mu_k, u_k) = \begin{bmatrix} T_s(1+\mu_k)u_k \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ G = \begin{bmatrix} T_s \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ T_s \end{bmatrix}, \ D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying the sector nonlinearity approach [10, Chapter 2] with the measured premise variable  $\mu_k = x_{1,k}^2$ , system (58) can be represented by a two-rule TS fuzzy model (4) with

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 0.5c & 0 & -0.05 \\ 0.5 & 1-c & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5ca^{2} & 0.85 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.50 \end{bmatrix}, A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 0.5c & 0 & -0.05 \\ 0.5 & 1-c & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0.85 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.50 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A_{d1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (1-c)0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1+c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1-c)0.5a^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_{d2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (1-c)0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, G = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The corresponding membership functions are given by

$$h_1(\mu_k) = \frac{x_{1,k}^2}{a^2}, \quad h_2(\mu_k) = \frac{a^2 - x_{1,k}^2}{a^2}.$$

For this example, we compute the largest value of parameter a, denoted by  $a^*$ , for which a TS fuzzy UIO can be designed with different values of the

<sup>220</sup> upper bound  $\overline{\tau}$  for system (58). To this end, Algorithm 1 is employed with  $\epsilon = 10^3$  and  $\underline{\tau} = 1$ . The corresponding design result is shown in Table 1. As expected, we can see that a direct relation between  $a^*$  and  $\overline{\tau}$ , i.e., the larger value of  $a^*$  leads to a smaller value of  $\overline{\tau}$ .

Table 1: Maximum delay upper bound  $\overline{\tau}$  in function of  $a^*$  in Example 1.

$\overline{\tau}$	2	5	8	11	14	17	20
$a^*$	1153	888	832	720	272	1.5	1

For illustrations, we consider the case with a = 832 and  $\overline{\tau} = 8$ . Applying the design procedure given Algorithm 1, the following observer gains can be obtained:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.2176 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, F = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -0.2176 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.3527 & 0.0109 \\ -0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.3586 & 0.0109 \\ -0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$N_1 = 10^5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.6004 & 0 & -1.5146 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$N_2 = 10^3 \begin{bmatrix} 0.0009 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0005 & 0 & 0.0004 \\ 0 & 7.8585 & 0.0008 & 7.8586 \\ 0 & 0.0004 & 0 & 0.0005 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Fig. 1 depicts the estimation performance of the proposed UIO with respect to the initial condition  $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & -0.1 & 0.5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$ , the known input  $u_k = -2.5x_{1,k} - 0.7x_{2,k} - x_{3,k}$ , and the unknown input  $d_k = 2\cos(0.25\pi k)\sin(0.1\pi k)$ . The time-varying delay signal  $\tau_k$  is generated randomly while respecting the minimum bound  $\underline{\tau} = 1$  and the maximum bound  $\overline{\tau} = 8$ . We can see that both the estimates of the state  $\hat{x}_k$  and the unknown input  $\hat{d}_k$  asymptotically

convergent to  $x_k$  and  $d_k$ , respectively, despite the effect of the time-varying delay  $\tau_k$ .



Figure 1: Estimation performance obtained for system (58) with a = 832 and  $\overline{\tau} = 8$  under the effect of time-varying bounded delay.

**Example 2.** We consider the electronic circuit system depicted in Fig. 2, whose dynamics can be described as [61]

$$x_{1,k+1} = x_{1,k} - c_{11}x_{1,k-\tau_k} + \frac{c_{12}}{10}x_{1,k}x_{2,k} - c_{13}(u_k + d_k)$$

$$x_{2,k+1} = (1 - c_{25})x_{2,k} + \frac{c_{24}}{10}x_{1,k-\tau_k}$$

$$x_{3,k+1} = (1 - c_{36})x_{3,k} - c_{37}x_{1,k} + \frac{c_{38}}{10}x_{2,k}^2$$

$$y_k = x_{1,k}$$
(59)

being  $x_{1,k}$ ,  $x_{2,k}$  and  $x_{3,k}$  the voltages on the capacitors with  $x_{1,k} \in [-1,1]$ ,  $x_{2,k} \in [-1,1]$ , the known input  $u_k$  is the voltage source, and the unknown input  $d_k$  can be viewed as a voltage fault signal. The system parameters are defined as

$$c_{1l} = \frac{T_s}{R_l\overline{C}_1}, \quad c_{2m} = \frac{T_s}{R_m\overline{C}_2}, \quad c_{3n} = \frac{T_s}{R_n\overline{C}_3},$$

for  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $m \in \{4, 5\}$  and  $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ . The parameter values are given by  $T_s = 0.01s$ ,  $R_1 = 0.6k\Omega$ ,  $R_2 = 66.667\Omega$ ,  $R_3 = 0.4k\Omega$ ,  $R_4 = 16.667\Omega$ ,  $R_5 = 0.2k\Omega$ ,  $R_6 = 0.5\Omega$ ,  $R_7 = R_8 = 0.1k\Omega$ ,  $\overline{C}_1 = \overline{C}_2 = \overline{C}_3 = 1mF$ .



Figure 2: Diagram of the electronic circuit system.

The measured premise variable is defined as  $\mu_k = x_{1,k}$ . With  $x_{2,k} \in [-1,1]$ , the set of vertices  $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}$  is defined for the unmeasured nonlinearity  $\phi(x) = x_{2,k}^2$  of system (58) as

$$\mathscr{V}_{\phi} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \rho & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \rho \in \{-2, 2\} \right\}.$$

Notice that system (59) can be rewritten in the form

$$x_{k+1} = A(\mu_k)x_k + A_d x_{k-\tau_k} + Dd_k + f(u_k) + G\phi(x_k),$$
  

$$y_k = Cx_k$$
(60)

with

$$A(\mu_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{c_{12}}{10}\mu_k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - c_{25} & 0 \\ -c_{37} & 0 & 1 - c_{36} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} -c_{13} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A_d = \begin{bmatrix} -c_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{c_{24}}{10} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{c_{38}}{10} \\ 10 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f(u_k) = \begin{bmatrix} -c_{13}u_k & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}, \quad \phi(x_k) = x_{2,k}^2.$$

Applying the sector nonlinearity approach [10, Chapter 2] with the measured premise variable  $\mu_k = x_{1,k}$ , the electronic circuit system (60) can be represented by a two-rule TS fuzzy model (4) with

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & -0.015 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.95 & 0 \\ -0.1 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0167 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.0600 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.015 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.95 & 0 \\ -0.1 & 0 & 0.95 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} -0.025 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The corresponding membership functions are given by

$$h_1(\mu_k) = \frac{1 - x_{1,k}}{2}, \quad h_2(\mu_k) = 1 - h_1(\mu_k).$$

- For this example, the goal is to design a TS fuzzy observer (6) for system (59) with a maximum possible upper bound  $\overline{\tau}$  of the time-varying delay  $\tau_k$  such that  $\hat{x}_k \to x_k$  and  $\hat{d}_k \to d_k$ , when  $k \to \infty$ . Following the design procedure in Algorithm 1 with  $\epsilon = 100$  and  $\underline{\tau} = 1$ , the maximum delay bound  $\overline{\tau} = 160$  can be reached. For illustrative purposes, Fig. 3 depicts the estimation per-R1.4
- formance of the proposed TS fuzzy UIO with respect to the initial condition  $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$ , the known input  $u_k = 1.5 \cos(0.1\pi k)$ , the unknown input  $d_k = \cos(0.02\pi k) \sin(0.1\pi k)$ , and the time-delay function is selected as  $\tau_k = \operatorname{round} (80.5 + 79.5 \cos(0.02k\pi))^{-1}$ . As can be seen, the states  $x_k$  of the electronic system (59) together with its unknown input  $d_k$  are accurately estimated under the effect of  $\tau_k$ . Notably, the states  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are not mea-
- sured, and even so, the proposed UIO estimates these states quite efficiently. This result illustrates the effectiveness and the practical interest of the proposed TS fuzzy UIO design for nonlinear systems with time-varying delays and unmeasured nonlinearities.

#### <sup>250</sup> 5. Conclusions

#### **R1.6**

A method has been presented to design unknown input observers for nonlinear systems with time-varying delays and unmeasured nonlinearities. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>round(x) denotes the function that rounds the entry  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  to the nearest integer using the round half up tie-breaking rule.



Figure 3: Estimation performance obtained for the electronic circuit system (59) under the effect of  $\tau_k = \text{round} (80.5 + 79.5 \cos(0.02k\pi)).$ 

nonlinear system has been reformulated for observer design using TS fuzzy modeling with nonlinear consequents. All unmeasured nonlinearities, isolated in the nonlinear consequents, are assumed to be Lipschitzian such that the mean value theorem can be applied to deal with the well-known mismatch issue in TS observer design caused by unmeasured premise variables. LMI-based conditions have been presented for UIO design, which can guarantee an asymptotic estimation of both the state and the unknown input. The effectiveness and the practical interest of the proposed design procedure are illustrated with two examples. For future works, we focus on adapting the proposed UIO design results for fault-tolerant control of nonlinear systems with unknown time-varying delays.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is supported the Brazilian agencies CNPq (Grant numbers: 305578/2022-7; 150602/2022-7). Under the RITMEA research program, the work is supported in part by the European Community, in part by the Délégation Régionale à la Recherche et à la Technologie, the Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de la Recherche et de la Technologie, in part by the Région Hauts-de-France, in part by the Centre National de la Recherche

Scientifique. This work is also supported by the Research National Agency under the project HM-Science ANR-21-CE48-0021. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of these institutions.

#### References

- [1] D. Rotondo, M. Witczak, V. Puig, F. Nejjari, M. Pazera, Robust unknown input observer for state and fault estimation in discrete-time Takagi-Sugeno systems, Int. J. Syst. Sci. 47 (14) (2016) 3409–3424.
  - [2] F. Xu, J. Tan, X. Wang, V. Puig, B. Liang, B. Yuan, H. Liu, Generalized set-theoretic unknown input observer for LPV systems with application to state estimation and robust fault detection, Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 27 (17) (2017) 3812–3832.
  - [3] D. Zhao, H.-K. Lam, Y. Li, S. Ding, S. Liu, A novel approach to state and unknown input estimation for Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy models with applications to fault detection, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I: Reg. Pap. 67 (6) (2020) 2053–2063.
  - [4] A.-T. Nguyen, J. Pan, T.-M. Guerra, Z. Wang, Avoiding unmeasured premise variables in designing unknown input observers for Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy systems, IEEE Control Syst. Lett. 5 (1) (2021) 79–84.
  - [5] D. Ding, Q.-L. Han, X. Ge, J. Wang, Secure state estimation and control of cyber-physical systems: A survey, IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern.: Syst. 51 (1) (2020) 176–190.
    - [6] P. H. S. Coutinho, I. Bessa, W.-B. Xie, A.-T. Nguyen, R. M. Palhares, A sufficient condition to design unknown input observers for nonlinear systems with arbitrary relative degree, Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 32 (15) (2022) 8331–8348.
    - [7] R. Benyoucef, H. Hadj-Abdelkader, L. Nehaoua, H. Arioui, Towards kinematics from motion: Unknown input observer and dynamic extension approach, IEEE Control Syst. Lett. 6 (2022) 340–345.
  - [8] A.-T. Nguyen, T.-Q. Dinh, T.-M. Guerra, J. Pan, Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy unknown input observers to estimate nonlinear dynamics of autonomous ground vehicles: Theory and real-time verification, IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatron. 26 (3) (2021) 1328–1338.

290

280

285

- [9] M. Kordestani, M. Saif, Observer-based attack detection and mitigation for cyberphysical systems: A review, IEEE Syst., Man, Cybern. Mag. 7 (2) (2021) 35–60.
- 305

320

- [10] K. Tanaka, H. Wang, Fuzzy Control Systems Design and Analysis: a Linear Matrix Inequality Approach, John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [11] T.-M. Guerra, R. Marquez, A. Kruszewski, M. Bernal, ℋ<sub>∞</sub> LMI-based observer design for nonlinear systems via Takagi–Sugeno models with unmeasured premise variables, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 26 (3) (2018) 1498–1509.
- [12] X. Xie, D. Yue, C. Peng, Observer Design of Discrete-Time Fuzzy Systems Based on an Alterable Weights Method, IEEE Trans. Cybern. 50 (4) (2020) 1430–1439.
- <sup>315</sup> [13] H. Lu, X. Xie, C. Peng, Relaxed conditions of observer design of discrete-time Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems via a new multi-instant gainscheduling scheme, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 30 (7) (2022) 2759–2768.
  - [14] X.-P. Xie, Q. Wang, M. Chadli, K. Shi, Relaxed observer-based state estimation of discrete-time Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems based on an augmented matrix approach, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 30 (9) (2022) 4025–4030.
  - [15] Z. Gao, X. Liu, M. Chen, Unknown input observer-based robust fault estimation for systems corrupted by partially decoupled disturbances, IEEE Trans. Indus. Electron. 63 (4) (2016) 537–547.
- <sup>325</sup> [16] H. Zhang, J. Han, Y. Wang, X. Liu, Sensor fault estimation of switched fuzzy systems with unknown input, IEEE Trans.Fuzzy Syst. 26 (3) (2018) 1114–1124.
  - [17] G.-J. Liu, X.-H. Chang, J. Park, M. Hu, Fault detection observer design for nonlinear systems via fuzzy Lyapunov functions, IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern.: Syst. (2022) 1–11.
  - [18] B. Kaviarasan, O. Kwon, M. Park, R. Sakthivel, Tuning parametersbased fault estimation observer for time-delay fuzzy systems over a finite horizon, IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern.: Syst. 52 (7) (2022) 4324– 4335.

- <sup>335</sup> [19] J. Lan, R. Patton, Integrated design of fault-tolerant control for nonlinear systems based on fault estimation and T–S fuzzy modeling, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 25 (5) (2017) 1141–1154.
  - [20] F. Sabbghian-Bidgoli, M. Farrokhi, Polynomial fuzzy observer-based integrated fault estimation and fault-tolerant control with uncertainty and disturbance, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 30 (3) (2022) 741–754.
  - [21] B. Zhu, Y. Wang, H. Zhang, X. Xie, Fuzzy functional observer-based finite-time adaptive sliding-mode control for nonlinear systems with matched uncertainties, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 30 (4) (2022) 918–932.
  - [22] P. Bergsten, R. Palm, D. Driankov, Observers for Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems, IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern., Part B (Cybern.) 32 (1) (2002) 114–121.
    - [23] J. Pan, A.-T. Nguyen, T.-M. Guerra, D. Ichalal, A unified framework for asymptotic observer design of fuzzy systems with unmeasurable premise variables, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 29 (10) (2021) 2938–2948.
- <sup>350</sup> [24] D. Ichalal, B. Marx, S. Mammar, D. Maquin, J. Ragot, How to cope with unmeasurable premise variables in Takagi–Sugeno observer design: Dynamic extension approach, Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell. 67 (2018) 430– 435.
  - [25] W. Chen, M. Saif, Fuzzy nonlinear unknown input observer design with fault diagnosis applications, J. Vib. Control 16 (3) (2010) 377–401.
  - [26] V.-P. Vu, W.-J. Wang, J. M. Zurada, H.-C. Chen, C.-H. Chiu, Unknown input method based observer synthesis for a discrete time uncertain T–S fuzzy system, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 26 (2) (2018) 761–770.
- [27] A.-T. Nguyen, V. Campos, T.-M. Guerra, J. Pan, W. Xie, Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy observer design for nonlinear descriptor systems with unmeasured premise variables and unknown inputs, Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 31 (17) (2021) 8353–8372.
  - [28] S. Aouaouda, T. Bouarar, O. Bouhali, Fault tolerant tracking control using unmeasurable premise variables for vehicle dynamics subject to time varying faults, J. Franklin Inst. 51 (9) (2014) 514–537.

340

- [29] H. Arioui, L. Nehaoua, Unknown dynamics decoupling to overcome unmeasurable premise variables in Takagi-Sugeno observer design, IEEE Control Syst. Lett. 5 (1) (2021) 61–66.
- [30] Z. Echreshavi, M. Shasadeghi, M. Asemani, S. Mobayen, A. Fekih, Fuzzy reset-based  $\mathscr{H}_{\infty}$  unknown input observer design for uncertain nonlinear systems with unmeasurable premise variables, IEEE Access 9 (2021) 151729–151740.
- [31] J. Dong, Y. Wang, G.-H. Yang, Control synthesis of continuous-time t-s fuzzy systems with local nonlinear models, EEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern., Part B: Cybern. 39 (5) (2009) 1245–1258.
- [32] R. F. Araújo, P. H. S. Coutinho, A. T. Nguyen, R. M. Palhares, Delayed nonquadratic L<sub>2</sub>-stabilization of continuous-time nonlinear Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy models, Inf. Sci. 563 (2021) 59–69.
- [33] E. Fridman, Introduction to Time-Delay Systems: Analysis and Control, Syst. Control: Found. Appl., Birkhäuser Cham, Cham, 2014.
- [34] B. Chen, X. Liu, Delay-dependent robust  $\mathscr{H}_{\infty}$  control for TS fuzzy systems with time delay, IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst. 13 (4) (2005) 544–556.
- [35] F. Souza, L. Mozelli, R. Palhares, On stability and stabilization of T– S fuzzy time-delayed systems, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 17 (6) (2009) 1450–1455.
- [36] W. Kang, Z. Han, Z. Liu, B.-Z. Guo, Fuzzy observer for 2-D parabolic equation with output time delay, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 29 (11) (2020) 3552–3560.
- [37] L. Mozelli, F. O. Souza, R. Palhares, A new discretized Lyapunov– Krasovskii functional for stability analysis and control design of timedelayed TS fuzzy systems, Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 21 (1) (2011) 93–105.
  - [38] R. Li, Q. Zhang, Robust  $\mathscr{H}_{\infty}$  sliding mode observer design for a class of Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy descriptor systems with time-varying delay, Appl. Math. Comput. 337 (2018) 158–178.

375

370

- [39] L. Wang, J. Liu, H.-K. Lam, Further study on stabilization for continuous-time Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy systems with time delay, IEEE Trans. Cybern. 51 (11) (2020) 5637–5643.
- [40] C. Hua, S. Wu, X. Guan, Stabilization of TS fuzzy system with time
   delay under sampled-data control using a new looped-functional, IEEE
   Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 28 (2) (2019) 400–407.
  - [41] W.-H. Chen, C.-K. Zhang, K.-Y. Xie, C. Zhu, Y. He, Delay-variationdependent criteria on stability and stabilization for discrete-time T-S fuzzy systems with time-varying delays, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. (2022) 1–1.
  - [42] Z. Sheng, C. Lin, B. Chen, Q.-G. Wang, An asymmetric Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional method on stability and stabilization for T-S fuzzy systems with time delay, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 30 (6) (2022) 2135– 2140.
- <sup>410</sup> [43] M. L. C. Peixoto, P. H. S. Coutinho, M. J. Lacerda, R. M. Palhares, Guaranteed region of attraction estimation for time-delayed fuzzy systems via static output-feedback control, Automatica (2022) 110438.
  - [44] S. Ahmadizadeh, J. Zarei, H. Karimi, A robust fault detection design for uncertain TS models with unknown inputs and time-varying delays, Nonlinear Anal.: Hybrid Syst. 11 (2014) 98–117.
  - [45] S. Islam, C.-C. Lim, P. Shi, Robust fault detection of TS fuzzy systems with time-delay using fuzzy functional observer, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 392 (2020) 1–23.
- [46] F. You, S. Cheng, K. Tian, X. Zhang, Robust fault estimation based on learning observer for Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems with interval timevarying delay, Int. J. Adapt. Control Signal Process. 34 (1) (2020) 92– 109.
  - [47] Y. Liu, Y. Wang, Actuator and sensor fault estimation for discrete-time switched T–S fuzzy systems with time delay, J. Franklin Inst. 358 (2) (2021) 1619–1634.

415

- [48] H. Zhang, S. Sun, C. Liu, K. Zhang, A novel approach to observer-based fault estimation and fault-tolerant controller design for T–S fuzzy systems with multiple time delays, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 28 (8) (2019) 1679–1693.
- <sup>430</sup> [49] J. Ning, C. Hua, ℋ<sub>∞</sub> output feedback control for fractional-order T-S fuzzy model with time-delay, Appl. Math. Comput. 416 (2022) 126736.
  - [50] M. Ghanes, J. De Leon, J. P. Barbot, Observer design for nonlinear systems under unknown time-varying delays, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control 58 (6) (2013) 1529–1534.
- <sup>435</sup> [51] F. You, H. Li, F. Wang, State and unknown input simultaneous estimation for a class of nonlinear systems with time-delay, Nonlinear Dyn. 83 (3) (2016) 1653–1671.
  - [52] M.-C. Nguyen, H. Trinh, Unknown input observer design for one-sided Lipschitz discrete-time systems subject to time-delay, Appl. Math. Comput. 286 (2016) 57–71.
  - [53] A. Zemouche, M. Boutayeb, G. I. Bara, Observers for a class of Lipschitz systems with extension to  $\mathscr{H}_{\infty}$  performance analysis, Syst. Control Lett. 57 (1) (2008) 18–27.
- [54] Q. Jia, W. Chen, Y. Zhang, H. Li, Fault reconstruction and faulttolerant control via learning observers in Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy descriptor systems with time delays, IEEE Trans. Indus. Electron. 62 (6) (2015) 3885–3895.
  - [55] Y. Li, M. Yuan, M. Chadli, Z.-P. Wang, D. Zhao, Unknown input functional observer design for discrete time interval type-2 Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. (2022) 1–1.
  - [56] A. Seuret, F. Gouaisbaut, E. Fridman, Stability of discrete-time systems with time-varying delays via a novel summation inequality, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control 60 (10) (2015) 2740–2745.
  - [57] K. Liu, A. Seuret, Y. Xia, Stability analysis of systems with time-varying delays via the second-order Bessel–Legendre inequality, Automatica 76 (2017) 138–142.

- [58] K. Liu, A. Seuret, Comparison of bounding methods for stability analysis of systems with time-varying delays, J. Franklin Inst. 354 (7) (2017) 2979–2993.
- 460 [59] C. Rao, S. Mitra, Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its Applications, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1973.
  - [60] J. Dong, Y. Wang, G.-H. Yang, Output feedback fuzzy controller design with local nonlinear feedback laws for discrete-time nonlinear systems, EEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern., Part B: Cybern. 40 (6) (2010) 1447– 1459.

[61] X. Yang, T. Li, Y. Wu, Y. Wang, Y. Long, Fault estimation and fault tolerant control for discrete-time nonlinear systems with perturbation by a mixed design scheme, J. Franklin Inst. 358 (3) (2021) 1860–1887.